

provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of CME and on CME's Web site at [http://www.cmegroup.com/market-regulation/files/SEC\\_19b-4\\_x12-07x.pdf](http://www.cmegroup.com/market-regulation/files/SEC_19b-4_x12-07x.pdf). All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CME-2012-07 and should be submitted on or before April 11, 2012.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>5</sup>

**Kevin M. O'Neill,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2012-6763 Filed 3-20-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-66609; File No. SR-CBOE-2012-024]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Telemarketing Rules

March 15, 2012.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on March 2, 2012, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (the "Exchange" or "CBOE") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

<sup>5</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 9.24, *Telephone Solicitation*, to revise and add provisions that are substantially similar to Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") rules that prohibit deceptive and other abusive telemarketing acts or practices.<sup>3</sup> The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site (<http://www.cboe.com/AboutCBOE/CBOELegalRegulatoryHome.aspx>), at the Exchange's Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

#### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

##### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 9.24, *Telephone Solicitation*,<sup>4</sup> to revise and add provisions that are substantially similar to FTC rules that prohibit deceptive and other abusive telemarketing acts or practices.<sup>5</sup> Rule

<sup>3</sup> The proposed rule change is substantially similar in all material respects to Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") Rule 3230 (Telemarketing), which the Commission recently approved. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 66279 (Jan. 30, 2012), 77 FR 5611 (Feb. 3, 2012) (SR-FINRA-2011-059) (approval order of proposed rule change to adopt telemarketing rule). The proposed rule change amends the name of Rule 9.24 from *Telephone Solicitation to Telemarketing*.

<sup>4</sup> The Exchange adopted Rule 9.24, effective December 13, 2005, in response to the recommendations of an industry task force, comprised of representatives from various industry regulatory and self-regulatory organizations, formed to review broker-dealer telemarketing practices and compliance with the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. 227, as well as with Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") rules and regulations that implemented the TCPA. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 36588 (Dec. 13, 1995), 60 FR 65703 (Dec. 20, 21995) (SR-CBOE-1995-063) (order approving adoption of Rule 9.24).

<sup>5</sup> The proposed rule change also amends Appendix A to the CBOE Stock Exchange, LLC

9.24 requires Trading Permit Holders to, among other things, maintain do-not-call lists, limit the hours of telephone solicitations, and not use deceptive and abusive acts and practices in connection with telemarketing. The Commission directed CBOE to enact these telemarketing rules in accordance with the Telemarketing Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act of 1994 ("Prevention Act").<sup>6</sup> The Prevention Act requires the Commission to promulgate, or direct any national securities exchange or registered securities association to promulgate, rules substantially similar to the FTC rules<sup>7</sup> to prohibit deceptive and other abusive telemarketing acts or practices, unless the Commission determines either that the rules are not necessary or appropriate for the protection of investors or the maintenance of orderly markets, or that existing federal securities laws or Commission rules already provide for such protection.<sup>8</sup>

In 1997, the Commission determined that telemarketing rules promulgated and expected to be promulgated by self-regulatory organizations, together with the other rules of the self-regulatory organizations, the federal securities laws and the Commission's rules thereunder, satisfied the requirements of the Prevention Act because, at the time, the applicable provisions of those laws and rules were substantially similar to the FTC's telemarketing rules.<sup>9</sup> CBOE amended Rule 9.24 at that time in response to the Commission's determination.<sup>10</sup> Since 1997, the FTC has amended its telemarketing rules in light of changing telemarketing practices and technology.<sup>11</sup>

("CBSX") Rules to explicitly incorporate proposed Rule 9.24 CBSX Rules. CBSX is a stock trading facility of CBOE.

<sup>6</sup> 15 U.S.C. 6101-6108.

<sup>7</sup> 16 CFR 310.1-9. The FTC adopted these rules under the Prevention Act in 1995. See Federal Trade Commission, *Telemarketing Sales Rule*, 60 FR 43842 (Aug. 23, 1995).

<sup>8</sup> 15 U.S.C. 6102.

<sup>9</sup> See *Telemarketing and Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act; Determination that No Additional Rulemaking Required*, Securities Exchange Act Release No. 38480 (Apr. 7, 1997), 62 FR 18666 (Apr. 16, 1996). The Commission also determined that some provisions of the FTC's telemarketing rules related to areas already extensively regulated by existing securities laws or activities not applicable to securities transactions *See id.*

<sup>10</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 39010 (Sept. 3, 1997), 62 FR 47712 (Sept. 10, 1997) (SR-CBOE-1997-039) (order granting accelerated approval of amendments to Rule 9.24).

<sup>11</sup> See, e.g., Federal Trade Commission, *Telemarketing Sales Rule*, 73 FR 51164 (Aug. 29, 2008) (amendments to the *Telemarketing Sales Rule* relating to prerecorded messages and call abandonments); and Federal Trade Commission, *Telemarketing Sales Rule*, 68 FR 4580 (Jan. 29, 2003) (amendments to the *Telemarketing Sales Rule*

As mentioned above, the Prevention Act requires the Commission to promulgate, or direct any national securities exchange or registered securities association to promulgate, rules substantially similar to the FTC rules to prohibit deceptive and other abusive telemarketing acts or practices.<sup>12</sup> In May 2011, Commission staff directed CBOE to conduct a review of its telemarketing rule and propose rule amendments that provide protections that are at least as strong as those provided by the FTC's telemarketing rules.<sup>13</sup> Commission staff had concerns "that the [self-regulatory organization] rules overall have not kept pace with the FTC's rules, and thus may no longer meet the standards of the [Prevention] Act."<sup>14</sup>

The proposed rule change, as directed by the Commission staff, amends and adopts provisions in Rule 9.24 that are substantially similar to the FTC's current rules that prohibit deceptive and other abusive telemarketing acts or practices as described below.<sup>15</sup>

#### *Telemarketing Restrictions*

The proposed rule change amends the telemarketing restrictions in Rule 9.24(a) to provide that no Trading Permit Holder or associated person<sup>16</sup> may make an outbound telephone call<sup>17</sup> to:

establishing requirements for sellers and telemarketers to participate in the national do-not-call registry.

<sup>12</sup> See *supra* note 8.

<sup>13</sup> See Letter from Robert W. Cook, Director, Division of Trading and Markets, Securities and Exchange Commission, to William J. Brodsky, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of CBOE Holdings, Inc. (May 12, 2011).

<sup>14</sup> *Id.*

<sup>15</sup> The proposed rule change is also substantially similar to FINRA Rule 3230. See *supra* note 3.

<sup>16</sup> An "associated person" is any partner, officer, director, or branch manager of a Trading Permit Holder (or any person occupying a similar status or performing similar functions), any person directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by, or under common control with a Trading Permit Holder, or any employee of a Trading Permit Holder. See Rule 1.1(qq).

<sup>17</sup> An "outbound telephone call" is a telephone call initiated by a telemarketer to induce the purchase of goods or services or to solicit a charitable contribution from a donor. A "telemarketer" is any person who, in connection with telemarketing, initiates or receives telephone calls to or from a customer or donor. A "customer" is any person who is or may be required to pay for goods or services through telemarketing. A "donor" means any person solicited to make a charitable contribution. A "person" is any individual, group, unincorporated association, limited or general partnership, corporation, or other business entity. "Telemarketing" means consisting of or relating to a plan, program, or campaign involving at least one outbound telephone call, for example cold-calling. The term does not include the solicitation of sales through the mailing of written marketing materials, when the person making the solicitation does not solicit customers by telephone but only receives

(1) Any person's residence at any time other than between 8 a.m. and 9 p.m. local time at the called person's locations;

(2) Any person that previously has stated that he or she does not wish to receive any outbound telephone calls made by or on behalf of the Trading Permit Holder;<sup>18</sup> or

(3) Any person who has registered his or her telephone number on the FTC's national do-not-call registry. The proposed rule change is substantially similar to the FTC's provisions regarding abusive telemarketing acts or practices.<sup>19</sup> The FTC provided a discussion of the provision when it was adopted pursuant to the Prevention Act.<sup>20</sup>

#### *Caller Disclosures*

The proposed rule change amends Rule 9.24(b) to delete the phrase "for the purpose of telemarketing," which concept is included in the proposed definition of "outbound telephone call."<sup>21</sup> The proposed rule change also provides that the telephone number that a caller provides to a person as the number at which the caller may be contacted may not be a 900 number or any other number for which charges exceed local or long-distance transmission charges.<sup>22</sup>

calls initiated by customers in response to the marketing materials and during those calls takes orders only without further solicitation. For purposes of the previous sentence, the term "further solicitation" does not include providing the customer with information about, or attempting to sell, anything promoted in the same marketing materials that prompted the customer's call. A "charitable contribution" means any donation or gift of money or any other thing of value, for example a transfer to a pooled income fund. See proposed Rule 9.24(n)(3), (11), (16), (17), (20), and (21); see also FINRA Rule 3230(m)(11), (14), (16), (17), and (20); and 16 CFR 310.2(f), (l), (n), (v), (w), (cc), and (dd).

<sup>18</sup> This restriction was previously included under Rule 9.24(d). See the discussion below under Item 3(a), *Trading Permit Holder's Firm-Specific Do-Not-Call List*.

<sup>19</sup> See 16 CFR 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(A) and (B) and (c); see also FINRA Rule 3230(a). The proposed rule change also deletes language in Rule 9.24(a) regarding the purpose of an outbound telephone call and the definition of telemarketing, which are now included in the proposed definitions of those terms. See proposed Rule 9.24(n)(16) and (21) and *supra* note 17. In addition, the proposed rule change amends Rule 9.24(a) to delete an exception to the telemarketing restriction that permits outbound telephone calls to a person with the person's prior consent and moves that exception to proposed Rule 9.24(c). See the discussion below under Item 3(a), *Exceptions*.

<sup>20</sup> See Federal Trade Commission, *Telemarketing Sales Rule*, 68 FR 4580 (Jan. 29, 2003) at 4628; and Federal Trade Commission, *Telemarketing Sales Rule*, 60 FR 43842 (Aug. 23, 1995) at 43855.

<sup>21</sup> See proposed Rule 9.24(n)(16) and *supra* note 17.

<sup>22</sup> See proposed Rule 9.24(b); see also FINRA Rule 3230(d)(4). The proposed rule change is

#### *Exceptions*

The proposed rule change amends Rule 9.24(c) to provide that the prohibition in paragraph (a)(1)<sup>23</sup> does not apply to outbound telephone calls by a Trading Permit Holder or an associated person if:

(1) The Trading Permit Holder has received that person's express prior written consent;

(2) The Trading Permit Holder has an established business relationship<sup>24</sup> with the person; or

(3) The person is a broker or dealer.

This amendment deletes the exception related to existing customers and replaces it with the exception for proposed defined term "established business relationships," the definition of which is substantially similar to the FTC's definition of that term.<sup>25</sup>

substantially similar to the FCC's regulations regarding call disclosures. See 47 CFR 64.1200(d)(4).

<sup>23</sup> The proposed rule change amends Rule 9.24(c) to provide that the exception in that paragraph will apply only to the prohibition in proposed paragraph (a)(1) and will no longer apply to the requirement in paragraph (b) regarding caller disclosures. The Exchange believes that even if a Trading Permit Holder satisfies the exception in paragraph (c), the Trading Permit Holder should still make the caller disclosures required by paragraph (b) to the called person to ensure that the called person receives sufficient information regarding the purpose of the call.

<sup>24</sup> An "established business relationship" is a relationship between a Trading Permit Holder and a person if (a) the person has made a financial transaction or has a security position, a money balance, or account activity with the Trading Permit Holder or at a clearing firm that provides clearing services to the Trading Permit Holder within the 18 months immediately preceding the date of an outbound telephone call; (b) the Trading Permit Holder is the broker-dealer of record for an account of the person within the 18 months immediately preceding the date of an outbound telephone call; or (c) the person has contacted the Trading Permit Holder to inquire about a product or service offered by the Trading Permit Holder within the three months immediately preceding the date of an outbound telephone call. A person's established business relationship with a Trading Permit Holder does not extend to the Trading Permit Holder's affiliated entities unless the person would reasonably expect them to be included. Similarly, a person's established business relationship with a Trading Permit Holder's affiliate does not extend to the Trading Permit Holder unless the person would reasonably expect the Trading Permit Holder to be included. The term "account activity" includes, but is not limited to, purchases, sales, interest credits or debits, charges or credits, dividend payments, transfer activity, securities receipts or deliveries, and/or journal entries relating to securities or funds in the possession or control of the Trading Permit Holder. The term "broker-dealer of record" refers to the broker or dealer identified on a customer's account application for accounts held directly at a mutual fund or variable insurance product issuer.

<sup>25</sup> See proposed Rule 9.24(n)(1), (4), and (12); see also 16 CFR 310.2(o) and FINRA Rule 3230(m)(1), (4), and (12).

<sup>25</sup> See *id.*; see also FINRA Rule 3230(a).

### Trading Permit Holder's Firm-Specific Do-Not-Call List

The proposed rule change amends Rule 9.24(d) to provide that each Trading Permit Holder must make and maintain a centralized list of persons who have informed the Trading Permit Holder or any of its associated persons that they do not wish to receive outbound telephone calls.<sup>26</sup> The proposed rule change replaces the term “solicitations” with the proposed term “outbound telephone calls,” the definition of which is substantially similar to the FTC’s definition of that term.<sup>27</sup> The proposed rule change also deletes the prohibition on making outbound telephone calls to persons on the Trading Permit Holder’s firm-specific do-not-call list and moves this prohibition to proposed Rule 9.24(a)(2), as described above.

Proposed Rule 9.24(d)(2) adopts procedures that Trading Permit Holders must institute to comply with Rule 9.24(a) and (b) prior to engaging in telemarketing. These procedures must meet the following minimum standards:

(1) Trading Permit Holders must have a written policy for maintaining their firm-specific do-not-call lists.

(2) Personnel engaged in any aspect of telemarketing must be informed and trained in the existence and use of the Trading Permit Holder’s firm-specific do-not-call list.

(3) If a Trading Permit Holder receives a request from a person not to receive calls from that Trading Permit Holder, the Trading Permit Holder must record the request and place the person’s name, if provided, and telephone number on its firm-specific do-not-call list at the time the request is made.<sup>28</sup>

(4) Trading Permit Holders or associated persons making an outbound telephone call must make the caller disclosures set forth in Rule 9.24(b).

(5) In the absence of a specific request by the person to the contrary, a person’s do-not-call request shall apply to the Trading Permit Holder making the call, and shall not apply to affiliated entities

<sup>26</sup> The proposed rule change also renumbers this provision as paragraph (d)(1).

<sup>27</sup> See 16 CFR 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(A) and *supra* note 17; see also FINRA Rule 3230(a)(2). Additionally, this proposed rule change replaces a reference to the term “member” with “Trading Permit Holder,” which conforms to the term currently used in CBOE’s Rules.

<sup>28</sup> Trading Permit Holders must honor a person’s do-not-call request within a reasonable time from the date the request is made, which may not exceed 30 days from the date of the request. If these requests are recorded or maintained by a party other than the Trading Permit Holder on whose behalf the outbound telephone call is made, the Trading Permit Holder on whose behalf the outbound telephone call is made will still be liable for any failures to honor the do-not-call request.

unless the consumer reasonably would expect them to be included given the identification of the call and the product being advertised.

(6) A Trading Permit Holder making outbound telephone calls must maintain a record of a person’s request not to receive further calls.

Inclusion of this requirement to adopt these procedures will not create any new obligations on Trading Permit Holders, as they are already subject to identical provisions under FCC telemarketing regulations.<sup>29</sup>

### Do-Not-Call Safe Harbors

Proposed Rule 9.24(e) provides for certain exceptions to the telemarketing restriction set forth in proposed Rule 9.24(a)(3), which prohibits outbound telephone calls to persons on the FTC’s national do-not-call registry.

First, proposed Rule 9.24(e)(1) provides that a Trading Permit Holder or associated person making outbound telephone calls will not be liable for violating proposed Rule 9.24(a)(3) if:

(1) The Trading Permit Holder has an established business relationship with the called person; however, a person’s request to be placed on the Trading Permit Holder’s firm-specific do-not-call list terminates the established business relationship exception to the national do-not-call registry provision for that Trading Permit Holder even if the person continues to do business with the Trading Permit Holder;

(2) The Trading Permit Holder has obtained the person’s prior express written consent, which must be clearly evidenced by a signed, written agreement (which may be obtained electronically under the E-Sign Act<sup>30</sup>) between the person and the Trading Permit Holder that states that the person agrees to be contacted by the Trading Permit Holder and includes the telephone number to which the calls may be placed; or

(3) The Trading Permit Holder or associated person making the call has a personal relationship<sup>31</sup> with the called person.

The proposed rule change is substantially similar to the FTC’s provision regarding an exception to the prohibition on making outbound telephone calls to persons on the FTC’s

<sup>29</sup> See 47 CFR 64.1200(d); see also FINRA Rule 3230(d).

<sup>30</sup> 15 U.S.C. 7001 *et seq.*

<sup>31</sup> The term “personal relationship” means any family member, friend, or acquaintance of the person making an outbound telephone call. See proposed Rule 9.24(n)(18); see also FINRA Rule 3230(m)(18).

do-not-call registry.<sup>32</sup> The FTC provided a discussion of the provision when it was adopted pursuant to the Prevention Act.<sup>33</sup>

Second, proposed Rule 9.24(e)(2) provides that a Trading Permit Holder or associated person making outbound telephone calls will not be liable for violating proposed Rule 9.24(a)(3) if the Trading Permit Holder or associated person demonstrates that the violation is the result of an error and that as part of the Trading Permit Holder’s routine business practice:

(1) The Trading Permit Holder has established and implemented written procedures to comply with Rule 9.24(a) and (b);

(2) The Trading Permit Holder has trained its personnel, and any entity assisting in its compliance, in the procedures established pursuant to the preceding clause;

(3) The Trading Permit Holder has maintained and recorded a list of telephone numbers that it may not contact in compliance with Rule 9.24(d); and

(4) The Trading Permit Holder uses a process to prevent outbound telephone calls to any telephone number on the Trading Permit Holder’s firm-specific do-not-call list or the national do-not-call registry, employing a version of the national do-not-call registry obtained from the FTC no more than 31 days prior to the date any call is made, and maintains records documenting this process.

The proposed rule change is substantially similar to the FTC’s safe harbor to the prohibition on making outbound telephone calls to persons on the FTC’s national do-not-call registry.<sup>34</sup> The FTC provided a discussion of the provision when it was adopted pursuant to the Prevention Act.<sup>35</sup>

### Wireless Communications

Proposed Rule 9.24(f) clarifies that the provisions set forth in Rule 9.24 are applicable to Trading Permit Holders and associated persons making outbound telephone calls to wireless telephone numbers.<sup>36</sup>

<sup>32</sup> See 16 CFR 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(B); see also FINRA Rule 3230(b).

<sup>33</sup> See Federal Trade Commission, *Telemarketing Sales Rule*, 68 FR 4580 (Jan. 29, 2003) at 4628; and Federal Trade Commission, *Telemarketing Sales Rule*, 60 FR 43842 (Aug. 23, 1995) at 43854.

<sup>34</sup> See 16 CFR 310.4(b)(3); see also FINRA Rule 3230(c).

<sup>35</sup> See Federal Trade Commission, *Telemarketing Sales Rule*, 68 FR 4580 (Jan. 29, 2003) at 4628; and Federal Trade Commission, *Telemarketing Sales Rule*, 60 FR 43842 (Aug. 23, 1995) at 43855.

<sup>36</sup> See also FINRA Rule 3230(e).

### *Outsourcing Telemarketing*

Proposed Rule 9.24(g) states that if a Trading Permit Holder uses another entity to perform telemarketing services on its behalf, the Trading Permit Holder remains responsible for ensuring compliance with Rule 9.24. The proposed rule change also provides that an entity or person to which a Trading Permit Holder outsources its telemarketing services must be appropriately registered or licensed, where required.<sup>37</sup>

### *Billing Information*

The proposed rule change reletters Rule 9.24(e) as Rule 9.24(h) and provides that, for any telemarketing transaction, no Trading Permit Holder or associated person may submit billing information<sup>38</sup> for payment without the express informed consent of the customer. Proposed Rule 9.24(h) requires that each Trading Permit Holder or associated person must obtain the express informed consent of the person to be charged and to be charged using the identified account.

If the telemarketing transaction involves preacquired account information<sup>39</sup> and a free-to-pay conversion<sup>40</sup> feature, the Trading Permit Holder or associated person must:

(1) Obtain from the customer, at a minimum, the last four digits of the account number to be charged;

(2) Obtain from the customer an express agreement to be charged and to be charged using the identified account number; and

(3) Make and maintain an audio recording of the entire telemarketing transaction.

For any other telemarketing transaction involving preacquired account information, the Trading Permit Holder or associated person must:

<sup>37</sup> See also FINRA Rule 3230(f).

<sup>38</sup> The term “billing information” means any data that enables any person to access a customer’s or donor’s account, such as a credit or debit card number, a brokerage, checking, or savings account number, or a mortgage loan account number. See proposed Rule 9.24(n)(3).

<sup>39</sup> The term “preacquired account information” means any information that enables a Trading Permit Holder or associated person to cause a charge to be placed against a customer’s or donor’s account without obtaining the account number directly from the customer or donor during the telemarketing transaction pursuant to which the account will be charged. See proposed Rule 9.24(n)(19).

<sup>40</sup> The term “free-to-pay conversion” means, in an offer or agreement to sell or provide any goods or services, a provision under which a customer receives a product or service for free for an initial period and will incur an obligation to pay for the product or service if he or she does not take affirmative action to cancel before the end of that period. See proposed Rule 9.24(n)(13).

(1) Identify the account to be charged with sufficient specificity for the customer to understand what account will be charged; and

(2) Obtain from the customer an express agreement to be charged and to be charged using the identified account number.

The proposed rule change is substantially similar to the FTC’s provision regarding the submission of billing information.<sup>41</sup> The FTC provided a discussion of the provision when it was adopted pursuant to the Prevention Act.<sup>42</sup>

### *Caller Identification Information*

Proposed Rule 9.24(i) provides that Trading Permit Holders that engage in telemarketing must transmit caller identification information<sup>43</sup> and are explicitly prohibited from blocking caller identification information. The telephone number provided must permit any person to make a do-not-call request during normal business hours. These provisions are similar to the caller identification provision in the FTC rules.<sup>44</sup> Inclusion of these caller identification provisions in this proposed rule change will not create any new obligations on Trading Permit Holders, as they are already subject to identical provisions under FCC telemarketing regulations.<sup>45</sup>

### *Unencrypted Consumer Account Numbers*

Proposed Rule 9.24(j) prohibits a Trading Permit Holder or associated person from disclosing or receiving, for consideration, unencrypted consumer account numbers for use in telemarketing. The proposed rule change is substantially similar to the FTC’s provision regarding unencrypted consumer account numbers.<sup>46</sup> The FTC provided a discussion of the provision when it was adopted pursuant to the Prevention Act.<sup>47</sup> Additionally, the proposed rule change defines “unencrypted” as not only complete, visible account numbers, whether provided in lists or singly, but also encrypted information with a key to its

<sup>41</sup> See 16 CFR 310.4(a)(7); see also FINRA Rule 3230(i).

<sup>42</sup> See Federal Trade Commission, *Telemarketing Sales Rule*, 68 FR 4580 (Jan. 29, 2003) at 4616.

<sup>43</sup> Caller identification information includes the telephone number and, when made available by the Trading Permit Holder’s telephone carrier, the name of the Trading Permit Holder.

<sup>44</sup> See 16 CFR 310.4(a)(8); see also FINRA Rule 3230(g).

<sup>45</sup> See 47 CFR 64.1601(e).

<sup>46</sup> See 16 CFR 310.4(a)(6); see also FINRA Rule 3230(h).

<sup>47</sup> See Federal Trade Commission, *Telemarketing Sales Rule*, 68 FR 4580 (Jan. 29, 2003) at 4615.

decryption. The proposed definition is substantially similar to the view taken by the FTC.<sup>48</sup>

### *Abandoned Calls*

Proposed Rule 9.24(k) prohibits a Trading Permit Holder or associated person from abandoning<sup>49</sup> any outbound telephone call. The abandoned calls prohibition is subject to a “safe harbor” under proposed Rule 9.24(k)(2) that requires a Trading Permit Holder or associated person:

(1) To employ technology that ensures abandonment of no more than three percent of all calls answered by a person, measured over the duration of a single calling campaign, if less than 30 days, or separately over each successive 30-day period or portion thereof that the campaign continues;

(2) For each outbound telephone call placed, to allow the telephone to ring for at least 15 seconds or four rings before disconnecting an unanswered call;

(3) Whenever a Trading Permit Holder or associated person is not available to speak with the person answering the outbound telephone call within two seconds after the person’s completed greeting, promptly to play a prerecorded message stating the name and telephone number of the Trading Permit Holder or associated person on whose behalf the call was placed; and

(4) To maintain records documenting compliance with the “safe harbor.” The proposed rule change is substantially similar to the FTC’s provisions regarding abandoned calls.<sup>50</sup> The FTC provided a discussion of the provisions when they were adopted pursuant to the Prevention Act.<sup>51</sup>

### *Prerecorded Messages*

Proposed Rule 9.24(l) prohibits a Trading Permit Holder or associated person from initiating any outbound telephone call that delivers a prerecorded message without a person’s express written agreement<sup>52</sup> to receive

<sup>48</sup> See *id.* at 4616.

<sup>49</sup> An outbound telephone call is “abandoned” if the called person answers it and the call is not connected to a Trading Permit Holder or associated person within two seconds of the called person’s completed greeting.

<sup>50</sup> See 16 CFR 310.4(b)(1)(iv) and (b)(4); see also FINRA Rule 3230(j).

<sup>51</sup> See Federal Trade Commission, *Telemarketing Sales Rule*, 68 FR 4580 (Jan. 29, 2003) at 4641.

<sup>52</sup> The express written agreement must: (a) Have been obtained only after a clear and conspicuous disclosure that the purpose of the agreement is to authorize the Trading Permit Holder to place prerecorded calls to such person; (b) have been obtained without requiring, directly or indirectly, that the agreement be executed as a condition of purchasing any good or service; (c) evidence the

Continued

such calls. The proposed rule change also requires that all prerecorded outbound telephone calls provide specified opt-out mechanisms so that a person can opt out of future calls. The prohibition does not apply to a prerecorded message permitted for compliance with the “safe harbor” for abandoned calls under proposed Rule 9.24(k)(2). The proposed rule change is substantially similar to the FTC’s provisions regarding prerecorded messages.<sup>53</sup> The FTC provided a discussion of the provisions when they were adopted pursuant to the Prevention Act.<sup>54</sup>

#### Credit Card Laundering

Proposed Rule 9.24(m) prohibits credit card laundering, the practice of depositing into the credit card system<sup>55</sup> a sales draft that is not the result of a credit card transaction between the cardholder<sup>56</sup> and the Trading Permit Holder. Except as expressly permitted, the proposed rule change prohibits a Trading Permit Holder or associated person from:

(1) Presenting to or depositing into the credit card system for payment, a credit card sales draft<sup>57</sup> generated by a telemarketing transaction that is not the result of a telemarketing credit card transaction between the cardholder and the Trading Permit Holder;

(2) Employing, soliciting, or otherwise causing a merchant,<sup>58</sup> or an employee,

willingness of the called person to receive calls that deliver prerecorded messages by or on behalf of the Trading Permit Holder; and (d) include the person’s telephone number and signature (which may be obtained electronically under the E-Sign Act).

<sup>53</sup> See 16 CFR 310.4(b)(1)(v); see also FINRA Rule 3230(k).

<sup>54</sup> See Federal Trade Commission, *Telemarketing Sales Rule*, 73 FR 51164 (Aug. 29, 2008) at 51165.

<sup>55</sup> The term “credit card system” means any method or procedure used to process credit card transactions involving credit cards issued or licensed by the operator of that system. The term “credit card” means any card, plate, coupon book, or other credit device existing for the purpose of obtaining money, property, labor, or services on credit. The term “credit” means the right granted by a creditor to a debtor to defer payment of debt or to incur debt and defer its payment. See proposed Rule 9.24(n)(7), (8), and (10).

<sup>56</sup> The term “cardholder” means a person to whom a credit card is issued or who is authorized to use a credit card on behalf of or in addition to the person to whom the credit card is issued. See proposed Rule 9.24(n)(6).

<sup>57</sup> The term “credit card sales draft” means any record or evidence of a credit card transaction. See proposed Rule 9.24(n)(9).

<sup>58</sup> The term “merchant” means a person who is authorized under a written contract with an acquirer to honor or accept credit cards, or to transmit or process for payment credit card payments, for the purchase of goods or services or a charitable contribution. The term “acquirer” means a business organization, financial institution, or an agent of a business organization or financial institution that has authority from an organization

representative or agent of the merchant to present to or to deposit into the credit card system for payment, a credit card sales draft generated by a telemarketing transaction that is not the result of a telemarketing credit card transaction between the cardholder and the Trading Permit Holder; or

(3) Obtaining access to the credit card system through the use of a business relationship or an affiliation with a merchant, when such access is not authorized by the merchant agreement<sup>59</sup> or the applicable credit card system.

The proposed rule change is substantially similar to the FTC’s provision regarding credit card laundering.<sup>60</sup> The FTC provided a discussion of the provisions when they were adopted pursuant to the Prevention Act.<sup>61</sup>

#### Definitions

Proposed Rule 9.24(n) adopts the following definitions, which are substantially similar to the FTC’s definitions of these terms: “Acquirer,” “billing information,” “caller identification service,” “cardholder,” “charitable contribution,” “credit,” “credit card,” “credit card sales draft,” “credit card system,” “customer,” “donor,” “established business relationship,” “free-to-pay conversion,” “merchant,” “merchant agreement,” “outbound telephone call,” “person,” “preacquired account information,” “telemarketer,” and “telemarketing.”<sup>62</sup> The FTC provided a discussion of each

that operates or licenses a credit card system to authorize merchants to accept, transmit, or process payment by credit card through the credit card system for money, goods or services, or anything else of value. See proposed Rule 9.24(n)(2) and (14).

<sup>59</sup> The term “merchant agreement” means a written contract between a merchant and an acquirer to honor or accept credit cards, or to transmit or process for payment credit card payments, for the purchase of goods or services or a charitable contribution. See proposed Rule 9.24(n)(15).

<sup>60</sup> See 16 CFR 310.3(c); see also FINRA Rule 3230(l).

<sup>61</sup> See Federal Trade Commission, *Telemarketing Sales Rule*, 60 FR 43842 (Aug. 23, 1995) at 43852.

<sup>62</sup> See proposed Rule 9.24(n)(2), (3), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14), (15), (16), (17), (19), (20), and (21); and 16 CFR 310.2(a), (c), (d), (e), (f), (h), (i), (j), (k), (l), (n), (o), (p), (s), (t), (v), (w), (x), (cc), and (dd); see also FINRA Rule 3230(m)(2), (3), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14), (15), (16), (17), (19), and (20). The proposed rule change also adopts definitions of “account activity,” “broker-dealer of record,” and “personal relationship” that are substantially similar to FINRA’s definitions of these terms. See proposed Rule 9.24(n)(1), (4), and (18) and FINRA Rule 3230(m)(1), (4), and (18); see also 47 CFR 64.1200(f)(14) (FCC’s definition of “personal relationship”).

definition when they were adopted pursuant to the Prevention Act.<sup>63</sup>

#### State and Federal Laws

The proposed rule change amends Rule 9.24, Interpretation and Policy .01<sup>64</sup> to remind Trading Permit Holders and associated persons that engage in telemarketing that they also are subject to the requirements of relevant state and federal laws and rules, including the Prevention Act, the TCPA,<sup>65</sup> and the rules of the FCC relating to telemarketing practices and the rights of telephone consumers.<sup>66</sup>

#### Applicability to CBSX

The proposed rule change also amends Appendix A, *Applicability of Rules of the Exchange*, to the CBSX Rules to add Rule 9.24 to the list of CBOE Rules that apply to CBSX. The Introduction to the CBSX Rules provides that the trading of non-option securities on CBSX are subject to the Rules in Chapters 1 through 29 (including Rule 9.24) of the Exchange Rules to the same extent such Rules apply to the trading of the products to which those Rules apply, in some cases supplemented or replaced by the Rules in Chapters 50 through 54, except for Rules that have been replaced by rules in Chapters 50 through 54 and except where the context otherwise requires. Through this provision, the telemarketing restrictions in Rule 9.24 have always applied to CBSX Trading Permit Holders. The proposed rule change merely makes the applicability of Rule 9.24 to CBSX Trading Permit Holders explicit in Appendix A.

#### Announcement in Regulatory Circular

The Exchange will announce the implementation date of the proposed rule change in a Regulatory Circular to be published no later than 90 days following the effective date. The implementation date will be no later than 180 days following the effective date.

#### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.<sup>67</sup> Specifically,

<sup>63</sup> See Federal Trade Commission, *Telemarketing Sales Rule*, 60 FR 43842 (Aug. 23, 1995) at 43843; and Federal Trade Commission, *Telemarketing Sales Rule*, 68 FR 4580 (Jan. 29, 2003) at 4587.

<sup>64</sup> See also FINRA Rule 3230, Supplementary Material .01, *Compliance with Other Requirements*.

<sup>65</sup> See 47 U.S.C. 227.

<sup>66</sup> See 47 CFR 64.1200.

<sup>67</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)<sup>68</sup> requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts, to remove impediments to and to perfect the mechanism for a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

In particular, the proposed rule change will prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and protect investors and the public interest by continuing to prohibit Trading Permit Holders from engaging in deceptive and other abusive telemarketing acts or practices.

Additionally, the proposed rule change removes impediments to and perfects the mechanism for a free and open market and a national market system, because it provides consistency among telemarketing rules of national securities exchanges and FINRA, therefore making it easier for investors to comply with these rules. The proposed rule change to include Rule 9.24 in the list of Exchange Rules that apply to CBSX also protects investors by eliminating any potential confusion among investors as to whether Rule 9.24 applies to CBSX Trading Permit Holders.

#### *B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition*

CBOE does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

#### *C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

### **III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not:

A. Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest;

B. Impose any significant burden on competition; and

C. Become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)<sup>69</sup> of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)<sup>70</sup> thereunder.

<sup>68</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>69</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>70</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

### **IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### *Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-CBOE-2012-024 on the subject line.

#### *Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2012-024. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions

should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2012-024 and should be submitted on or before April 11, 2012.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>71</sup>

**Kevin M. O'Neill,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2012-6765 Filed 3-20-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## **SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**[Release No. 34-66602; File No. SR-Phlx-2012-31]**

### **Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Acceptable Complex Execution ("ACE") Parameter Order Protection Feature**

March 14, 2012.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4<sup>2</sup> thereunder, notice is hereby given that on March 8, 2012, NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC ("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### **I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

The Exchange proposes to amend Exchange Rule 1080, Commentary .08, Complex Orders on Phlx XL, by adopting new Rule 1080.08(i), which would establish an Acceptable Complex Execution Parameter ("ACE Parameter"), a price range outside of which a Complex Order (as defined below) will not be executed by the PHLX XL® automated options trading system<sup>3</sup> following a Complex Order

<sup>1</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> This proposal refers to "PHLX XL" as the Exchange's automated options trading system. In May 2009 the Exchange enhanced the system and adopted corresponding rules referring to the system as "Phlx XL II." See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59995 (May 28, 2009), 74 FR 26750 (June 3, 2009) (SR-Phlx-2009-32). The Exchange intends to submit a separate technical proposed rule change that would change all references to the system from "Phlx XL II" to "PHLX XL" for branding purposes.