

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.44 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.44).

Issued: February 2, 2012.

By order of the Commission.

**James R. Holbein,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012-2824 Filed 2-7-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Public Availability of Department of Justice FY 2011 Service Contract Inventory

**AGENCY:** Justice Management Division, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of public availability of FY 2011 Service Contract inventories.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with Section 743 of Division C of the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111-117), the Department of Justice is publishing this notice to advise the public of the availability of the FY 2011 Service Contract inventory. This inventory provides information on service contract actions over \$25,000 that were made in FY 2011. The information is organized by function to show how contracted resources are distributed throughout the agency. The inventory has been developed in accordance with guidance issued on December 19, 2011 by the Office of Management and Budget's Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP). OFPP's guidance is available at <http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/procurement/memo/service-contract-inventory-guidance.pdf>. The Department of Justice has posted its inventory and a summary of the inventory on the Department of Justice Senior Procurement Executive homepage at the following link: <http://www.justice.gov/jmd/pe/service-contract-inventory.html>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions regarding the service contract inventory should be directed to Dennis R. McCraw in the Justice Management Division, Management and Planning Staff, Procurement Policy and Review

Group at (202) 616-3754 or [dennis.mccraw@usdoj.gov](mailto:dennis.mccraw@usdoj.gov).

**Michael H. Allen,**

*Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Policy Management and Planning, US Department of Justice, Justice Management Division.*

[FR Doc. 2012-2793 Filed 2-7-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-80,291]

#### RR Donnelley & Sons, Inc., Premedia Services Division, Including On-Site Leased Workers From Kelly Services Seattle, WA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated December 14, 2011, a State Workforce Official requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of RR Donnelley & Sons, Inc., Premedia Services Division, Seattle, Washington (subject firm). The determination was issued on November 17, 2011. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on December 6, 2011 (76 FR 76186). The workers were engaged in activities related to the production of digital photography, printed proofs and digital files.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that the subject firm did not import digital photography, printed proofs and digital files (or like or directly competitive articles) in 2009, 2010, or January through June 2011. Surveys of the subject firm's major declining customers revealed no imports of digital photography, printed proofs and digital files (or like or directly competitive articles) during the relevant period.

The investigation also revealed that a shift in production by the subject firm did not contribute importantly to the separations at the subject firm, and that the subject firm is neither a Supplier nor a Downstream Producer to a firm that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a).

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner supplied new information regarding a possible shift to/acquisition from a foreign country by the subject

firm in the production of articles like or directly competitive with the digital photography, printed proofs and digital files produced by the subject workers.

The Department of Labor has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the petitioning worker group at the subject firm meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of January 2012.

**Del Min Amy Chen**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2012-2889 Filed 2-7-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-80,511]

#### Specialty Bar Products Company, a Subsidiary of Doncasters, Inc., Blairsville, PA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated January 12, 2012, three workers requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Specialty Bar Products Company, a subsidiary of Doncasters, Inc., Blairsville, Pennsylvania (subject firm). The determination was issued on December 16, 2011. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on December 29, 2011 (76 FR 81989). The workers were engaged in activities related to the production of pins, bushings, and gun blanks.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that the subject firm did not shift the production of pins, bushings, gun blanks (or like or directly competitive articles) to a foreign country or acquire the production of such articles from a foreign country. The investigation also revealed that neither