California Highway Patrol must approve all mixed-use designations.

### **Scoping Process**

This notice of intent initiates the scoping process, which guides the development of the environmental impact statement. A public meeting will be held in Crescent City on May 9, 2012 to discuss the proposed action, and answer any questions the public may have regarding the project. It is important that reviewers provide their comments at such times and in such manner that they are useful to the agency's preparation of the environmental impact statement. Therefore, comments should be provided prior to the close of the comment period and should clearly articulate the reviewer's concerns and contentions. Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be part of the public record for this proposed action.

Dated: April 2, 2012.

### Tyrone Kelley,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 2012-8842 Filed 4-19-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## International Trade Administration [A-351-840]

### Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Orange Juice From Brazil

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On February 1, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on certain orange juice (OI) from Brazil. On April 13, 2012, the International Trade Commission (ITC) determined that revocation of this order would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).2 Therefore, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1)(iii), the Department is revoking the antidumping duty order on OJ from Brazil.

DATES: Effective Date: March 9, 2011.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth Eastwood, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3874.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Scope of the Order

The scope of the order includes certain orange juice for transport and/or further manufacturing, produced in two different forms: (1) Frozen orange juice in a highly concentrated form, sometimes referred to as frozen concentrated orange juice for manufacture (FCOJM); and (2) pasteurized single-strength orange juice which has not been concentrated. referred to as not-from-concentrate (NFC). At the time of the filing of the petition, there was an existing antidumping duty order on frozen concentrated orange juice (FCOJ) from Brazil.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, the scope of the order with regard to FCOJM covers only FCOIM produced and/or exported by those companies which were excluded or revoked from the pre-existing antidumping order on FCOJ from Brazil as of December 27, 2004. Those companies are Cargill Citrus Limitada, Coinbra Frutesp S.A., Fischer S.A. Comercio, Industria, and Agricultura, Montecitrus Trading S.A., and Sucocitrico Cutrale, S.A.

Excluded from the scope of the order are reconstituted orange juice and frozen concentrated orange juice for retail (FCOJR). Reconstituted orange juice is produced through further manufacture of FCOJM, by adding water, oils and essences to the orange juice concentrate. FCOJR is concentrated orange juice, typically at 42 Brix, in a frozen state, packed in retail-sized containers ready for sale to consumers. FCOJR, a finished consumer product, is produced through further manufacture of FCOJM, a bulk manufacturer's product.

The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under subheadings 2009.11.00, 2009.12.25, 2009.12.45, and 2009.19.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). These HTSUS subheadings are provided

for convenience and for customs purposes only and are not dispositive. Rather, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

### **Background**

On March 9, 2006, the Department published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on OJ from Brazil.<sup>5</sup>

On February 1, 2011, the Department initiated, and the ITC instituted, a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on OJ from Brazil. See Initiation Notice. As a result of its sunset review of this order, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping. The Department notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the antidumping duty order to be revoked.

On April 13, 2012, the ITC determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of this order would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.<sup>7</sup>

### Revocation

As a result of the determination by the ITC that revocation of this order is not likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department, pursuant to section 751(d) of the Act, is revoking the antidumping duty order on OJ from Brazil. Pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the effective date of revocation is March 9, 2011 (i.e., the fifth anniversary of the date of publication in the Federal Register of the antidumping duty order). The Department will notify U.S. Customs and Border Protection to discontinue suspension of liquidation and collection of cash deposits on entries of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse on or after March 9, 2011, the effective date of revocation of the antidumping duty order. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of this order.

This revocation and notice are issued in accordance with section 751(d)(2) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review, 76 FR 5563 (Feb. 1, 2011) (Initiation Notice).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Certain Orange Juice From Brazil, 77 FR 22343 (Apr. 13, 2012) (ITC Final).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Antidumping Duty Order; Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice From Brazil, 52 FR 16426 (May 5, 1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Department preliminarily found that Louis Dreyfus Commodities Agroindustrial S.A. (Louis Dreyfus) is the successor-in-interest to Coinbra Frutesp (SA). See Certain Orange Juice From Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary No Shipment Determination, 77 FR 21724 (Apr. 11, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Orange Juice from Brazil, 71 FR 12183 (Mar. 9, 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Certain Orange Juice From Brazil: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order, 76 FR 30655 (May 26, 2011)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See ITC Final and USITC Publication 4311 (April 2012), titled Certain Orange Juice from Brazil (Inv. No. 731–TA–1089).

Dated: April 16, 2012.

#### Paul Piguado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012–9592 Filed 4–19–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration [A-570-806]

### Silicon Metal From the People's Republic of China: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of determinations by the Department of Commerce ("the Department") and the International Trade Commission ("ITC") that revocation of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and of material injury to an industry in the United States, respectively, the Department is publishing notice of the continuation of the antidumping duty order.

DATES: Effective Date: April 20, 2012.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rebecca Pandolph or Howard Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3627 or (202) 482– 5193, respectively.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 1, 2011, the Department

published the notice of initiation of the third sunset review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from the PRC, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").¹ The Department conducted an expedited sunset review of the order.² As a result of its review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and, thus, notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the order were revoked.³

On April 5, 2012, the ITC published its determination, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, which stated that revocation of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from the PRC would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.<sup>4</sup>

### Scope of the Order

Imports covered by this order are shipments of silicon metal containing at least 96.00 but less than 99.99 percent of silicon by weight. Also covered by this order is silicon metal from the PRC containing between 89.00 and 96.00 percent silicon by weight but which contains a higher aluminum content than the silicon metal containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Silicon metal is currently provided for under subheadings 2804.69.10 and 2804.69.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) as a chemical product, but is commonly referred to as a metal. Semiconductor-grade silicon (silicon metal containing by weight not less than 99.99 percent of silicon and provided for in subheading 2804.61.00 of the HTS) is not subject to this order. Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

### Continuation of the Order

As a result of determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from the PRC. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect antidumping duty cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise.

The effective date of continuation of the order will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of the order not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation. The five-year (sunset) review and this notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(c) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Dated: April 11, 2012.

### Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012–9347 Filed 4–19–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

### Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before May 10, 2012. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 3720.

Docket Number: 12–011. Applicant: Cornell University, 120 Baker Lab, Ithaca, NY 14853. Instrument: Pixel Array Detector. Manufacturer: Dectris Ltd., Switzerland. Intended Use: This instrument will be used to determine the composition of molecules and visualizing their interaction sat the molecular level. Pertinent characteristics of this instrument include shutterless data collection, low noise, high dynamic range, high readout speed and very fine phi slicing, not available in conventional chargecoupled device detectors. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 22, 2012

Docket Number: 12–017. Applicant:
Argonne National Laboratory, 9700
South Cass Avenue, Lemont, IL 60439.
Instrument: Pilatus 100K—S Detector.
Manufacturer: Dectris Ltd., Switzerland.
Intended Use: This instrument will be used to measure time evolution of x-ray diffraction signals from a variety of materials, including complex oxides and to determine the time-dependent atomic arrangements in those materials.
Pertinent characteristics of this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review, 76 FR 67412 (November 1, 2011) ("Sunset Initiation"); see also Antidumping Duty Order: Silicon Metal From the People's Republic of China, 56 FR 26649 (June 10, 1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Silicon Metal From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order, 77 FR 10477 (February 22, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See id.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  See Silicon Metal From China, 77 FR 20649 (April 5, 2012).