clearing agency for which the clearing agency is seeking approval is subject to regulation by another regulator.

## V. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the proposed rule change (SR-CME-2011– 16) is approved on an accelerated basis.

For the Commission by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>8</sup>

#### Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–31641 Filed 12–8–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-65884; File No. SR-SCCP-2011-03]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Stock Clearing Corporation of Philadelphia; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change With Respect to an Amendment to the By-Laws of The NASDAQ OMX Group, Inc.

December 5, 2011.

## I. Introduction

On October 11, 2011, Stock Clearing Corporation of Philadelphia ("SCCP") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change SR-SCCP-2011-03 pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 and Rule 19b-42 thereunder. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on October 28, 2011.3 The Commission received no comment letters regarding the proposal. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission is granting approval of the proposed rule change.

### II. Description

The rule change will permit an amendment to the by-laws of SCCP's parent corporation, The NASDAQ OMX Group, Inc. ("NASDAQ OMX").

NASDAQ OMX is seeking to amend provisions of its by-laws pertaining to the composition of committees of the NASDAQ OMX Board of Directors.

First, NASDAO OMX is amending the compositional requirements of its Audit Committee in Section 4.13(g) to provide that the committee shall include three or more directors. Currently, the provision provides that the Audit Committee shall be composed of either four or five directors. Second, NASDAQ OMX is proposing to amend the compositional requirements of the Nominating & Governance Committee in Section 4.13(h) to replace a requirement that the committee comprise four or five members with a requirement to include two or more members. Third, NASDAQ OMX proposes to delete a paragraph of the by-laws (Section 4.13(k)) that pertains to the qualifications of committee members who are not directors. Finally, NASDAQ OMX is correcting a typographical error in the numbering of the provisions of Section 4.13(h) of the by-laws.

#### **III. Discussion**

Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act directs the Commission to approve a proposed rule change of a self-regulatory organization if it finds that such proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to such organization.4 In particular, Section 17A(b)(3)(A) 5 of the Act requires, among other things, that the clearing agency be so organized and have the capacity to facilitate the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, to safeguard the securities and funds which are in the custody or control of such clearing agency or for which it is responsible, and to comply with the provisions of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder.

The proposed change would allow the NASDAO OMX Board of Directors ("Board") to determine the size of its Audit Committee, so long as the Audit Committee includes at least three directors, as well as the size of its Nominating & Governance Committee, so long as the Nominating & Governance Committee includes at least two directors. The proposal is intended to provide greater flexibility to the NASDAQ OMX Board to determine the appropriate size for these committees. The proposal does not change any other compositional requirements of either the Audit Committee or the Nominating & Governance Committee, including independence requirements. Moreover, the Commission notes that the proposal does not alter the application of Section

10A of the Exchange Act <sup>6</sup> and Rule 10A–3 thereunder <sup>7</sup> to the NASDAQ OMX Audit Committee.

The proposal also deletes an obsolete section from, and corrects a typographical error in, the NASDAQ OMX by-laws, which are clarifying revisions. For the foregoing reasons, the Commission believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. The proposal also deletes an obsolete section from, and corrects a typographical error in, the NASDAQ OMX by-laws, which are clarifying revisions.

#### IV. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of the Act and in particular with the requirements of Section 17A of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) <sup>8</sup> of the Act, that the proposed rule change (File No. SR–SCCP–2011–03) be, and hereby is, approved.<sup>9</sup>

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. $^{10}$ 

## Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–31600 Filed 12–8–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-65887; File No. SR-NYSEAmex-2011-91]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Amex LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Amending NYSE Amex Equities Rule 72 Priority of Bids and Offers and Allocation of Executions

December 5, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") <sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b—4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that, on November 21, 2011, NYSE Amex LLC (the "Exchange" or "NYSE Amex") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the

<sup>8 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34–65614 (October 24, 2011), 76 FR 67009 (October 28, 2011). In its filing with the Commission, SCCP included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change. The text of these statements is incorporated into the discussion of the proposed rule change in Section II below.

<sup>4 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78j–1.

 $<sup>^{7}\,17</sup>$  CFR 240.10A–3.

<sup>8 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> In approving the proposed rule change, the Commission considered the proposal's impact on efficiency, competition and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>10 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.