

relevant issue finality provisions in Part 52.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of February 2012.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Thomas H. Boyce,**

*Chief, Regulatory Guide Development Branch,  
Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear  
Regulatory Research.*

[FR Doc. 2012-3377 Filed 2-14-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

[Docket No. 50-400, NRC-2012-0034]

### **Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact; Carolina Power and Light Company Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Araceli T. Billoch Colón, Project  
Manager, Licensing Branch II-2,  
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing,  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation,  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,  
Rockville, Maryland, 20822. Telephone:  
(301) 415-3302; fax number: (301) 415-  
1032; email: [Araceli.Billoch@nrc.gov](mailto:Araceli.Billoch@nrc.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **I. Introduction**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 50.46, "Acceptance Criteria for Emergency Core Cooling Systems for Light-Water Nuclear Power Reactors," and 10 CFR part 50, appendix K, "ECCS [Emergency Core Cooling System] Evaluation Models," to allow for the use of M5™ alloy fuel rod cladding for Renewed Facility Operating License No. NPF-63, issued to Carolina Power and Light Company (the licensee), doing business as Progress Energy Carolinas Inc., for operation of the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1 (HNP), located in New Hill, North Carolina. In accordance with 10 CFR 51.21, "Criteria for and Identification of Licensing and Regulatory Actions Requiring Environmental Assessments," the NRC staff prepared an environmental assessment documenting its finding. The NRC staff concluded that the proposed action will have no significant environmental impact.

#### **II. Environmental Assessment Summary**

##### *Identification of the Proposed Action*

The proposed action would exempt the licensee from certain requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 and appendix K to 10 CFR part 50. Specifically, 10 CFR 50.46, paragraph (a)(1)(i) provides requirements for reactors containing uranium oxide fuel pellets clad in either zircaloy or ZIRLO. Additionally, appendix K to 10 CFR part 50 presumes the use of zircaloy or ZIRLO fuel cladding when doing calculations for energy release, cladding oxidation, and hydrogen generation after a postulated loss-of-coolant accident. Therefore, both of these regulations state or assume that either zircaloy or ZIRLO is used as the fuel rod cladding material. The proposed exemption would allow the licensee use of M5™ cladding fuel assemblies into the core of HNP Unit 1. The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated January 19, 2011.

##### *The Need for the Proposed Action*

The proposed exemption is needed to allow the licensee the use of M5™ alloy fuel rod cladding at HNP. The licensee has requested an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 and appendix K to 10 CFR part 50 to allow for loading of M5™ clad fuel assemblies, in lieu of zircaloy or ZIRLO, into the core during Refueling Outage 17 that is currently scheduled for spring 2012.

##### *Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action*

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that there are no environmental impacts associated with the proposed exemption. The details of the NRC staff's safety evaluation will be provided in the exemption that, if approved by the NRC, will be issued as part of the letter to the licensee approving the exemption to the regulation.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents. No changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released offsite. There is no significant increase in the amount of any effluent released offsite. There is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not result in changes to land use or water use, or result in changes to

the quality or quantity of nonradiological effluents. No changes to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit are needed. No effects on the aquatic or terrestrial habitat in the vicinity of the plant, or to threatened, endangered, or protected species under the Endangered Species Act, or impacts to essential fish habitat covered by the Magnuson-Stevens Act are expected. No impacts to the air or ambient air quality are expected. There are no impacts to historic and cultural resources. In addition, there are also no known socioeconomic or environmental justice impacts associated with the proposed action. Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

##### *Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no action" alternative). Denial of the exemption request would result in no change in current environmental impacts. If the proposed action was denied, the licensee would have to comply with the ECCS rules in 10 CFR 50.46 and appendix K to 10 CFR part 50 and would not be able to use M5™ clad fuel in the HNP core during the upcoming refueling outage. The environmental impacts of the proposed exemption and the "no action" alternative are similar.

##### *Alternative Use of Resources*

The action does not involve the use of any different resources than those considered in the Final Environmental Statement for HNP, NUREG-0972, dated October 31, 1983, as supplemented through the "Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants: Regarding Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1—Final Report (NUREG-1437, Supplement 33)."

##### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

In accordance with its stated policy, on January 19, 2012 the NRC staff consulted with the North Carolina State official, Mr. Lee Cox of the Division of Radiation Protection, with the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

**III. Finding of No Significant Impact**

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

**IV. Further Information**

Documents related to this action are available electronically at the NRC Library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this site, you can access the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated January 19, 2011, located under ADAMS Accession No. ML11313A162. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737 or by email to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov).

These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), O 1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 6th day of February 2012.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
**Araceli T. Billoch Colón,**  
*Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch  
 2-2, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing,  
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*  
 [FR Doc. 2012-3521 Filed 2-14-12; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

[NRC-2011-0278; Docket No.: 50-286]

**Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 3, LLC.;  
 Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.,  
 Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit 3;  
 Exemption**

**1.0 Background**

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Entergy or the licensee) is the holder of Facility Operating License No. DPR-64, which authorizes operation of Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit 3 (IP3). The license provides, among other things, that the facility is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) now or hereafter in effect.

IP3 is a pressurized-water reactor located approximately 24 miles north of the New York City boundary line on the east bank of the Hudson River in Westchester County, New York.

**2.0 Request/Action**

Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 50.48(b), requires that nuclear power plants that were licensed to operate before January 1, 1979, satisfy the requirements of 10 CFR part 50, Appendix R, "Fire Protection Program for Nuclear Power Facilities

Operating Prior to January 1, 1979," Section III.G, "Fire protection of safe shutdown capability." The circuit separation and protection requirements being addressed in this request for exemption are specified in Section III.G.2. Since IP3 was licensed to operate before January 1, 1979, IP3 is required to meet Section III.G.2 of Appendix R to 10 CFR part 50.

The underlying purpose of Section III.G of Appendix R to 10 CFR part 50 is to establish reasonable assurance that safe shutdown (SSD) of the reactor can be achieved and maintained in the event of a postulated fire in any plant area. Circuits which could cause maloperation or prevent operation of redundant trains of equipment required to achieve and maintain hot shutdown conditions as a result of fire in a single fire area must be protected in accordance with III.G.2. If conformance with the technical requirements of III.G.2 cannot be assured in a specific fire area, an alternative or dedicated shutdown capability must be provided in accordance with Section III.G.3, or an exemption obtained in accordance with 10 CFR 50.12, "Specific exemptions."

By letter dated March 6, 2009, Entergy requested an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR part 50, Appendix R in accordance with 10 CFR 50.12. Specifically, Entergy requested an exemption to allow the use of Operator Manual Actions (OMAs) in lieu of meeting certain technical requirements of III.G.2 in Fire Areas AFW-6, ETN-4{1}, ETN-4{3}, PAB-2{3}, PAB-2{5}, TBL-5, and YARD-7. The table below provides the dates and topics of the submittals related to this request.

Subject	Author	Date	Description	ADAMS accession
Exemption Request from Appendix R.	Entergy .....	March 6, 2009 .....	Original Submittal .....	ML090760993
Revised Exemption Request.	Entergy .....	October 1, 2009 .....	Revision to March 2009, submittal, incorporated changes to Attachment 2, <i>Technical Basis in Support of Exemption Request.</i>	ML092810230
Request for Additional Information (RAI) #1.	NRC .....	January 20, 2010 .....	Request for information on the overall defense-in-depth for each fire zone.	ML100150128
RAI Response #1 .....	Entergy .....	May 4, 2010 .....	Response to the staff's January 20, 2010, RAI.	ML101320263
RAI #2 .....	NRC .....	August 11, 2010 .....	RAI on reactor coolant system makeup, separation distances, etc.	ML102180331
RAI Response #2 .....	Entergy .....	September 29, 2010 ..	Response to the staff's August 11, 2010, RAI.	ML102930234
RAI #3 .....	NRC .....	December 16, 2010 ...	RAI on reactor coolant system makeup .....	ML103500204
RAI Response #3 .....	Entergy .....	January 19, 2011 .....	Responses to the staff's December 16, 2010, RAI.	ML110310242
Letter to revise previously submitted information.	Entergy .....	February 10, 2011 .....	Letter updating tables contained in previous submittals.	ML110540322
Letter to revise previously submitted information.	Entergy .....	May 26, 2011 .....	Letter updating tables contained in previous submittals.	ML11158A196