

IR604–8), (5) [TC1507] *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1F protein and the genetic material (plasmid insert PHI8999A) necessary for its production in corn event DAS–Ø15Ø7–1, and (6) [MON810] *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1Ab delta-endotoxin and the genetic material necessary for its production (Vestor PV–ZMCT01) in event MON 810 corn (OECD Unique Identifier: MON–ØØ81Ø–6). The focus of the EUP are the three breeding stacks: (1) MIR604 × 1507 × 59122 × MON 810, (2) MIR604 × 59122 × MON810, and (3) MIR604 × 1507. 0.0184 pounds (lbs.) of MON810 Cry1Ab, 0.0585 (lbs.) of Bt11 Cry1Ab, 5.513 (lbs.) of Cry34Ab1, 0.754 (lbs.) of Cry35Ab1, 0.123 (lbs.) of Vip3Aa20, 0.147 (lbs.) of mCry3A, and 0.170 (lbs.) of Cry1F, are authorized on, 2,672 PIP acres. 664 acres of non-PIP and border row corn are also authorized. Two protocols will be conducted, including: Insect resistance management and efficacy/expression. The program is authorized only in the States of Arkansas, California, Colorado, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Washington, and Wisconsin. The EUP is effective from March 3, 2011, to June 30, 2012. One comment was received in response to the January 26, 2011, notice of receipt in the **Federal Register**, 76 FR 4683, FRL–8856–2. One comment was received from an anonymous individual who objected in general terms to EPA granting this experimental use permit with *Bacillus thuringiensis*-based plant-incorporated protectants claiming that insufficient safety testing has been required. The Agency understands that some individuals are opposed to all biotechnology based pesticide use. Pursuant to section 5 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, EPA may issue a permit for experimental use of a pesticide if the Agency determines that such experimental use may be conducted in such a manner as to not result in unreasonable adverse effects on the environment. EPA has conducted a comprehensive analysis of data and information related to the requested experimental uses and, based on that analysis, EPA has determined that the experimental uses, if conducted in accordance with the terms of the permit, will not result in unreasonable adverse effects on the environment.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136c.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection,
Experimental use permits.

Dated: April 24, 2012.

Daniel J. Rosenblatt,

Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2012–10725 Filed 5–3–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–9668–6]

Notice of Proposed Administrative Settlement Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Section 122(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement concerning the City Storage Superfund Site, located in Sulphur, Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana.

The settlement requires the three (3) settling parties to pay a total of \$145,000 as payment of response costs to the Hazardous Substances Superfund plus \$2,750.23 in calculated interest. The settlement includes a covenant not to sue pursuant to Section 107 of CERCLA, 42, U.S.C. 9607.

For thirty (30) days beginning on the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to this notice and will receive written comments relating to the settlement. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Agency’s response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before June 4, 2012.

ADDRESSES: The proposed settlement and additional background information relating to the settlement are available for public inspection at 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733. A copy of the proposed settlement may be obtained from Lance Nixon at, 1445

Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733 or by calling (214) 665–2203. Comments should reference the City Storage Superfund Site, located in Sulphur, Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana and EPA Docket Number 06–07–09, and should be addressed to Lance Nixon at the address listed above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gloria Moran, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733 or call (214) 665–3139.

Dated: April 19, 2012.

Samuel Coleman,

Acting Regional Administrator (6RA).

[FR Doc. 2012–10807 Filed 5–3–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–9668–5]

Workshop on Using Mode of Action To Support the Development of a Multipollutant Science Assessment

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Workshop.

SUMMARY: EPA is announcing a workshop, organized by EPA’s National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA) within the Office of Research and Development, to explore the possible ways by which mode-of-action and toxicity pathways approaches may contribute to interpretation of cumulative human health risks of the criteria air pollutants. The workshop will be held on May 31, 2012, in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina and will be open to attendance by interested public observers on a first-come, first-served basis up to the limits of available space.

DATES: The workshop will be held on May 31, 2012, beginning at 8:00 a.m. and ending at 5:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The workshop will be held in the auditorium of EPA’s main campus, 109 T.W. Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. An EPA contractor, ICF International, is providing logistical support for the workshop.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions regarding information, registration, and logistics for the workshop should be directed to Whitney Kihlstrom, ICF International, Conference Coordinator, 2222 East NC–54, Suite 480, Durham, North Carolina 27713; telephone: 919–293–1646; facsimile: 919–293–1645; email: EPA_Multipollutant@icfi.com.

Questions regarding the scientific and technical aspects of the workshop should be directed to Dr. Beth Owens, telephone: 919-541-0600; facsimile: 919-541-2895; email: owens.beth@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Summary of Information About the Workshop

Sections 108 and 109 of the Clean Air Act require periodic review and, if appropriate, revisions of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and the air quality criteria on which they are based. As a part of this process, NCEA reviews and integrates scientific information from across scientific disciplines in drawing conclusions related to exposure and health impacts of each of the criteria air pollutants in the Integrated Science Assessment (ISA). EPA is planning the development of a companion assessment to the ISAs, the Multipollutant Science Assessment, whereby the health effects of exposure to a mixture of pollutants, principally the criteria air pollutants, may be systematically evaluated. To this end, EPA conducted a workshop in February 2011, entitled *Multipollutant Science and Risk Analysis: Addressing Multiple Pollutants in the NAAQS Review Process*. One of the sessions focused on using mode-of-action and toxicity pathways approaches to interpret health evidence from toxicological and controlled human exposure studies of criteria air pollutants. NCEA is planning to further discuss issues related to the interpretation and organization of evidence used to characterize health effects resulting from exposure to real-world mixtures of air pollutants at the one-day workshop on May 31, 2012. The goal of the workshop is to explore the possible ways by which mode-of-action and toxicity pathways approaches may contribute to interpretation of cumulative human health risks of the criteria air pollutants. Discussion points will include current EPA plans related to an approach in which experimental health results are organized around key events or biological pathways that are linked to endpoints and outcomes of interest. In addition, discussion will focus on the means by which information from epidemiologic panel studies can be integrated into this framework, as well as how mixtures have been evaluated in other media and by other groups.

II. Workshop Information

Members of the public may attend the workshop as observers. Space is limited,

and reservations will be accepted on a first-come, first-served basis.

Dated: April 20, 2012.

Darrell A. Winner,

Acting Director, National Center for Environmental Assessment.

[FR Doc. 2012-10805 Filed 5-3-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CG Docket No. 06-181; DA 12-514]

Notice of Need To File Updated Information for Some Closed Captioning Exemption Petitions

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission, via the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau (Bureau) alerts certain entities that filed petitions for exemption from the Commission's closed captioning rules prior to the passage of the Twenty-First Century Accessibility Act of 2010 (CVAA), of the need to either (1) affirm that the information provided in their previously submitted petition is still accurate and up-to-date, (2) update previously submitted petitions with the information indicated below, or (3) withdraw their previously submitted petitions. The intended action is to ensure that information provided in each petition is current and accurate.

DATES: Effective May 4, 2012, Petitions subject to document DA 12-514 will be dismissed on July 5, 2012, without prejudice to filing a new petition for exemption, if not affirmed, updated, or withdrawn as set forth in document DA 12-514.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Traci Randolph, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, at (202) 418-0569 (voice), (202) 418-0537 (TTY); email: Traci.Randolph@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's public notice, document DA 12-514, released April 2, 2012, in CG Docket No. 06-181. The full text of document DA 12-514 and copies of any subsequently filed documents in this matter will be available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. Document DA 12-514 and copies of subsequently filed documents in this

matter may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copying and Printing, Inc. (BCPI), at Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554. Customers may contact BCPI at its Web site: <http://www.bcpweb.com>, or by calling (202) 488-5300. Document DA 12-514 and the Appendix listing Unresolved Petitions for Individual Closed Captioning Exemptions can also be downloaded in Word or Portable Document Format (PDF) at: <http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/economically-burdensome-exemption-closed-captioning-requirements>.

Synopsis

From October 2005 through August 2006, the Commission received approximately 600 petitions for individual closed captioning exemptions under section 713(d)(3) of the Act. In 2006, the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau (Bureau) granted two of these petitions in the *Anglers Order*, 21 FCC Rcd 10094, and during the weeks that followed, granted an additional 303 petitions in reliance on the reasoning of the *Anglers Order*. In 2006, the Commission received an Application for Review that challenged the exemptions granted in and those that relied on the *Anglers Order*. On October 20, 2011, the FCC granted the Application for Review, and in the *Anglers Reversal MO&O*, published at 76 FR 67376, November 1, 2011; 76 FR 67377, November 1, 2011; and at 76 FR 67397, November 1, 2011, reversed these exemptions. The *Anglers Reversal MO&O* also set forth guidance on the information and documentation that closed captioning petitions should contain, along with standards that the Bureau should use to determine when a closed captioning exemption is warranted.

At issue in document DA 12-514 are the unresolved petitions for exemption that are not subject to the *Anglers Reversal MO&O*, and that were filed before passage of the CVAA. Although some of these petitions were previously placed on public notice, no decision to grant or to deny was ever made regarding these petitions. The Bureau is now ready to apply the standards restored by the *Anglers Reversal MO&O* to resolve the claims for an exemption by these petitioners. However, the Bureau realizes that considerable time has passed since many of these petitions were first filed, and that various circumstances including, but not limited to, the financial status of the petitioners and the cost of captioning, may have changed.