

www.blm.gov/id/st/en/res/resource_advisory.html.

All meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the RAC in advance of or at the meeting. Each formal RAC meeting will also have time allocated for receiving public comments. Depending upon the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact the BLM as provided above.

Dated: December 14, 2011.

Gary D. Cooper,
District Manager.

[FR Doc. 2011-32838 Filed 12-21-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-GG-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[NPS-PWR-PWRO-1108-8862; 2031-A038-409]

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/ General Management Plan, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, CA

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Extended Public Comment Period for Draft Environmental Impact Statement/General Management Plan, Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service has prepared a Draft General Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (Plan/DEIS). The Plan/DEIS evaluates four alternatives for updating the current approach to management in Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA) and Muir Woods National Monument. The original Notice of Availability (published in the **Federal Register** on September 12, 2011) announced a 60-day public comment period. In recognition of the complexity of the proposed plan alternatives, and with deference to interest from the public and interested organizations, the comment period has been reopened and extended through December 9, 2011.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: It will not be necessary for individuals, organizations, and agencies that have already commented to do so again. All other comments must now be postmarked or transmitted no later than December 9, 2011. Respondents wishing to comment electronically may do so online <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/goga>, or letters may be submitted via

regular mail to: Frank Dean, General Superintendent, GGNRA, Ft. Mason, Bldg. 201, San Francisco, CA 94123.

Up-to-date information may be obtained by contacting GGNRA at (415) 561-4930.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: November 3, 2011.

John H. Williams,
Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.

[FR Doc. 2011-32833 Filed 12-21-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-721]

Certain Portable Electronic Devices And Related Software; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Determination To Review In Part A Final Initial Determination; Schedule for Filing Written Submissions

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in part the final initial determination (“ID”) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) on October 17, 2011, finding no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in this investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amanda S. Pitcher, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s

electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on June 17, 2010, based on a complaint filed by HTC Corporation (“HTC”) of Taiwan. 75 FR 34,484–85 (June 17, 2010). The complaint alleged violations of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain portable electronic devices and related software by reason of infringement of various claims of United States Patent Nos. 6,999,800 (“the ‘800 patent”); 5,541,988 (“the ‘988 patent”); 6,320,957 (“the ‘957 patent”); 7,716,505 (“the ‘505 patent”); and 6,058,183 (“the ‘183 patent”) (subsequently terminated from the investigation). The complaint named Apple Inc. as the Respondent.

October 17, 2011, the ALJ issued his final ID, finding no violation of section 337 by the respondent. Specifically, the ALJ found that the Commission has subject matter jurisdiction and that Apple did not contest that the Commission has *in rem* and *in personam* jurisdiction. The ALJ also found that there was an importation into the United States, sale for importation, or sale within the United States after importation of the accused portable electronic devices and related software. Regarding infringement, the ALJ found that Apple does not infringe claims 1–3 and 8–10 of the 800 patent, claims 1 and 10 of the ‘988 patent, claims 8–9 of the ‘957 patent and claims 1–2 of the ‘505 patent. With respect to invalidity, the ALJ found that the asserted claims are not invalid. Finally, the ALJ concluded that an industry exists within the United States that practices the ‘988 and ‘957 patents, but not the ‘800 and ‘505 patents as required by 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(2).

On October 31, 2011 HTC filed a petition for review of the ID, which also included a contingent petition for review. Also on October 31, 2011, Apple filed a contingent petition for review. On November 8, 2011, the parties filed responses to the petition and contingent petitions for review.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ’s final ID, the petitions for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined to review the final ID in part. Specifically, the Commission has determined to review the ALJ’s findings