

period is not practicable. The Department requires more time to gather and analyze surrogate country and value information, review questionnaire responses, and issue supplemental questionnaires. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 120 days until September 29, 2012.<sup>4</sup> The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: April 9, 2012.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2012-9080 Filed 4-13-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-937]

#### **Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 16, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Krisha Hill or Maisha Cryor, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4037 or (202) 482-5831, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On June 28, 2011, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on citric acid and certain citrate salts ("citric acid") from the People's Republic of China

<sup>4</sup> September 29, 2012, is a Saturday. Department practice dictates that where a deadline falls on a weekend or federal holiday, the appropriate deadline is the next business day. See *Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005). Accordingly, the Department will issue the preliminary results on October 1, 2012.

("PRC").<sup>1</sup> On January 10, 2012, the Department published the extension of time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative review.<sup>2</sup> This review covers the period May 1, 2010, through April 30, 2011. The preliminary results of review are currently due no later than April 30, 2012.

#### **Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of the administrative review of citric acid from the PRC within this time limit. Specifically, additional time is needed to evaluate relevant evidence and parties' comments regarding the selection of appropriate surrogate values with which to value the factors of production. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is fully extending the time period for completion of the preliminary results of this review by an additional 30 days. The preliminary results will now be due no later than May 30, 2012.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: April 9, 2012.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2012-9054 Filed 4-13-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 76 FR 37781, 37785 (June 28, 2011).

<sup>2</sup> See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 77 FR 1455 (January 10, 2012).

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-428-840]

#### **Lightweight Thermal Paper From Germany: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 16, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephanie Moore or George McMahon, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3692 or (202) 482-1167, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On November 1, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on lightweight thermal paper (thermal paper) from Germany for the period of review (POR) November 1, 2010, through October 31, 2011.<sup>1</sup>

On November 30, 2011, the Department received a timely request filed on behalf of Appleton Papers Inc. (petitioner) to conduct an administrative review of Mitsubishi HiTec Paper Flensburg GmbH, Mitsubishi HiTec Paper Bielefeld GmbH, and Mitsubishi International Corp. (collectively, Mitsubishi), and Papierfabrik August Koehler AG and Koehler America, Inc. (Koehler). On November 30, 2011, the Department also received a request filed on behalf of Mitsubishi HiTec Paper Europe GmbH to conduct an administrative review of Mitsubishi HiTec Paper Europe GmbH<sup>2</sup> and a request filed on behalf of Koehler to conduct an administrative review of Koehler.

Pursuant to the aforementioned requests, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 76 FR 67413 (November 1, 2011).

<sup>2</sup> Mitsubishi stated in its review request letter, dated November 30, 2011, "{a}s of October 15, 2010, and for purposes of streamlining in-house processes and organizational structure, Mitsubishi HiTec Paper Flensburg GmbH, and Mitsubishi HiTec Paper Bielefeld GmbH have merged and now operate as Mitsubishi HiTec Paper Europe GmbH."

initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on thermal paper from Germany, covering two respondents, Mitsubishi and Koehler.<sup>3</sup>

#### Partial Rescission of the 2010–2011 Administrative Review

On March 29, 2011, petitioner and Mitsubishi withdrew their respective requests for review of Mitsubishi. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The instant review was initiated on December 30, 2011. *See Notice of Initiation*. The petitioner and Mitsubishi's withdrawals of their respective requests for a review of Mitsubishi fall within the 90-day deadline for rescission by the Department, and no other party requested an administrative review of this particular respondent. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), and consistent with our practice, we are rescinding this review with respect to Mitsubishi.<sup>4</sup> The instant review will continue with respect to Koehler.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply

<sup>3</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 76 FR 82268 (December 30, 2011) (*Notice of Initiation*).

<sup>4</sup> See, e.g., *Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 21781 (May 11, 2009).

with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We have been enjoined from liquidating entries of the subject merchandise produced and/or exported by Mitsubishi. Therefore, we do not intend to issue liquidation instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) for such entries entered on or after November 1, 2009, until such time as the preliminary injunction issued on March 17, 2009, is lifted.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: April 5, 2012.

Edward C. Yang,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2012–9059 Filed 4–13–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C–570–984]

#### Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks From the People's Republic of China: Correction to Notice of Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 16, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Shane Subler and Hermes Pinilla, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0189 and (202) 482–3477, respectively.

**SUMMARY:** On March 27, 2012, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published the notice *Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation*, 77 FR 18211 (March 27, 2012) (“*Initiation Notice*”). In the “Scope of Investigation” section of the *Initiation Notice*, the Department omitted a word and used an incomplete Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) number.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 1, 2012, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) received a countervailing duty (“CVD”) petition concerning imports of drawn stainless steel sinks from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”) filed in proper form by Elkay Manufacturing Company. *See*

Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping and Countervailing Duties Against Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China, dated March 1, 2012. On March 27, 2012, the Department published the *Initiation Notice* for the investigation.

The first paragraph of the “Scope of Investigation” section of the *Initiation Notice* stated the following: “Stainless steel sinks with multiple bowls that are joined through a welding operation to form one unit are covered by the scope of the investigation.” *See Initiation Notice*, 77 FR at 18212. The Department inadvertently omitted the word “drawn” from this sentence, as follows: “Stainless steel sinks with multiple drawn bowls that are joined through a welding operation to form one unit are covered by the scope of the investigation.”

Furthermore, the last sentence of the “Scope of Investigation” section stated the following: “The products covered by the investigation are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) under statistical reporting number 7324.10.000.” The Department inadvertently omitted a zero from the end of this HTSUS number. The correct HTSUS number is 7324.10.0000.

#### Correction

The “Scope of Investigation” section below incorporates these two corrections and represents the correct scope of the investigation.<sup>1</sup>

#### Scope of Investigation

The products covered by the scope of this investigation are stainless steel sinks with single or multiple drawn bowls, with or without drain boards, whether finished or unfinished, regardless of type of finish, gauge, or grade of stainless steel (“Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks”). Mounting clips, fasteners, seals, and sound-deadening pads are also covered by the scope of this investigation if they are included within the sales price of the Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks.<sup>2</sup> For purposes of this scope definition, the term “drawn” refers to a manufacturing process using metal forming technology to produce a smooth basin with seamless, smooth,

<sup>1</sup> The Department's initiation checklist for the investigation contained the correct scope. *See* Countervailing Duty Investigation Initiation Checklist, Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China (March 21, 2012), at Attachment I.

<sup>2</sup> Mounting clips, fasteners, seals, and sound-deadening pads are not covered by the scope of this investigation if they are not included within the sales price of the Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks, regardless of whether they are shipped with or entered with Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks.