

claims with no multiple dependent claims.

An applicant who wishes to participate in the program must submit a certification and request to participate in the prioritized examination program, preferably by using Form PTO/SB/424. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has determined that, under 5 CFR 1320.3(h), Form PTO/SB/424 does not collect "information" within the meaning of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Therefore, this rule making does not impose additional collection requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act which are subject to further review by OMB.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number.

List of Subjects in 37 CFR Part 1

Administrative practice and procedure, Courts, Freedom of Information, Inventions and patents, Reporting and record keeping requirements, Small Businesses.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 37 CFR part 1 is amended as follows:

PART 1—RULES OF PRACTICE IN PATENT CASES

■ 1. The authority citation for 37 CFR Part 1 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2).

■ 2. Section 1.102 is amended by revising paragraph (e) to read as follows:

§ 1.102 Advancement of examination.

* * * * *

(e) A request for prioritized examination under this paragraph must comply with the requirements of this paragraph and be accompanied by the prioritized examination fee set forth in § 1.17(c), the processing fee set forth in § 1.17(i), and if not already paid, the publication fee set forth in § 1.18(d). An application for which prioritized examination has been requested may not contain or be amended to contain more than four independent claims, more than thirty total claims, or any multiple dependent claim. Prioritized examination under this paragraph will not be accorded to international applications that have not entered the national stage under 35 U.S.C. 371, design applications, reissue applications, provisional applications,

or reexamination proceedings. A request for prioritized examination must also comply with the requirements of paragraph (e)(1) or paragraph (e)(2) of this section.

(1) A request for prioritized examination may be filed with an original utility or plant nonprovisional application under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) that is complete as defined by § 1.51(b), with any fees due under § 1.16 paid on filing. If the application is a utility application, it must be filed via the Office's electronic filing system. The request for prioritized examination in compliance with this paragraph must be present upon filing of the application.

(2) A request for prioritized examination may be filed with or after a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. If the application is a utility application, the request must be filed via the Office's electronic filing system. The request must be filed before the mailing of the first Office action after the filing of the request for continued examination under § 1.114. Only a single such request for prioritized examination under this paragraph may be granted in an application.

Dated: December 7, 2011.

David J. Kappos,

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

[FR Doc. 2011-32434 Filed 12-16-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Part 17

RIN 2900-AO05

Medical Benefits for Newborn Children of Certain Woman Veterans

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs.
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) is amending its regulation concerning the medical benefits package offered to veterans enrolled in the VA health care system. This rulemaking updates the regulation to conform to amendments made by the enactment of the Caregivers and Veteran Omnibus Health Services Act of 2010, which authorized VA to provide certain health care services to a newborn child of a woman veteran who is receiving maternity care furnished by VA. Health services for newborn care will be authorized for no more than seven days after the birth of the child if the veteran

delivered the child in a VA facility or in another facility pursuant to a VA contract for maternity services.

DATES: *Effective Date:* This final rule is effective December 19, 2011.

Applicability Date: This regulation is applicable to medical care provided on or after May 5, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Holley Niethammer, Veterans Health Administration, 3773 Cherry Creek North Drive, Denver, Colorado 80209 (303) 370-5062. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 5, 2010, the President signed into law the Caregivers and Veterans Omnibus Health Services Act of 2010, Public Law 111-163. Section 206 of the public law, codified at 38 U.S.C. 1786, authorizes VA to "furnish health care services * * * to a newborn child of a woman veteran who is receiving maternity care furnished by [VA] for not more than seven days after the birth of the child if the veteran delivered the child in—(1) a [VA] facility * * *; or (2) another facility pursuant to a [VA] contract for services relating to such delivery." We note that the statutory authority does not extend to newborn children of female partners or relatives of veterans who are not veterans receiving maternity care from VA. In other words, this benefit is exclusive to newborn children of female veterans who themselves have been receiving maternity care from VA prior to the birth of the child and who otherwise meet the requirements of the law. We recognize that in some cases a newborn child of a woman veteran may be placed for adoption at the time of birth or shortly thereafter, or may be abandoned. Notwithstanding that the birth mother may not be willing or able to raise the child following birth, VA will provide newborn care for the date of birth and the first seven calendar days of life to any child delivered by a woman veteran who is receiving care under § 17.38(a)(1)(xiii). This is the broadest reasonable interpretation of the statutory authorization to provide care to the newborn child of a woman veteran, because the statute does not clearly require that the woman veteran be, or continue to be, the child's legal parent or guardian after birth.

We interpret section 1786 to mean that newborn care is one of the health care services authorized by Congress in 38 U.S.C. 1710. This rulemaking implements this interpretation of section 1786. We note that we have been providing this care since the effective date of the statute, May 5, 2010.

VA implemented section 1710 in current 38 CFR 17.38, which prescribes the types of medical care that are included in what is known as the VA "medical benefits package." This rulemaking amends § 17.38(a) to include newborn care as a service provided under the medical benefits package. Pursuant to current § 17.38(b), care "will be provided to individuals only if it is determined by appropriate healthcare professionals that the care is needed to promote, preserve, or restore the health of the individual and is in accord with generally accepted standards of medical practice."

For the above reasons, we amend 38 CFR 17.38(a)(1) consistent with section 1786 to provide: Newborn care, post delivery, for a newborn child for the date of birth plus seven calendar days after the birth of the child when the birth mother is a woman veteran enrolled in VA health care and receiving maternity care furnished by VA or under authorization from VA and the child is delivered either in a VA facility, or in another facility pursuant to a VA authorization for maternity care at VA expense. VA will cover all medically necessary care for the newborn(s) for the date of birth plus the first seven calendar days after birth, beginning on the day after the child is born and ending at midnight on the seventh full calendar day thereafter. This is the broadest reasonable interpretation of the statute, which authorizes needed care "for not more than seven days after the birth of the child." 38 U.S.C. 1786(a). The newborn care will include post-delivery care, including newborn care, determined by appropriate healthcare professionals necessary to promote, preserve or restore the health of the child in accordance with generally accepted standards of medical practice (§ 17.38(b)).

Finally, for clarity and continuity, we are renumbering current § 17.38(a)(1)(xiv), which addresses the completion of forms, to § 17.38(a)(1)(xv) and inserting newborn care at § 17.38(a)(1)(xiv) to follow pregnancy and delivery services at § 17.38(a)(1)(xiii).

Effect of Rulemaking

Title 38 of the Code of Federal Regulations, as revised by this final rulemaking, represents VA's implementation of its legal authority on this subject. Other than future amendments to this regulation or governing statutes, no contrary guidance or procedures on this subject are authorized. All VA guidance must be read to conform with this rulemaking if possible or, if not possible, such

guidance is superseded by this rulemaking.

Administrative Procedure Act

This rulemaking is VA's interpretive regulatory guidance on a statutory amendment to 38 U.S.C. 1786. This rule does not create any new rights or duties. Accordingly, this rule is being published as a final rule pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(A), which exempts interpretive rules from the notice-and-comment requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553. Because this rule merely interprets a statute, it is effective as of the date of the statute it interprets, i.e., May 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(2), which exempts interpretive rules from the delayed effective date requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553.

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity). Executive Order 13563 (Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review) emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, reducing costs, harmonizing rules, and promoting flexibility. Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review) defines a "significant regulatory action," which requires review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) as "any regulatory action that is likely to result in a rule that may: (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities; (2) Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or (4) Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order."

The economic, interagency, budgetary, legal, and policy implications of this final rule have been examined and it has been determined not to be a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

Unfunded Mandates

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act requires, at 2 U.S.C. 1532, that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule that may result in the expenditure by State, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more (adjusted annually for inflation) in any given year. This final rule will have no such effect on State, local, or tribal governments, or on the private sector.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This final rule contains no provisions constituting a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3521).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The initial and final regulatory flexibility analyses requirements of sections 603 and 604 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, are not applicable to this rule, because a notice of proposed rulemaking is not required for this rule. Even so, the Secretary hereby certifies that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act. This final rule will not directly affect any small entities. Therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), this final rule is exempt from the initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis requirements of sections 603 and 604.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance numbers and titles for the programs affected by this final rule are Veterans Medical Care Benefits; 64.010.

Signing Authority

The Secretary of Veterans Affairs, or designee, approved this document and authorized the undersigned to sign and submit the document to the Office of the Federal Register for publication electronically as an official document of the Department of Veterans Affairs. John R. Gingrich, Chief of Staff, Department of Veterans Affairs, approved this document on November 4, 2011, for publication.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 17

Administrative practice and procedure, Caregivers program, Claims, Health care, Health facilities, Newborns, Pregnant women, Veterans.

Dated: December 13, 2011.

Robert C. McFetridge,

Director of Regulation Policy and Management, Office of the General Counsel, Department of Veterans Affairs.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, VA amends 38 CFR Part 17 as follows:

PART 17—MEDICAL

- 1. The authority citation for part 17 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 501, and as noted in specific sections.

- 2. Amend § 17.38 by:

- a. Redesignating paragraph (a)(1)(xiv) as paragraph (a)(1)(xv).
 ■ b. Adding a new paragraph (a)(1)(xiv).
 ■ c. Revising the authority citation at the end of the section.

The addition and revision read as follows:

§ 17.38 Medical benefits package.

(a) * * *

(1) * * *

(xiv) Newborn care, post delivery, for a newborn child for the date of birth plus seven calendar days after the birth of the child when the birth mother is a woman veteran enrolled in VA health care and receiving maternity care furnished by VA or under authorization from VA and the child is delivered either in a VA facility, or in another facility pursuant to a VA authorization for maternity care at VA expense.

* * * * *

(Authority 38 U.S.C. 101, 501, 1701, 1705, 1710, 1710A, 1721, 1722, 1782, 1786)

[FR Doc. 2011-32264 Filed 12-16-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8302-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R10-OAR-2008-0155; A-1-FRL-9248-1]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans: Oregon

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is approving State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Oregon, Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ). These revisions pertain to the Clean Air Act (CAA) section 110(a) maintenance plans prepared by ODEQ, to maintain the 8-hour national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for ozone, in the Portland portion of the Portland/

Vancouver Air Quality Maintenance Area (Pdx/Van AQMA), and the Salem-Keizer Area Transportation Study Air Quality Area (SKATS). The 110(a)(1) maintenance plans for these areas meet CAA requirements and demonstrate that each of the above mentioned areas will be able to remain in attainment for the 1997 and 2008 8-hour ozone NAAQS through 2015. As SKATS appears to be significantly impacted by emissions from the Portland area, an approved plan for the Pdx/Van AQMA is one of the control strategies for SKATS. Therefore, EPA is approving the section 110(a) plans for the Portland portion of the Pdx/Van AQMA and SKATS at the same time.

Additionally, the EPA is approving SIP revisions submitted by ODEQ that phase out the State's Vehicle Inspection Program (VIP) enhanced BAR-31 test, and eliminate the Gas Cap Pressure Test and the Evaporative Purge Tests.

DATES: This action is effective on January 18, 2012.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket Identification Number: EPA-R10-OAR-2008-0155. All documents in the docket are listed on the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site. Although listed in the index, some information may not be publicly available, *i.e.*, Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in www.regulations.gov or in hard copy during normal business hours at EPA Region 10, Office of Air, Waste, and Toxics (AWT-107), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101. The EPA requests that you contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Region Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. excluding Federal Holidays. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Krishna Viswanathan, (206) 553-2684, or by email at viswanathan.krishna@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean the EPA. Information is organized as follows:

Table of Contents

- I. Background
 II. Final Action
 III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Background

On May 6, 2010 (75 FR 24844), EPA proposed to approve the State of Oregon's State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision that establishes a maintenance plan for ozone in the Portland portion of the Portland/Vancouver Air Quality Maintenance Area (Pdx/Van AQMA) and the Salem-Keizer Area Transportation Study Air Quality Area (SKATS). This plan provides measures that enable continued attainment of the 8-hour ozone NAAQS for at least 10 years after designation, and includes a 2002 base-year emissions inventory. EPA also proposed approval of SIP revisions submitted by Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) that phase out the State's VIP enhanced BAR-31 test, and eliminate the Gas Cap Pressure Test and the Evaporative Purge Tests. No comments were received on the proposed approval of this plan. EPA is, accordingly, taking final action in this notice to approve the plan as discussed in the proposed action without change.

II. Final Action

EPA is approving the section 110(a)(1) maintenance plan and supporting rules for Portland and Salem, Oregon submitted on May 22, 2007, and described further in the Technical Support document, as revisions to the Oregon SIP. EPA is approving the maintenance plan and supporting rules for the Portland Portion of the Pdx/Van AQMA and SKATS. EPA is also taking final action to approve revisions to the Oregon SIP pertaining to motor vehicle testing provisions (Oregon SIP: Volume 2—section 5.4.7—Test Procedures and Standards and supporting rules). These revisions will not interfere with the attainment or maintenance of the current CO or ozone NAAQS and meet the requirements of section 110(a)(1) and section 110(l) of the CAA.

EPA will retain the tables in 40 CFR part 81 that identify the 1-hour ozone designation and classification status of each area as of the effective date of the 8-hour designations. (See 70 FR 44471.) Therefore, although the SKATS area is a State maintenance area for the 1-hour ozone standard, 40 CFR part 81 will retain the nonattainment designation for the SKATS area. EPA believes that the CAA does not require a separate 110(l) analysis to replace 1-hour nonattainment NSR with PSD once an area has been redesignated to attainment for the 1997 8-hour ozone standard, or has an approved 110(a)(1) maintenance plan for that standard. (See 75 FR 64677). In sum, EPA does not require