

this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: December 23, 2011.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-33669 Filed 12-30-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-844]

Correction to Initiation of 2010-2011 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Narrow Woven Ribbons With Woven Selvage From Taiwan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: EFFECTIVE DATE: January 3, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hector Rodriguez or Holly Phelps, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0629 and (202) 482-0656, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Correction: On October 31, 2011, the Department of Commerce published its initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order covering narrow woven ribbons with woven selvage (narrow woven ribbons) from Taiwan. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 76 FR 67133, 67138 (Oct. 31, 2011). The period of review is September 1, 2010, through August 31, 2011.

Subsequent to the publication of the initiation of this segment of the proceeding in the **Federal Register**, we identified four inadvertent errors in the initiation notice. Three companies had typographical errors in their names: FinerRibbon.com, shown as FinerRibbons.com; Shienq Huong Enterprise Co., Ltd., shown as Shieng Huong Enterprise Co., Ltd.; and HubscherCorp, shown as Hubs Hsien Chan Enterprise Co., Ltd. In addition, one company was omitted in error (*i.e.*, Intercontinental Skyline). This notice

serves as a correction to the list of companies under review in the above-referenced proceeding. The initiation of the administrative review of narrow woven ribbons from Taiwan is correct and remains unchanged.

This correction is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: December 21, 2011.

Gary Taverman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011-33670 Filed 12-30-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Oregon State University, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscope

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 11-067. *Applicant:* Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR. 97331. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Co., the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* See notice at 76 FR 74045, November 30, 2011.

Docket Number: 11-068. *Applicant:* Regents of the University of California at Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521-0411. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Co., the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* See notice at 76 FR 74045, November 30, 2011.

Docket Number: 11-069. *Applicant:* U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, MD 20903. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* JEOL, Ltd., Japan. *Intended Use:* See notice at 76 FR 74045, November 30, 2011.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as this instrument is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States at the time the instrument was ordered. *Reasons:* Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for

research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: December 22, 2011.

Gregory W. Campbell,

Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-33679 Filed 12-30-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-942]

Certain Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Meek at (202) 482-2778; AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 7, 2011, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain kitchen appliance shelving and racks from the People's Republic of China, covering the period January 7, 2009, through December 31, 2009. See *Certain Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 62364 (October 7, 2011) ("Preliminary Results"). In the *Preliminary Results* we stated that we would issue our final results for the countervailing duty administrative review no later than 120 days after the date of publication of the *Preliminary Results*. See *Preliminary Results*, 76 FR at 62373.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the final results of an administrative review within 120 days of the publication of

the *Preliminary Results*. If it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend this deadline to a maximum of 180 days.

Extension of Time Limits for Final Results

The Department has determined that completion of the final results of this review within the original time period (i.e., by February 4, 2012) is not practicable. The Department needs additional time to conduct a post-preliminary analysis of certain subsidy programs. See *Preliminary Results*, 76 FR at 62370, 62372. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results to not later than April 4, 2012, which is 180 days from the date of publication of the *Preliminary Results*, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 27, 2011.

Gary Taverman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011-33672 Filed 12-30-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda E. Waters, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Unit, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4735.

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), may request, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213, that the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) conduct an administrative review of that

antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

All deadlines for the submission of comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting date.

Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, except for the review of the antidumping duty order on Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People’s Republic of China (A-570-890), the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order (“APO”) to all parties having an APO within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 21 days of publication of the initiation **Federal Register** notice. Therefore, we encourage all parties interested in commenting on respondent selection to submit their APO applications on the date of publication of the initiation notice, or as soon thereafter as possible. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within five days of placement of the CBP data on the record of the review.

If the Department limits the number of respondents selected for individual examination in the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People’s Republic of China (A-570-890), it intends to select respondents based on volume data contained in responses to quantity and value questionnaires. Further, the Department intends to limit the number of quantity and value questionnaires issued in the wooden bedroom furniture review based on CBP data for U.S. imports classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) headings identified in the scope of the order. Since the units used to measure import quantities are not consistent for the HTSUS headings identified in the scope of the order on Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People’s Republic of China, the Department will limit the number of quantity and value questionnaires issued based on the import values in the CBP data as a proxy for import quantities. Parties subject to the review to which the Department does not send a quantity and value

questionnaire may file a response to the quantity and value questionnaire by the applicable deadline if they desire to be included in the pool of companies from which the Department will select mandatory respondents. Additionally, exporters subject to the review to which the Department does not send a quantity and value questionnaire may file a separate rate application or separate rate certification, as appropriate, by the applicable deadline without filing a response to the quantity and value questionnaire.

In the event the Department decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, the Department has found that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be “collapsed” (i.e., treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of this review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (i.e., investigation, administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to this review, if the Department determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, the Department will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, the Department will not-collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete the Quantity and Value Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding where the Department considered collapsing that entity, complete quantity