orders were delivered to the President and to the United States Trade Representative on the day of their issuance.

The Commission has terminated this investigation. The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.16(c) and 210.41 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.16(c) and 210.41).

By order of the Commission. Issued: March 30, 2012.

#### James R. Holbein,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2012–8045 Filed 4–3–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

Notice is hereby given that on March 28, 2012, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States* v. *Forward, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 2:11–cv–00590–EFB, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

In this action the United States sought injunctive relief and civil penalties against defendant Forward, Inc., pursuant to Section 113(b) of the Clean Air Act (Act), 42 U.S.C. 7413(b), in connection with activities at the Forward Landfill in Manteca, California. The United States' complaint, filed concurrently with the Consent Decree, alleges that Forward violated the Act by operating gas extraction wells in the landfill's gas collection and control system (GCCS) in violation of the Act's New Source Performance Standards and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants, and in violation of the Title V permit it had received from the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District), the United States' co-plaintiff in the action. The Consent Decree would require Forward to improve the GCCS by installing new extraction wells and closing unneeded wells, to implement specific operations and maintenance actions to minimize air intrusion and the likelihood of subsurface fires at the landfill, to replace trucks in the landfill's fleet with less polluting vehicles, and to pay a civil penalty of \$200,000, to be shared with the District.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree.
Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General,
Environment and Natural Resources
Division, and either emailed to
pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or
mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S.
Department of Justice, Washington, DC
20044-7611, and should refer to United
States v. Forward, Inc., No. 2:11-cv00590-EFB (E.D. Cal.), D.J. Ref. 90-5-21-09873.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree, may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, to http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\_Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to "Consent Decree"

Copy"(EESCDCopy.ENRD@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–5271. If requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library by mail, please enclose a check in the amount of \$9.25 payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if requesting by email or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the address given above.

#### Henry S. Friedman,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–8033 Filed 4–3–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## **Antitrust Division**

#### United States v. Humana Inc. and Arcadian Management Services, Inc.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, in *United States* v. Humana Inc. and Arcadian Management Services, Inc., Civil Action No. 12-cv-00464. On March 27, 2012, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that the proposed acquisition by Humana Inc. of Arcadian Management Services, Inc. would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The proposed Final Judgment filed at the same time as the Complaint requires the parties to divest

health plans in 51 counties and parishes in Arizona, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas.

Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection at the Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Antitrust Documents Group, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Suite 1010, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: 202 514-2481), and on the Department of Justice's Web site at http:// www.usdoj.gov/atr, and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments and responses thereto will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Joshua H. Soven, Chief, Litigation I Section, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 450 Fifth Street NW., Suite 4100, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: 202–307–0827).

#### Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement.

# United States District Court for the District of Columbia

United States of America, United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Litigation I Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Suite 4100, Washington, DC 20530, Plaintiff, v. Humana Inc., 500 West Main Street, Louisville, KY 40202, and Arcadian Management Services, Inc., 500 12th Street, Suite 340, Oakland, CA 94607, Defendants.

Case: 1:12-cv-00464. Assigned to: Walton, Reggie B. Assign. Date: 3/27/2012. Description: Antitrust.

## Complaint

The United States of America ("United States"), acting under the direction of the Attorney General of the United States, brings this civil action to enjoin Humana Inc. ("Humana") from acquiring Arcadian Management Services, Inc. ("Arcadian"). The United States alleges as follows:

1. Unless enjoined, Humana's proposed acquisition of Arcadian will substantially lessen competition in the sale of Medicare Advantage health insurance plans sold to Medicare-eligible individuals ("the relevant product market") in forty-five counties and parishes in Arizona, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas ("the relevant geographic markets").

2. A Medicare Advantage plan is a health insurance product sold by a