

adults and from 208 to 288 weaners (MMCG and SAIC, 2011).

Harbor seals were noted in the nearshore waters on two separate days. Numbers varied from one to four. From one to two California sea lions were also seen in the nearshore waters on April 13 and 16. A juvenile gray whale, likely the same animal, was seen between Prince Island and Cuyler Harbor on April 14 and 15 (MMCG and SAIC, 2011b).

Acoustic monitoring was also conducted for this launch. The unweighted peak was 109.4 dB re 20 μ Pa at 2.66 Hz. The frequency spectrum of the acoustic energy was predominantly low frequency, with unweighted peak levels exceeding 80 dB re 20 μ Pa below 500 Hz. The highest energy was below 100 Hz.

In summary, there was no evidence of injury, mortality, or abnormal behavior of the monitored pinnipeds on VAFB or SMI as a result of this launch.

Delta II Launch (June 10, 2011)

During the pre-launch monitoring period, from June 6–9, counts of harbor seals, near the Spur Road trailhead on VAFB, ranged from zero to six, including one pup on June 8. No seals were seen on launch day, on the post-launch survey days (June 11–12), and during the follow-up survey on June 17. Tides were relatively high during the period June 10–12, submerging the most desirable haul-out sites. Although a remote video camera was set up near the Spur Road trailhead for this launch, no seals were recorded before, during, or after the launch. In summary, there was no evidence of injury, mortality, or abnormal behavior of the monitored pinnipeds on VAFB as a result of this launch.

Minuteman III Launch (June 22, 2011)

Because this launch occurred during the harbor seal pupping season on VAFB, biological monitoring at VAFB was required. Pre-launch monitoring was conducted on June 18, 20, and 21, 2011. Between three and seven adult harbor seals were noted. From three to seven animals were noted about two hours after the launch on June 22. A post-launch count, conducted on June 23, revealed from eight to nine animals. A follow-up survey, in which two to four animals were noted, was made on July 7. No harbor seal pups were seen. In summary, there was no evidence of injury, mortality, or abnormal behavior of the monitored pinnipeds on VAFB as a result of this launch.

Delta II Launch (October 28, 2011)

Biological monitoring for this launch was required at SMI, since the sonic

boom models predicted overpressures in excess of 1 psf there. Monitoring was conducted at a section of east Adam's Cove. California sea lions, northern elephant seals and northern fur seals were present. Numbers of California sea lions ranged from 211 to 1,105 animals. These numbers rose on the day of the launch and during the two days afterwards. Numbers of northern fur seals declined slightly during the same period, probably displaced by the sea lions. Their numbers ranged from 73 to 315. Elephant seal numbers ranged from 56 to 127.

No reactions were noted on the part of the sea lions and elephant seals, either from the launch, which was plainly visible during the predawn hours, or from the sonic boom, which was heard at 0253 hours. Six adult fur seals raised their heads in response to the sonic boom, but settled back down after 2–4 minutes. In summary, there was no evidence of injury, mortality, or abnormal behavior in any monitored seals resulting from this launch.

Authorization

The USAF complied with the requirements of the 2011 LOA, and NMFS has determined that the marine mammal take resulting from the 2011 launches is within that analyzed in and anticipated by the associated regulations. Accordingly, NMFS has issued a LOA to the 30th Space Wing, USAF, authorizing the take by harassment of marine mammals incidental to space vehicle and test flight activities at VAFB. Issuance of this LOA is based on findings described in the preamble to the final rule (74 FR 6236, February 6, 2009) and supported by information contained in VAFB's 2011 annual report that the activities described under this LOA will have a negligible impact on marine mammal stocks. The provision requiring that the activity not have an unmitigable adverse impact on the availability of the affected species or stock for subsistence uses does not apply for this action.

Dated: February 1, 2012.

Helen M. Golde,

Deputy Director, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2012–2747 Filed 2–6–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID: DoD–2012–OS–0011]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics/Defense Standardization Program Office, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the *Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995*, the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics announces a public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by March 8, 2012

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail:* Federal Docket Management System Office, 4800 Mark Center Drive, 2nd Floor, East Tower, Suite 02G09, Alexandria, VA 22350–3100.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name, docket number and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collections instruments, please write to the Defense Standardization Program Office, Defense

Logistics Agency, 8725 John J. Kingman Road, STOP 5100, ATTN: Mr. Tim Koczanski, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060, or call the Defense Standardization Program Office at (703) 767-6870.

Title; Associated Form; and OMB Number: Certification of Qualified Products; DD Form 1718, OMB Control Number 0704-TBD.

Needs and Uses: The information collection requirement is necessary to obtain, certify and record qualification of products or processes falling under the DoD Qualification Program. This form is included as an exhibit in an appeal or hearing case file as evidence of the reviewer's products or process qualifications in advance of, and independent of an acquisition.

Affected Public: Business or other for profit.

Annual Burden Hours: 638.

Number of Respondents: 1276.

Responses per Respondent: 1.

Average Burden per Response: 0.30 minutes.

Frequency: Biennially .

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summary of Information Collection

Respondents are individuals who supply products to the Department of Defense that are listed on Qualified Products Lists (QPLs) or Qualified Manufacturers Lists (QMLs). DD Form 1718, "Certification of Qualified Products" records and certifies, from the manufacturers, distributor, or reseller that the products still conforms to the specification. If the form is not included in the contract file, individuals procuring these items cannot be assured that the products conform to the specification and therefore are qualified products from qualified sources. The use of the DD Form 1718 is essential in maintaining the integrity of the qualification program.

Dated: January 20, 2012.

Patricia L. Toppings,
OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer,
Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2012-2724 Filed 2-6-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID: DoD-2011-OS-0139]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the *Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995*, the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness announces a public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof related to immediately begin, prospectively, adding a deliverable reporting requirement to contract statements of work in order to fully comply with sections 235 and 2330a of title 10, United States Code. There is precedent within the Department based on the experience of the Department of the Army for the past five years to support statements made in the attachment. As such, Department of the Army efforts constitute actions to date to consult with interested agencies, affected private sector firms, and members of the public, to ensure minimal burden for the collection of this information. Comments are invited on: (a) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (b) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (c) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by March 23, 2012.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail:* Federal Docket Management System Office, 4800 Mark Center Drive, 2nd Floor, East Tower, Suite 02G09, Alexandria, VA 22350-3100.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name, docket number and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collection instruments, please write to the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, ATTN: Amy Parker, 4000 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC

20301 or call OUSD(P&R) at (703) 614-5133.

Title; Associated Form; and OMB Number: Department of Defense Inventory of Contracts for Services Compliance; OMB Number 0704-TBD.

Needs and Uses: The collection of information is essential to the DoD mission, without this reporting DoD will be unable to fully comply with the statutory requirements specified below. The Department has received clear guidance from the Armed Services Committees that all DoD organizations fully implement sections 235 and 2330a of title 10, United States Code (U.S.C.).

Affected Public: Business or other for profit; Not-for-profit institutions.

Annual Burden Hours: 4,074.

Number of Respondents: 48,884.

Responses per Respondent: 1.

Average Burden per Response: 5 minutes.

Frequency: Annually.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summary of Information Collection

The DoD will use a streamlined, user-friendly, and secure Web site to obtain contractor work force information, based on the Army system located at <https://cmra.DoD.mil/>. This Web site allows contractors the option of entering their data directly into the Web site via short drop-down menus or batch loading data based on formatted spreadsheets.

The information requested, such as the Reporting Period, Contract Number, Task/Delivery Order Number, Customer Name and Address, Contracting Office Name and Address, Federal Supply Class or Service Code, Contractor Name and Address, Value of Contract Instrument, and the Number and Value of Direct Labor Hours will be used to facilitate the accurate identification of the function performed and to facilitate estimate the reliability of the data.

The Direct Labor Hours are requested for use in calculating contractor manpower equivalents. This information is reported directly from the contractor because this is the most credible data source.

Each contractor may determine their cost for submitting information on the Contractor Manpower Reporting System Web site. Given the streamlined menu of data requested and the user-friendly Web site for submitting the data, the actual costs for reporting this information to date have been minimal. The cost elements can include the man-hours spent entering the data, man-hours spent configuring current systems, and the man-hours spent collecting the data. The Army has found that during the first five years of