

remedial orders are used in the United States;

(ii) Identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders;

(iii) Identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) Indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the requested exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) Explain how the requested remedial orders would impact United States consumers.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business, eight calendar days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the docket number ("Docket No. 2886") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.8(c) of

the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 19, 2012.

James R. Holbein,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-6997 Filed 3-22-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-827]

In the Matter of Certain Portable Communication Devices Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Amending the Complaint

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 9) granting a joint motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jia Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-4737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on January 19, 2012, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Digitude Innovations LLC of Alexandria, Virginia ("Digitude") on December 2, 2011 and amended on December 16, 2011. 77 FR 2758 (Jan. 19, 2012). The complaint alleges violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the sale for importation,

or sale after importation of certain portable communication devices by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 7-13 and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 5,926,636; claims 1-9 and 17-25 of U.S. Patent No. 5,929,655; claims 1-8 and 14-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,208,879; and claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,456,841. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents Research In Motion Ltd. of Ontario, Canada; Research In Motion Corp. of Irving, Texas; HTC Corporation of Taoyuan, Taiwan; HTC America, Inc. of Bellevue, Washington; LG Electronics, Inc. of Seoul, South Korea; LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. of Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey; LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc. of San Diego, California; Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. of Libertyville, Illinois; Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd of Seoul, South Korea; Samsung Electronics America, Inc. of Ridgefield Park, New Jersey; Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC of Richardson, Texas; Sony Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Sony Corporation of America of New York, New York; Sony Electronics, Inc. of San Diego, California; Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB of Lund, Sweden; Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. of Research Triangle Park, North Carolina; Amazon.com, Inc. of Seattle, Washington; Nokia Corporation of Espoo, Finland; Nokia Inc. of Irving, Texas; Pantech & Curitel Communication, Inc. of Seoul, South Korea; and Pantech Wireless, Inc. of Atlanta, Georgia.

On January 26, 2012, Digitude and respondent Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. filed a joint motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to substitute Motorola Mobility, Inc. for Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. On February 22, 2012, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion. On February 27, 2012, the presiding administrative law judge issued the subject ID, granting the motion. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 19, 2012.

James R. Holbein,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012-6998 Filed 3-22-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P