

amended technical basis document and commence with the rulemaking. Changes will also need to be made to any 10 CFR Part 61 performance assessment companion guidance document to address the recent June 2012 direction. The completion date for submittal of a revised rulemaking package is currently July 19, 2013.

The Commission also directed the staff to gather information on the options presented in SECY-10-0165, dated December 27, 2010,<sup>4</sup> concerning the staff's approach to a risk-informing 10 CFR Part 61. Previously, the NRC staff sponsored an earlier workshop on SECY-10-0165, on March 4, 2011 (76 FR 10810). The staff intends to seek the public's views on earlier stakeholder comments received as well as other proposals for a risk-informed revision of 10 CFR Part 61.

#### IV. Emerging Issues Concerning Part 61

The NRC staff has also conducted other activities related to 10 CFR Part 61. These include revisions to the Commission's *LLW Volume Reduction Policy Statement* (76 FR 50500; August 15, 2011); and the *Concentration Averaging Branch Technical Position* (76 FR 4739; January 26, 2011). Through the course of those stakeholder interactions, the staff received comments and suggestions relevant to the more comprehensive revision of 10 CFR Part 61. For example, stakeholders have recommended changes that would lengthen the period of institutional controls and allow a site-specific intruder assessment. Some stakeholders have questioned basic fundamental tenets of 10 CFR Part 61 including the need to protect the inadvertent intruder. The staff intends to seek the public's views on these and other stakeholder comments.

#### V. NRC Public Meeting

The purpose of this public meeting is to gather information from stakeholders and other interested members of the public concerning the rulemaking proposals identified by the Commission in its January 2012 SRM. This overall approach is consistent with NRC's openness policy and is consistent with the type of public outreach initiative originally used by the NRC staff to develop 10 CFR Part 61. The March 2, 2012, public meeting will be organized into three parts. In the first part, the NRC staff will seek public feedback on the pros and cons of the four technical issues specifically identified by the

Commission in its January 2012 SRM. In the second part, the staff will identify other technical issues identified by stakeholders bearing on the 10 CFR Part 61 rule and seek public feedback on the merits of these (additional) changes that have been suggested in connection with other on-going LLW regulatory initiatives. In the third session, the staff will seek public feedback on the options proposed in SECY-10-0165 and accept other proposals for a comprehensive revision of 10 CFR Part 61.

The public meeting will be held on March 2, 2012, from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. at the Marriott Renaissance Phoenix Downtown Hotel, 50 East Adams Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85004. Pre-registration for this meeting is not necessary. Members of the public choosing to participate in this meeting remotely can do so in one of two ways—online, or via a telephone (audio) connection. Instructions for remote participation in this meeting follow.

Interested members of the public can also participate in this meeting via webinar. The webinar meeting registration link can be found at: <https://www1.gotomeeting.com/register/191710105>. After registering, instructions for joining the webinar (including a teleconference number and pass code) will be provided via email. All participants will be in "listen-only" mode during the presentation. Participants will have a chance to pose questions either orally after the presentation or in writing during the webinar.

To receive a call back, provide your phone number when you join the meeting, or call the following number and enter the access code:

*Call-in toll-free number (US/Canada):*  
1-888-942-8392, access code: 8568781.

The agenda for the public meeting will be noticed no fewer than ten (10) days prior to the meeting on the NRC's Public Meeting Schedule Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/public-meetings/index.cfm>. Subsequent public meetings are tentatively planned for Dallas, Texas, on May 15, 2012 and late July in Rockville, Maryland.

Comments may be sent to the address listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

Questions about participation in the public meetings should be directed to the points of contact listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of February 2012.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Andrew Persinko,**

*Deputy Director, Environmental Protection and Performance Assessment Directorate, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2012-4090 Filed 2-21-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2012-0145; Directorate Identifier 2011-NM-066-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** We propose to supersede an existing airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to certain The Boeing Company Model 767 airplanes. The existing AD currently requires revising the Airworthiness Limitations Section of the maintenance planning data (MPD) document. Since we issued that AD, a re-evaluation of certain doors and flaps was done based on their fatigue-critical nature. This proposed AD would revise the maintenance program to incorporate an additional limitation, and would add airplanes to the applicability. We are proposing this AD to detect and correct fatigue cracking of the principal structural element (PSEs), which could adversely affect the structural integrity of the airplane.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by April 9, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Fax:* 202-493-2251.

- *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

- *Hand Delivery:* Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

<sup>4</sup> See <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/commission/secys/2010/secy2010-0165/2010-0165scy.pdf>.

For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H-65, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207; telephone 206-544-5000, extension 1; fax 206-766-5680; email [me.boecom@boeing.com](mailto:me.boecom@boeing.com); Internet <https://www.myboeingfleet.com>. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425-227-1221.

**Examining the AD Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (phone: 800-647-5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Berhane Alazar, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; phone: 425-917-6577; fax: 425-917-6590; email: [berhane.alazar@faa.gov](mailto:berhane.alazar@faa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Comments Invited**

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include "Docket No. FAA-2012-0145; Directorate Identifier 2011-NM-066-AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will

consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

**Discussion**

On September 4, 2003, we issued AD 2003-18-10, amendment 39-13301 (68 FR 53503, September 11, 2003), for certain The Boeing Company Model 767-200, -300, -300F, and -400ER series airplanes. That AD requires revising Subsection B, Section 9, of Boeing 767 Maintenance Planning Data (MPD) Document D622T001-9, titled "Airworthiness Limitations (AWLs) and Certification Maintenance Requirements (CMRs)," to incorporate Revision October 2002; and Appendix B of Boeing 767 MPD Document D622T001, Revision December 2002. That AD resulted from analysis of data that identified specific initial inspection thresholds and repetitive inspection intervals for certain principal structural elements (PSEs) to be added to the airworthiness limitation instructions (ALI). We issued that AD to ensure that fatigue cracking of various PSEs is detected and corrected; such fatigue cracking could adversely affect the structural integrity of these airplanes.

**Actions Since Existing AD Was Issued**

Since we issued AD 2003-18-10 (68 FR 53503, September 11, 2003), a re-evaluation of certain doors and flaps was done based on their fatigue-critical nature. These items were classified as PSEs and have been included in the revised MPD Document.

**Relevant Service Information**

We reviewed Subsection B, Airworthiness Limitations—Structural Inspections, of Section 9, Airworthiness Limitations (AWLs) and Certification Maintenance Requirements (CMRs),

D622T001-9, Revision July 2011, of the Boeing 767 Maintenance Planning Data (MPD) Document. This service information describes procedures for an additional critical fatigue inspection.

**FAA's Determination**

We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all the relevant information and determined the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop in other products of the same type design.

**Proposed AD Requirements**

This proposed AD would retain certain requirements of AD 2003-18-10 (68 FR 53503, September 11, 2003). This proposed AD would revise the Airworthiness Limitations Section of the Maintenance Planning Data (MPD) Document 767 Airworthiness Limitations Instructions (ALI) which adds a critical fatigue inspection and revises the applicability to include additional airplane line numbers.

**Change to Existing AD**

This proposed AD would retain certain requirements of AD 2003-18-10 (68 FR 53503, September 11, 2003). Since AD 2003-18-10 was issued, the AD format has been revised, and certain paragraphs have been rearranged. As a result, the corresponding paragraph identifiers have changed in this proposed AD, as listed in the following table:

REVISED PARAGRAPH IDENTIFIERS

Requirement in AD 2003-18-10 (68 FR 53503, September 11, 2003)	Corresponding requirement in this proposed AD
paragraph (c) paragraph (d)	paragraph (g) paragraph (h)

**Costs of Compliance**

We estimate that this proposed AD affects 417 airplanes of U.S. registry.

We estimate the following costs to comply with this proposed AD:

ESTIMATED COSTS

Action	Labor cost	Parts cost	Cost per product	Cost on U.S. operators
Revise airworthiness limitations [retained actions from existing AD 2003-18-10 (68 FR 53503, September 11, 2003)].	1 work-hour × \$85 per hour = \$85.	\$0	\$85	\$35,445
Revise airworthiness limitations [new requirements] .....	1 work-hour × \$85 per hour = \$85.	0	85	35,445

### Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

### Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

- (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866,
- (2) Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979),
- (3) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and
- (4) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by removing airworthiness directive (AD) 2003–18–10, Amendment 39–13301 (68 FR 53503, September 11, 2003), and adding the following new AD:

**The Boeing Company:** Docket No. FAA–2012–0145; Directorate Identifier 2011–NM–066–AD.

#### (a) Comments Due Date

The FAA must receive comments on this AD action by April 9, 2012.

#### (b) Affected ADs

This AD supersedes AD 2003–18–10, Amendment 39–13301 (68 FR 53503, September 11, 2003).

#### (c) Applicability

This AD applies to The Boeing Company Model 767–200, –300, –300F, and –400ER series airplanes; certificated in any category; line numbers 1 through 997 inclusive.

**Note 1:** This AD requires revisions to certain operator maintenance documents to include new inspections. Compliance with these inspections is required by 14 CFR 91.403(c). For airplanes that have been previously modified, altered, or repaired in the areas addressed by these inspections, the operator may not be able to accomplish the inspections described in the revisions. In this situation, to comply with 14 CFR 91.403(c), the operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance according to paragraph (k) of this AD. The request should include a description of changes to the required inspections that will ensure the continued damage tolerance of the affected structure. The FAA has provided guidance for this determination in Advisory Circular (AC) 25.1529–1A.

#### (d) Subject

Joint Aircraft System Component (JASC)/ Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 51, Standard Practices/Structures; 52, Doors; 53, Fuselage structure; 54, Nacelle/Pylons; 55, Stabilizers; 56, Windows; and 57, Wings.

#### (e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by a re-evaluation of certain doors and flaps based on their fatigue-critical nature. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct fatigue cracking of the principal structural elements (PSEs), which could adversely affect the structural integrity of the airplane.

#### (f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

**Restatement of Requirements AD 2003–18–10, Amendment 39–13301 (68 FR 53503, September 11, 2003), With New Service Information**

#### (g) Revise Section 9 of the Boeing 767 Maintenance Planning Data (MPD) Document

For Model 767–200, –300, –300F, and –400ER series airplanes having line numbers

1 through 895 inclusive: Within 18 months after October 16, 2003 (the effective date AD 2003–18–10, (68 FR 53503, September 11, 2003)), revise Subsection B, Section 9, of Boeing 767 MPD Document D622T001–9, entitled "Airworthiness Limitations and Certification Maintenance Requirements," to incorporate Revision October 2002; and Appendix B of Boeing 767 MPD Document D622T001, Revision December 2002; or Subsection B, Airworthiness Limitations—Structural Limitations, of Section 9, Airworthiness Limitations (AWLs) and Certification Maintenance Requirements (CMRs), D622T001–9, Revision July 2011, of the Boeing 767 MPD Document.

#### (h) Alternative Inspections and Inspection Intervals

Except as provided by paragraphs (i) and (k) of this AD: After the actions required by paragraph (g) of this AD have been accomplished, no alternative inspections or inspection intervals shall be approved for the structural significant items (SSIs) contained in Section 9 of Boeing 767 MPD Document D622T001–9, Revision October 2002.

#### New Requirements of This AD

##### (i) Maintenance Program Revision

Within 18 months after the effective date of this AD, revise the maintenance program to incorporate the limitations section in Subsection B, Airworthiness Limitations—Structural Inspections, of Section 9, Airworthiness Limitations (AWLs) and Certification Maintenance Requirements (CMRs), D622T001–9, Revision July 2011, of the Boeing 767 MPD Document. Doing this revision terminates the requirements of paragraph (g) of this AD.

**Note 2:** For the purposes of this AD, the terms principal structural elements (PSEs) as used in this AD, and SSIs as used in Subsection B, Airworthiness Limitations—Structural Inspections, of Section 9, Airworthiness Limitations (AWLs) and Certification Maintenance Requirements (CMRs), D622T001–9, Revision July 2011, of the Boeing 767 MPD Document, are considered to be interchangeable.

##### (j) Alternative Inspections and Inspection Intervals

Except as provided by paragraph (k) of this AD: After the actions required by paragraph (i) of this AD have been accomplished, no alternative inspections or inspection intervals shall be approved for the SSIs contained in Subsection B, Airworthiness Limitations—Structural Inspections, of Section 9, Airworthiness Limitations (AWLs) and Certification Maintenance Requirements (CMRs), D622T001–9, Revision July 2011, of the Boeing 767 MPD Document.

##### (k) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), ANM–120S, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information

directly to the manager of the ACO, send it to the attention of the person identified in the Related Information section of this AD. Information may be emailed to: [9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov](mailto:9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov).

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair required by this AD if it is approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) that has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO) to make those findings. For a repair method to be approved, the repair must meet the certification basis of the airplane and 14 CFR 25.571, Amendment 45, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

#### (I) Related Information

(1) For more information about this AD, contact Berhane Alazar, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; phone: 425-917-6577; fax: 425-917-6590; email: [berhane.alazar@faa.gov](mailto:berhane.alazar@faa.gov).

(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H-65, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207; telephone 206-544-5000, extension 1; fax 206-766-5680; email [me.boecom@boeing.com](mailto:me.boecom@boeing.com); Internet <https://www.myboeingfleet.com>. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425-227-1221.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on February 9, 2012.

**Ali Bahrami,**

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate,  
Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2012-4161 Filed 2-21-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2012-0147; Directorate Identifier 2011-NM-067-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** We propose to supersede an existing airworthiness directive (AD)

that applies to certain The Boeing Company Model 767-200 and -300 series airplanes. The existing AD requires replacement of the existing deactivation pin, aft cascade pin bushing, and pin insert on each thrust reverser half with new, improved components. Since we issued that AD, we received reports that certain airplanes require installation of a new bushing and deactivation pin with increased load carrying capability and all airplanes powered by Pratt & Whitney JT9D series engines require installation of a new bracket for stowing the deactivation pin. This proposed AD would add a dye penetrant inspection for cracking of the rivet holes of the bushing plate and repair or replacement, if necessary. For certain airplanes, this proposed AD would require replacing the existing bushing with a new bushing and deactivation pin; and installing a new or serviceable stowage bracket for the deactivation pins on all airplanes powered by Pratt & Whitney JT9D series engines. We are proposing this AD to prevent failure of the thrust reverser deactivation pins, which could fail to prevent a deployment of a deactivated thrust reverser in flight and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by April 9, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Fax:* 202-493-2251.
- *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.
- *Hand Delivery:* Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H-65, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207; telephone 206-544-5000, extension 1; fax 206-766-5680; email [me.boecom@boeing.com](mailto:me.boecom@boeing.com); Internet <https://www.myboeingfleet.com>. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425-227-1221.

#### Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (phone: 800-647-5527) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rebel Nichols, Aerospace Engineer, Propulsion Branch, ANM-140S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; phone: 425-917-6509; fax: 425-917-6590; email: [rebel.nichols@faa.gov](mailto:rebel.nichols@faa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the **ADDRESSES** section. Include "Docket No. FAA-2012-0147; Directorate Identifier 2011-NM-067-AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

##### Discussion

On September 19, 2002, we issued AD 2002-19-11, Amendment 39-12891 (67 FR 61478, October 1, 2002), for certain Model 767-200 and -300 series airplanes powered by Pratt & Whitney JT9D series engines. The existing AD requires replacement of the existing deactivation pin, aft cascade pin bushing, and pin insert on each thrust reverser half, with new, improved components. The existing AD resulted from reports that the pin insert for the deactivation pin was not able to withstand the load of a powered deployment and could fail on some airplanes. We issued that AD to prevent failure of the thrust reverser deactivation pins, which could fail to