c. The characteristics of used electronic products exported from the United States, including product condition (e.g., working, non-working, remanufacturable, refurbishable, repairable), composition of shipments (single product type, multiple product types), and the extent to which exports are processed (broken down or stripped), or remain intact prior to exportation;

d. The forms, activities and characteristics of domestic exporting enterprises (e.g., original equipment manufacturers, remanufacturers, refurbishers, brokers, recyclers, nonprofits, etc.) including the extent to which the exporter is foreign-invested;

e. The relative share of sales by U.S. companies of used electronic products that are (1) Exported, (2) sold to firms in the United States, (3) processed by the exporter itself, and (4) disposed of by the exporter itself; and

f. The factors affecting trade in used electronic products.

II. Method of Collection

Respondents will be mailed a letter directing them to download and fill out a form-fillable PDF questionnaire. Once complete, respondents may submit it by uploading it to a secure webserver, emailing it to the study team, faxing it, or mailing a hard copy to the Commission.

III. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on (1) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

The draft questionnaire and other supplementary documents may be downloaded from the USITC Web site at http://www.usitc.gov/332528comments.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Issued: March 30, 2012.

By order of the Commission.

James R. Holbein,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–8044 Filed 4–3–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[DN 2891]

Certain Cameras and Mobile Devices, Related Software and Firmware, and Components Thereof and Products Containing the Same; Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled *Certain Cameras and Mobile Devices, Related Software and Firmware, and Components Thereof and Products Containing the Same,* DN 2891; the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or complainant's filing under section 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.8(b)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James R. Holbein, Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http://edis.usitc.gov*, and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (*http:// www.usitc.gov*). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http://edis.usitc.gov*. Hearingimpaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to section 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of HumanEyes Technologies, Ltd. on March 29, 2012. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain cameras and mobile devices, related software and firmware, and components thereof and products containing the same. The complaint names as respondents Sony Corporation of Japan; Sony Corporation of America of NY; Sony Electronics Inc. of CA; Sony Mobile Communications AB of United Kingdom; Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. of GA.

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments, not to exceed five (5) pages in length, inclusive of attachments, on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or section 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;

(ii) Identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders;

(iii) Identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) Indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the requested exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) Explain how the requested remedial orders would impact United States consumers.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business, eight calendar days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the docket number ("Docket No. 2891") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (*See* Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, *http:// www.usitc.gov/secretary/ fed_reg_notices/rules/*

handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202) 205– 2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. *See* 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

By order of the Commission. Issued: March 29, 2012.

James R. Holbein,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2012–7976 Filed 4–3–12; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-755]

Certain Starter Motors and Alternators; Notice of Issuance of a Limited Exclusion Order and a Cease and Desist Order; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has terminated the abovecaptioned investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and has issued a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order against respondent American Automotive Parts, Inc. ("AAP") of Niles, Illinois, which was previously found in default in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission

may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at *http://www.usitc.gov.* The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http:// edis.usitc.gov.* Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on January 19, 2011, based on a complaint filed by Remy International, Inc. and Remy Technologies, L.L.C. (collectively, "Remy"), both of Pendleton, Indiana. 76 FR 3158 (Jan. 19, 2011). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States of certain starter motors and alternators by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,105,114 ("the '114 patent"); 5,252,878; 5,268,605 ("the '605 patent"); 5,295,404; 5,307,700; 5,315,195 ("the '195 patent"); and 5,453,648 ("the '648 patent"). The notice of investigation, as amended, named ten respondents including AAP. The complaint and notice of investigation were served on AAP on January 13, 2011. AAP failed to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation. The '114 patent was terminated from the investigation based on partial withdrawal by Remy, and all other respondents have been terminated from the investigation based on either a consent order or a settlement agreement. Claims 1 and 4 of the '605 patent, claims 1-6 of the '195 patent, and claims 1, 5, and 10 of the '648 patent were asserted against AAP

The presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued an initial determination ("ID") on December 22, 2011, finding AAP in default, pursuant to 19 CFR 210.13 and 210.16, because respondent did not respond to the complaint and notice of investigation, or to the ALJ's December 13, 2011 order to show cause. On January 14, 2012, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ID finding AAP in default.

On February 9, 2012, the Commission issued a Notice that requested briefing from interested parties on remedy, the public interest, and bonding with respect to respondent AAP found in default. 77 FR 8898–00 (Feb. 15, 2012).

Both Remy and the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") submitted briefing on remedy, the public interest, and bonding along with proposed orders on March 2, 2012. The IA also submitted a reply brief on March 9, 2012, containing revised orders.

The Commission found that the statutory requirements of section 337(g)(1)(A)-(E) (19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1)(A)-(E)) were met with respect to the defaulting respondent. Accordingly, pursuant to section 337(g)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1)) and Commission rule 210.16(c) (19 CFR 210.16(c)), the Commission presumed the facts alleged in the complaint to be true.

The Commission has determined that the appropriate form of relief is the following: (1) A limited exclusion order prohibiting the unlicensed entry of alternators that infringe one or more of claims 1 and 4 of the '605 patent, claims 1-6 of the '195 patent, or claims 1, 5, and 10 of the '648 patent, which are manufactured abroad by or on behalf of, or are imported by or on behalf of, AAP, or any of its affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, licensees, contractors, or other related business entities, or its successors or assigns; and (2) a cease and desist order prohibiting AAP from conducting any of the following activities in the United States: importing, selling, marketing, advertising, distributing, offering for sale, transferring (except for exportation), and soliciting U.S. agents or distributors for alternators that infringe one or more of claims 1 and 4 of the '605 patent, claims 1–6 of the '195 patent, or claims 1, 5, and 10 of the '648 patent.

The Commission has further determined that the public interest factors enumerated in section 337(g)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1)) do not preclude issuance of the limited exclusion order or the cease and desist order. Finally, the Commission has determined that a bond of 100 percent of the entered value of the covered products is required to permit temporary importation during the period of Presidential review (19 U.S.C. 1337(j)). The Commission's