

Enter the docket number (P-14311-000) in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support.

Dated: January 9, 2012.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-688 Filed 1-13-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RM98-1-000]

Records Governing Off-the-Record Communications; Public Notice

This constitutes notice, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.2201(b), of the receipt of prohibited and exempt off-the-record communications.

Order No. 607 (64 FR 51222, September 22, 1999) requires Commission decisional employees, who make or receive a prohibited or exempt off-the-record communication relevant

to the merits of a contested proceeding, to deliver to the Secretary of the Commission, a copy of the communication, if written, or a summary of the substance of any oral communication.

Prohibited communications are included in a public, non-decisional file associated with, but not a part of, the decisional record of the proceeding. Unless the Commission determines that the prohibited communication and any responses thereto should become a part of the decisional record, the prohibited off-the-record communication will not be considered by the Commission in reaching its decision. Parties to a proceeding may seek the opportunity to respond to any facts or contentions made in a prohibited off-the-record communication, and may request that the Commission place the prohibited communication and responses thereto in the decisional record. The Commission will grant such a request only when it determines that fairness so requires. Any person identified below as having made a prohibited off-the-record communication shall serve the

document on all parties listed on the official service list for the applicable proceeding in accordance with Rule 2010, 18 CFR 385.2010.

Exempt off-the-record communications are included in the decisional record of the proceeding, unless the communication was with a cooperating agency as described by 40 CFR 1501.6, made under 18 CFR 385.2201(e)(1)(v).

The following is a list of off-the-record communications recently received by the Secretary of the Commission. The communications listed are grouped by docket numbers in ascending order. These filings are available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the eLibrary link. Enter the docket number, excluding the last three digits, in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC, Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

Docket No.	Document dated	Presenter or requester
Prohibited:		
1. CP07-444-000	11-18-11	Several Individuals. ¹
2. EC11-60-000	12-17-11	Marshall Burkes.
3. RM10-23-002	12-22-11	Theodore J. Skerpon.
Exempt:		
1. P-2503-147	11-1-11	Commission Staff. ²
2. CP11-515-000	11-2-11	Janice and Kevin O'Keefe
3. CP11-515-000	11-14-11	Commission Staff. ³
4. DI10-9-000	11-18-11	Hon. John Williamson, M.P.
5. P-2277-023	11-21-11	Commission Staff. ⁴
6. CP11-56-000	11-28-11	Commission Staff. ⁵
7. CP11-161-000	11-28-11	Commission Staff. ⁶
8. P-13417-000	12-5-11	Hon. Herb Kohl
9. CP11-72-000	12-9-11	Commission Staff. ⁷
10. CP12-30-000	12-15-11	Hon. Leonard Lance.
11. P-14263-000	12-16-11	Gov. Matthew H. Mead.
12. CP11-14-000	12-20-11	Commission Staff. ⁸
13. P-12632-002	12-20-11	Hon. Troy Fraser.
14. P-2188-000	12-22-11	Hon. Max Baucus.

¹ Form letters were submitted from 379 individuals over the period of 11/18 to 12/22.

² Telephone record.

³ Telephone records and email exchanges that occurred on 11/14 and 11/29.

⁴ Telephone record.

⁵ Telephone record from three occurrences—11/28, 12/7 and 12/20.

⁶ Telephone record.

⁷ Telephone record from two occurrences—12/9 and 12/13.

⁸ Email exchanges that occurred on 12/20 and 12/27.

Dated: January 10, 2012.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-692 Filed 1-13-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9618-6]

Request for Nominations for Peer Reviewers for the Draft Research Report Entitled, "Investigation of Ground Water Contamination Near Pavillion, WY"

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) invites public nominations of scientific experts to be considered as peer reviewers for the external review of the draft research report entitled, "Investigation of Ground Water Contamination near Pavillion, Wyoming." The draft research report was prepared by the National Risk Management Research Laboratory (NRMRL), within the EPA Office of Research and Development (ORD), and by EPA Region 8. EPA released the draft report for public comment on December 14, 2011 (see **Federal Register** Vol. 76, No. 240 at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2011-12-14/pdf/FR-2011-12-14.pdf>). This draft report is not final as described in EPA's Information Quality Guidelines, and it does not represent and should not be construed to represent final Agency policy or views.

Eastern Research Group, Inc. (ERG), an EPA contractor for external peer review, will organize and conduct the external peer review. Nominees must have expertise in the areas described under "Expertise Sought" below, be free of any conflict of interest, and be available to participate in a one- to two-day peer review meeting that will take place in March or April, 2012 (exact date to be determined based on reviewer availability). ERG will consider and screen all nominated candidates against the selection criteria described under "Reviewer Selection" below. A second **Federal Register** notice will be published about one month prior to the external peer review meeting to provide the meeting date, location, and registration information. Additional details about the peer review process can be found at: http://cfpub.epa.gov/si/si_public_pr_agenda.cfm.

The public comment period for "Investigation of Ground Water

Contamination near Pavillion, Wyoming" began December 14, 2011, and ends January 27, 2012. Peer reviewers will be provided with all public comments for their consideration as they review the research report.

DATES: Nominations for scientific peer review should be submitted via email as soon as they are available, but no later than February 17, 2012. Nominations should consist of the nominee's full name and their current email address or other contact information.

ADDRESSES: Peer reviewer nominations (including full name, email, and if available phone number) should be sent to peerreview@erg.com via email (preferred) or via facsimile at (781) 674-2906, Attn: Pavillion Peer Review.

Background: Pavillion, Wyoming is located in Fremont County, about 20 miles northwest of Riverton. The concern at the site is potential ground water contamination, based on resident complaints about smells, tastes, and adverse changes in water quality of their domestic wells. ORD and Region 8 have been collaborating to conduct a ground water investigation to better understand the basic ground water hydrology and how the constituents of concern may be occurring in the aquifer. A draft research report entitled "Investigation of Ground Water Contamination near Pavillion, Wyoming" was released on December 8, 2011. Prior to the release of the draft report, a public meeting was held November 9, 2011, to discuss findings and interpretations of data from the Pavillion site. The draft report and further information can be found at <http://www.epa.gov/region8/superfund/wy/pavillion/>.

Expertise Sought: EPA is seeking candidates who are nationally and/or internationally recognized scientists and/or engineers, who can conduct a scientifically thorough and unbiased review of the document. Any qualified person may be nominated to be considered for this peer review. Individuals may self-nominate.

Candidates must have a medium to high degree of experience and expertise (and numerous publications, research projects, or field experience) in one or more of the following areas:

- Petroleum Engineering (Natural gas, oil).
- Petroleum Geology (Particularly in hydraulic fracturing and well-testing mechanical integrity).
- Hydrology/hydrogeology (In or near drilling areas).
- Geophysics, Environmental Engineering (As related to drilling and its effects).

- Water Quality (Studies of contaminated ground water, etc.).
- Organic/Inorganic Chemistry and Geochemistry (Chemical fate and transport, oxidation/reduction reactions, hydraulic fracturing chemistry and/or gas-liquid exchange and solubility).
- Conducting laboratory and/or field research on physical and biogeochemical processes in subsurface environments.
- Conducting computer-based research on physical and biogeochemical processes in subsurface environments.
- Conducting laboratory and/or field-based research in hydraulic fracturing.
- Conducting peer reviews of scientific and/or technical research studies and results for scientific/technical journal publications.

Reviewer Selection: ERG will contact each nominee via email to request that they send to ERG a copy of their CV, fill out a calendar of availability, complete a detailed conflict-of-interest form, and fill out an expertise form. ERG will carefully consider all candidates nominated through this process and will conduct a parallel search for experts to assemble as broad a pool of initial candidates as possible. ERG will then screen all candidates against the criteria listed below to determine their suitability as peer reviewers. From among candidates who most strongly meet the selection criteria, ERG will select a final set of five to seven reviewers who, collectively, best provide expertise spanning the multiple areas listed above and, to the extent feasible, best provide a balance of perspectives.

Selection Criteria

- Demonstrated scientific credentials and disciplinary expertise in their field including:
 - Scientific credentials equivalent to a Ph.D. or P.E., Sc.D
 - Authorship on original publications and/or reviews in peer-reviewed scientific journals.
 - Significant professional accomplishments and recognition by professional societies.
- Absence of financial conflicts of interest.
- Absence of an appearance of lack of impartiality.
- Willingness and availability to commit time to the scientific peer review and to meet all required deadlines.
- Background experiences that would contribute to the diversity of perspectives of the peer reviewers.