

identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-Phlx-2012-17 and should be submitted on or before March 19, 2012.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹¹

Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-4400 Filed 2-24-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

PGI Energy, Inc.; Order of Suspension of Trading

February 23, 2012.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of PGI Energy, Inc. f/k/a Tensas, Inc. ("PGI Energy") because of questions regarding the accuracy and adequacy of representations by PGI Energy in press releases and other public statements concerning the company's business activities and contracts, and the nature and timing of a dividend the company announced to shareholders. PGI Energy is quoted on OTC Link operated by OTC Markets Group, Inc. under the ticker symbol "PGIE."

The Commission is of the opinion that the public interest and the protection of investors require a suspension of trading in the securities of the above-listed company.

Therefore, it is ordered, pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in the securities of the above-listed company is suspended for the period from 9:30 a.m. EST, on February 23, 2012 through 11:59 p.m. EST, on March 7, 2012.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-4618 Filed 2-23-12; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

Jetronic Industries, Inc. (n/k/a New Bastion Development, Inc.), JMAR Technologies, Inc., Kolorfusion International, Inc. Legalopinion.com (n/k/a Drayton Richdale Corp.), Lifestream Technologies, Inc., Lions Petroleum, Inc., (n/k/a China Hongxing Agritech, Inc.), Luna Technologies International, Inc., Litewave Corp., MDI, Inc., and MobilePro Corp.; Order of Suspension of Trading

DATE: February 23, 2012.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Jetronic Industries, Inc. (n/k/a New Bastion Development, Inc.) because it has filed only two periodic reports since the period ended January 31, 2000.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of JMAR Technologies, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended September 30, 2008.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Kolorfusion International, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since September 30, 2008.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Legalopinion.com (n/k/a Drayton Richdale Corp.) because it has not filed any periodic reports from the period ended December 31, 2000 through the period ended December 31, 2008, or from the period ended June 30, 2009 through the period ended September 30, 2010.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Lifestream Technologies, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended March 31, 2006.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Lions Petroleum, Inc. (n/k/a China Hongxing Agritech, Inc.) because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended December 31, 2007.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a

lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Luna Technologies International, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended September 30, 2006.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Litewave Corp. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended September 30, 2009.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of MDI, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended September 30, 2009.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of MobilePro Corp. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended March 31, 2009.

The Commission is of the opinion that the public interest and the protection of investors require a suspension of trading in the securities of the above-listed companies.

Therefore, it is ordered, pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in the securities of the above-listed companies is suspended for the period from 9:30 a.m. EST on February 23, 2012, through 11:59 p.m. EST on March 7, 2012.

By the Commission.

Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-4619 Filed 2-23-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Data Collection Available for Public Comments and Recommendations

ACTION: 60-Day notice and request for comments. 8(a) Business Development Program.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Small Business Administration's intentions to request approval on a new and/or currently approved information collection.

DATES: Submit comments on or before April 27, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Send all comments regarding whether this information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the function of the agency, whether the burden estimates

¹¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

are accurate, and if there are ways to minimize the estimated burden and enhance the quality of the collection, to Sandra Johnston, Program Analyst, Office of Financial Assistance, Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street, 7th Floor, Washington, DC 20416.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandra Johnston, Program Analyst, 202-205-7528, Sandra.johnston@sba.gov
Curtis B. Rich, Management Analyst, 202-205-7030, curtis.rich@sba.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The servicing agent agreement is executed by the borrower, certified development company and the loan servicing agent. The agreement is primarily used to certify use of loan proceeds, appoint a servicing agent and acknowledge the imposition of various fees.

Title: "Servicing Agent Agreement".
Description of Respondents: Certified Development Companies and SBA Borrowers.

Form Number: N/A.
Annual Responses: 7,830.
Annual Burden: 7,830.

Jacqueline White,

Chief, Administrative Information Branch.

[FR Doc. 2012-4388 Filed 2-24-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE : P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[License No. 03/03-0247]

Solutions Capital I, L.P.; Notice Seeking Exemption Under the Small Business Investment Act, Conflicts of Interest

Notice is hereby given that Solutions Capital I, L.P., 1100 Wilson Blvd., Suite 3000, Arlington, VA 22209, a Federal Licensee under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended ("the Act"), in connection with the financing of a small concern, has sought an exemption under § 312 of the Act and § 107.730, Financings which constitute conflicts of interest, of the Small Business Administration Rules and Regulations (13 CFR 107). Solutions Capital I, L.P., proposes to acquire debt financing from MCG Capital Corporation in Advanced Sleep Concepts, Inc., 195 Chatillon Road NE., Rome, GA, 30162. The financing is contemplated to provide growth capital for the company.

The financing is brought within the purview of § 107.730(a) of the Regulations because MCG Capital Corporation, an Associate of Solutions Capital I, L.P., has a greater than 10% equity interest in Advanced Sleep Concepts, Inc., thereby making Advanced Sleep Concepts, Inc., an

Associate of Solutions Capital I, L.P., as defined in § 107.50 of the Regulations.

Notice is hereby given that any interested person may submit written comments on the transaction to the Associate Administrator for Investment, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW., Washington, DC 20416.

Sean J. Greene,

Associate Administrator for Investment and Innovation.

[FR Doc. 2012-4391 Filed 2-24-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

Additional Guidance on Airfare/Air Tour Price Advertisements

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Notice providing additional guidance on airfare/air tour price advertisements.

SUMMARY: The Department is publishing the following notice providing additional guidance on airfare/air tour price advertisements.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nicholas Lowry, Attorney, Office of Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings (C-70), 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, (202) 366-9349.

Additional Guidance on Airfare/Air Tour Price Advertisements

This notice provides additional guidance to airlines and ticket agents that market prices for air transportation, air tours, or tour components in connection with air transportation regarding the full fare advertising rule. It describes several airline and ticket agent practices that the Office of Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings (Enforcement Office) considers to violate section 399.84 and/or to be unfair and deceptive and/or an unfair method of competition in violation of 49 U.S.C. 41712. The purpose of this notice is to urge voluntary compliance by airlines and ticket agents and to announce the office's intention to pursue enforcement action where it discovers such practices, as appropriate.

Separate Listing of Taxes and Carrier Fees

If a vendor chooses to make available information regarding the amount of taxes and/or fees that are included in the full fare, the disclosure must accurately distinguish between taxes and government fees on the one hand

and carrier-imposed fees on the other. In addition, with respect to information about carrier-imposed fees included in the full fare, such disclosure must accurately represent the actual cost of the item for which the charge is assessed and must not otherwise be deceptive.

Under past policy that expired on January 25, 2012, fare advertisements were permitted to state, separately from the base fare, government fees and charges that were not *ad valorem* in nature. Carrier-imposed charges, such as fuel or security surcharges, had to be included in the base fare initially presented to consumers on Web site displays, but carriers were allowed to break out these charges, along with all government taxes and fees, in subsequent screens or through pop-ups or hyperlinks. We have found, in reviewing airline Web sites, that many Web sites which detailed additional fees labeled all additional charges, government and carrier-imposed, as taxes when in fact carrier-imposed fees were often the major portion of these fees. Such displays were deceptive and in violation of section 41712.

The Department's new consumer rule, "Enhancing Airline Passenger Protections," 76 FR 23110 (Apr. 25, 2011), requires, among other things, that the first price quote presented must be the full price, including all taxes, fees and all carrier surcharges. This full price provision became effective January 26, 2012. In response to concerns expressed by carriers, the Department made clear in the preamble to the rule that advertisers are free to advise the public in price solicitations about government taxes and fees as well as carrier- or agent-imposed fees that are included within the single total price, so long as that notice is not deceptive. For example, as we explained in the final rule, sellers of air transportation may have pop-ups or links adjacent to an advertised price to take the consumer to a listing of such charges, or they may display these charges on the same page in a less prominent manner than the total price if they prefer.¹ In particular, the Department noted that any such charges must be displayed on a per-passenger basis, accurately reflect the actual costs of the service covered, and not otherwise be deceptive. (14 CFR 399.84, 76 FR 23110, 23143). When a cost component is described as a fuel surcharge, for example, that amount

¹ See also Office of Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings, DOT, Answers to Frequently Asked Questions, at 22 (Aug 19, 2011, revised Sept. 6, 2011, and Oct 19, 2011), available at http://airconsumer.ost.dot.gov/rules/EAPP_22_FAQ_10-19-2011.pdf.