ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN TABLE

Forms	Type of respondent	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total burden hours
Focus Group	General Public	621	1	1.5	932
Focus Group screening	General Public	5,544	1	10/60	924
Web usability testing	General Public	144	1	1.5	216
Web usability screening	General Public	2,160	1	10/60	360
Self-Administered Surveys	General Public	2,000	1	15/60	500
Self-Administered survey screening.	General Public	8,000	1	10/60	1,333
Omnibus Surveys	General Public	2,000	1	10/60	333
Cognitive testing	General Public	25	1	2	50
Focus Group	Health Professional	288	1	1.5	432
Screening	Health Professional	4,320	1	10/60	720
Web usability testing	Health Professional	144	1	1.5	216
Screening	Health Professional	2,160	1	10/60	360
Self-Administered Surveys	Health Professional	2,000	1	15/60	500
Screening	Health Professional	8,000	1	10/60	1,333
Omnibus Surveys	Health Professional	2,000	1	10/60	333
In-Depth Interviews	Health Professional	100	1	45/60	75
Screening	Health Professional	1,000	1	10/60	167
Total (Overall)		40,506			8,784

Keith A. Tucker,

Office of the Secretary, Paperwork Reduction Act Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012–4033 Filed 2–21–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Proposed Information Collection Activity; Comment Request

Title: Permanency Innovations Initiative Evaluation: Phase I.

OMB No.: New collection.

Description: The Administration for Children and Families (ACF), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) intends to collect data for an evaluation of the Permanency Innovations Initiative (PII). This 5-year initiative, funded by the Children's Bureau (CB) within ACF, is intended to build the evidence base for innovative interventions that enhance well-being and improve permanency outcomes for particular groups of children and youth who are at risk for long-term foster care and who experience the most serious barriers to timely permanency.

Six grantees are funded to identify local barriers to permanent placement and implement innovative strategies that mitigate or eliminate those barriers and reduce the likelihood that children will remain in foster care for three years or longer. The first year of the initiative focused on clarifying grantees' target populations and intervention programs. In addition, evaluation plans were developed to support rigorous site-specific and cross-site studies to document the implementation and effectiveness of the grantees' projects and the initiative overall.

Data collection for the PII evaluation includes a number of components being launched at different points in time. The purpose of the current document is to request approval of data collection efforts needed for a first phase of data collection and to request a waiver for subsequent 60 day notices for later components of the evaluation. The first phase includes data collection for a cross-site implementation evaluation and site-specific evaluations of two PII grantees (Washoe County, Nevada, and the State of Kansas) that will begin implementing interventions during the second year of the PII grant period. The second phase includes a cost evaluation and site-specific evaluations of four PII grantees expected to implement interventions in the third year of the PII grant period.

Data for the evaluations will be collected through: (1) Direct assessment of caregivers; (2) service providers' clinical assessments of children and families; (3) interviews and focus groups with grantee staff during site visits and through telephone interviews; (4) web-based data collection from service providers and key informants; and (5) retrieval and submission of data from grantee data systems.

Respondents: Children and their parents or permanent or foster caregivers, caseworkers, supervisors, service providers, and key informants such as grantee project directors, data managers, and representatives of partner agencies.

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES

Instrument	Annual number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total annual burden hours
CROSS-SITE IMPLEMENTATION STUDY				
Baseline Survey of Organization/System Readiness	60	1	.75	45
Implementation Drivers Web Survey	150	2.0	.75	225
Grantee Case Study Field Visit Discussion Guide	60	1	2.0	120
Fidelity Data (Implementation Quotient Tracker)	2	8	1.5	24

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES—Continued

Instrument	Annual number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total annual burden hours
Cross-site implementation study annual burden hours				414
KANSAS SITE-SPECIFIC EVALUATION				
Caregiver Initial Information Form	300	1	0.1	30
Child and Adolescent Functional Assessment Scale	300	2	1.0	600
Family Assessment Battery	300	.52	1.5	234
Caseworker interviews for NCFAS completion	45	54	0.5	1,215
Kansas annual burden hours				2,079
WASHOE COUNTY SITE-SPECIFIC EVALUATION				
Family Assessment Battery	249	1.33	1.5	497
Washoe annual burden hours				497

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 2,990.

In compliance with the requirements of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Administration for Children and Families is soliciting public comment on the specific aspects of the information collection described above. Copies of the proposed collection of information can be obtained and comments may be forwarded by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, 370 L'Enfant Promenade SW., Washington, DC 20447, Attn: OPRE Reports Clearance Officer. Email address: *OPREinfocollection@acf.hhs.gov.* All requests should be identified by the title of the information collection.

The Department specifically requests comments on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted within 60 days of this publication.

Robert Sargis,

Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2012–4051 Filed 2–21–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Title: Protection and Advocacy (P&A) Voting Access Application and Annual Report.

OMB No.: 0970–0326.

Description: This is a revision to include the application for the previously cleared Help America Vote Act (HAVA) Annual report.

An application is required by Federal statute (the Help America Vote Act (HAVA) of 2002, Public Law 107–252, Section 291, Payments for Protection and Advocacy Systems, 42 U.S.C. 15461). Each State Protection & Advocacy (P&A) System must prepare an application in accordance with the program announcement. There is no application kit; the P&As application may be in the format of its choice. It must, however, be signed by the P&As Executive Director or the designated representative, and contain the assurances as outlined under Part I. C. Use of Funds. The P&As designated representatives may signify their agreement with the conditions/assurances by signing and returning the assurance document Attachment B, found in Part IV of this Instruction. The assurance document signed by the Executive Director of the P&A, or other designated person, should be submitted with the application to the Administration on Developmental Disabilities.

An annual report is required by Federal statute (the Help America Vote Act (HAVA) of 2002, Public Law 107–252, Section 291, Payments for Protection and Advocacy Systems, 42 U.S.C. 15461). Each State Protection & Advocacy (P&A) System must prepare and submit an annual report at the end of every fiscal year. The report addresses the activities conducted with the funds provided during the year. The information from the annual report will be aggregated into an annual profile of how HAVA funds have been spent. The report will also provide an overview of the P&A goals and accomplishments and permit the Administration on Developmental Disabilities to track progress to monitor grant activities.

Respondents: Protection & Advocacy Systems—All States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, American Samoa, and Guam.

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
Protection and Advocacy (P&A) Voting Access Application	55	1	20	1,100