

company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the producer is a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the investigation, the cash deposit rate will be 17.70 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent increase in antidumping duties by the amount of antidumping and/or countervailing duties reimbursed.

#### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also is the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 5, 2012.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### Appendix I

List of Comments in the Accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum:

##### A. General Issues

Comment 1: *Treatment of "Negative Dumping Margins" (Zeroing).*

Comment 2: *Collapsing Union and POSCO.*

##### B. Company-Specific Issues

Hyundai HYSCO

Comment 3: *Treatment of Non-temper Rolled Merchandise.*

Comment 4: *Date of Sale for U.S. Sales.*

The POSCO Group

Comment 5: *Revocation from the Order.*

Comment 6: *Date of Sale for U.S. Sales.*

Union

Comment 7: *Date of Sale for U.S. Sales.*

Comment 8: *Missing Payment Dates.*

Dongbu

Comment 9: *Treatment of Home Market Billing Adjustments.*

[FR Doc. 2012-5937 Filed 3-9-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Max Planck Florida Institute, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscope

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

*Docket Number:* 11-061. *Applicant:* Max Planck Florida Institute, Jupiter, FL 33458. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* See notice at 77 FR 5767, February 6, 2012.

*Docket Number:* 11-070. *Applicant:* University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* See notice at 77 FR 5767, February 6, 2012.

*Docket Number:* 11-071. *Applicant:* Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409-3103. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* Hitachi High-Technologies Corporation, Japan. *Intended Use:* See notice at 77 FR 5767, February 6, 2012.

*Docket Number:* 11-073. *Applicant:* Ball State University, Muncie, IN 47306. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* JEOL Ltd., Japan. *Intended Use:* See notice at 77 FR 5767, February 6, 2012.

*Docket Number:* 11-075. *Applicant:* Cleveland State University, Cleveland, OH 44115-2214. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* See notice at 77 FR 5767, February 6, 2012.

*Docket Number:* 12-003. *Applicant:* University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* See notice at 77 FR 5767, February 6, 2012.

*Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as this instrument is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States at the time the instrument was ordered. *Reasons:* Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: March 5, 2012.

**Gregory W. Campbell,**

*Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2012-5934 Filed 3-9-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### University of California, Davis, et al.; Notice of Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC.

*Docket Number:* 11-072. *Applicant:* University of California, Davis, NEAT ORU, One Shields Avenue Davis, CA 95616. *Instrument:* Alexsys 1000 Calorimeter. *Manufacturer:* Setaram Instrumentation, France. *Intended Use:* See notice at 77 FR 5768, February 6, 2012. *Comments:* None received.

*Decision:* Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as