This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

[A-580-831 and A-580-834]

Notice of Implementation of Determination Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order on Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From the Republic of Korea; and Partial Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From the Republic of Korea

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On November 16, 2011, the U.S. Trade Representative ("USTR") instructed the Department of Commerce ("the Department") to implement its determination under section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA") regarding the investigation of stainless steel plate in coils from the Republic of Korea ("SSPC") and stainless steel sheet and strip from the Republic of Korea ("SSSS"). The Department issued its determination on November 4, 2011, regarding the offsetting of dumped comparisons with non-dumped comparisons when making average-to-average comparisons of export price and normal value in the investigation challenged by the Republic of Korea before the World Trade Organization ("WTO") in United States—Use of Zeroing in Antidumping Measures Involving Products from Korea (DS402). The Department is now implementing this determination. DATES: The effective date of this

determination is November 16, 2011. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irene Gorelik or Lori Apodaca, AD/CVD

Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482–6905, or (202) 482–4551, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On September 26, 2011, the Department informed interested parties that it was initiating a proceeding under section 129 of the URAA to implement the findings of the WTO dispute settlement panel in United States—Use of Zeroing in Antidumping Measures Involving Products from Korea (DS402) ("Panel Report"). On September 26, 2011, the Department issued the memorandum entitled "Preliminary Results Under Section 129 of the Uruguav Round Agreements Act: Antidumping Measures on Stainless Steel Plate in Coils and Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from the Republic of Korea," dated September 23, 2011 ("Preliminary 129 Results"), in which the Department recalculated the weighted-average dumping margins from the antidumping investigations of SSPC and SSSS from Korea<sup>1</sup> by applying the calculation methodology described in Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin During an Antidumping Investigation; Final Modification, 71 FR 77722 (December 27, 2006). The Department invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary 129 Results. After receiving comments and rebuttal comments from the interested parties, the Department issued its final results for the section 129 determinations on November 4,  $2011.^{2}$ 

In its November 16, 2011, letter, the USTR notified the Department that,

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum from Christian Marsh to Paul Piquado, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Proceeding Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act: Antidumping Measures on Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from the Republic of Korea," Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip from the Republic of Korea," dated November 4, 2011 ("Final 129 Results Memo"). consistent with section 129(b)(3) of the URAA, consultations with the Department and the appropriate congressional committees with respect to the November 4, 2011, determination have been completed. On November 16, 2011, in accordance with section 129(b)(4) of the URAA, the USTR directed the Department to implement these determinations.

#### Nature of the Proceeding

Thursday, December 1, 2011

Federal Register Vol. 76, No. 231

Section 129 of the URAA governs the nature and effect of determinations issued by the Department to implement findings by WTO dispute settlement panels and the Appellate Body. Specifically, section 129(b)(2) of the URAA provides that, "notwithstanding any provision of the Tariff Act of 1930,' within 180 days of a written request from the USTR, the Department shall issue a determination that would render its actions not inconsistent with an adverse finding of a WTO panel or the Appellate Body report.<sup>3</sup> The Statement of Administrative Action, URAA, H. Doc. 316, Vol. 1, 103d Cong. (1994) ("SAA"), variously refers to such a determination by the Department as a "new," "second," and "different" determination.<sup>4</sup> After consulting with the Department and the appropriate congressional committees, the USTR may direct the Department to implement, in whole or in part, the new determinations made under section 129 of the URAA.<sup>5</sup> Pursuant to section 129(c) of the URAA, the new determinations shall apply with respect to unliquidated entries of the subject merchandise that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date on which the USTR directs the Department to implement the new determinations.<sup>6</sup> The new determinations are subject to judicial review separate and apart from judicial review of the Department's original determination.7

# **Analysis of Comments Received**

The issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs submitted by interested parties are addressed in the Final 129 Results Memo, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues, which

- <sup>4</sup> See SAA at 1025, 1027.
- 5 See 19 U.S.C. 3538(b)(4).

**Notices** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan, 64 FR 27756 (May 21, 1999) ("Plate Order") and Notice of Antidumping Duty Order; Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From the United Kingdom, Taiwan and South Korea, 64 FR 40555 (July 27, 1999) ("Sheet Order"), as amended by Notice of Amendment of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From the Republic of Korea; and Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From the Republic of Korea, 66 FR 45279 (August 28, 2001).

<sup>3</sup> See 19 U.S.C. 3538(b)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See 19 U.S.C. 3538(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See 19 U.S.C. 1516a(a)(2)(B)(vii).

the parties raised and we addressed in the Final 129 Results Memo, is attached to this notice as Appendix I. The Final 129 Results Memo is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS"). Access to IA ACCESS is available in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Final 129 Results Memo can be accessed directly on the Internet at *http://www.trade.gov/ ia/*. The signed Final 129 Results Memo

and the electronic versions of the Final 129 Results Memo are identical in content.

# **Final Antidumping Duty Margins**

The recalculated margins, unchanged from the *Prelim 129 Results*, are as follows:

# STAINLESS STEEL PLATE IN COILS FROM THE REPUBLIC OF KOREA (A-580-831)

Manufacturer/exporter	2011 Section 129 results
Pohang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd	.55 percent ( <i>de minimis</i> ).
All-Others	.55 percent ( <i>de minimis</i> ).

# STAINLESS STEEL SHEET AND STRIP IN COILS FROM THE REPUBLIC OF KOREA (A-580-834)

Manufacturer/exporter	2011 Section 129 results
Pohang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd	0 percent (excluded).
Inchon Iron & Steel Co., Ltd	0 percent (excluded—no change).
Taihan Electric Wire Co., Ltd	58.79 percent (no change).
All Others	19.60 percent.

# Revocation of the Order for Stainless Steel Plate in Coils

Upon recalculation, Pohang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. no longer has a positive dumping margin. Because the changes to the margin calculations result in no margins for the mandatory respondent, the All-Others rate decreases to zero. Therefore, the Department is revoking the *Plate Order* effective November 16, 2011, the date upon which USTR directed the Department to implement its final results. Accordingly, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties entries of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after that date and to discontinue the collection of cash deposits for entries of stainless steel plate in coils from Korea.

# Partial Revocation of the Order for Stainless Steel Sheet in Coils

Since the weighted-average margin percentage for Inchon Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. continues to be zero, Inchon continues to be excluded from the Sheet Order. Further, because the Department has recalculated a dumping margin of zero percent for Pohang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd., the Department is revoking the Sheet Order with respect to Pohang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd., for entries made on or after November 16, 2011. Accordingly, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties, Pohang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd.'s entries of SSSS which were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after that date and to

discontinue the collection of cash deposits for estimated antidumping duties for Pohang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd.

However, in the Sheet Order, the Department assigned a dumping margin based on section 776 of the Act in the LTFV investigation to Taihan Electric Wire Co., Ltd. The Department has not recalculated this dumping margin because it is not affected by the implementation of the Panel Report. This dumping margin was based on information contained in the petition and "zeroing" was not used to calculate the dumping margins in the petition.<sup>8</sup> In addition, the Department must determine an appropriate All-Others dumping margin pursuant to section 735(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). The Department determines that a reasonable method for determining the All-Others dumping margin is a simple average of the adverse-facts available dumping margin and the calculated zero dumping margin, because there are no other calculated dumping margins from which to assign an All-Others dumping margin.9 This is consistent with our past practice in the 2007 Section 129 *Determinations.*<sup>10</sup> The All-Others

dumping margin is now 19.60 percent. Consequently, because the Taihan Electric Wire Co., Ltd. and the All-Others dumping margins are above *de minimis*, we will not revoke the *Sheet Order* in its entirety.

We will instruct CBP to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries of subject merchandise from all other exporters or producers, except for Inchon Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. and Pohang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd., as stated above. We will instruct CBP to continue to require a cash deposit equal to the estimated amount by which the normal value exceeds the U.S. price. The suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice. The All-Others rate of 19.60 percent established in this section 129 determination will be the new cashdeposit rate on or after November 16, 2011, for all exporters of subject merchandise for which the Department has not calculated an individual rate.

These amended final determinations are issued and published in accordance with section 129(c)(2)(A) of the URAA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See, e.g., Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Mexico, South Korea, Taiwan, and the United Kingdom, 63 FR 37521, 37526 (July 13, 1998) (where we stated that "based on comparisons of EP to adjusted CV, estimated margins range from 18.40 to 58.79 percent").

 $<sup>^9</sup> See$  section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Implementation of the Findings of the WTO Panel in US—Zeroing (EC): Notice of Determinations Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Revocations and Partial

Revocations of Certain Antidumping Duty Orders, 72 FR 2526, 25262–63 (May 4, 2007) ("2007 Section 129 Determinations") where the Department calculated a simple average of existing AFA margins with above *de minimis*/zero margins as an All-Others rate following section 129 recalculations for the mandatory respondents that resulted in zero or *de minimis* rates.

Dated: November 25, 2011.

# Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

#### Appendix I

# **Discussion of the Issues**

### Plate and Sheet

- 1. Whether the Department Should Vacate the Preliminary 129 Results
- 2. Whether to Revoke the Plate Order
- 3. Whether to Set Cash Deposits to Zero in Lieu of Revocation

[FR Doc. 2011–30951 Filed 11–30–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### International Trade Administration

# Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

# Background

Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department of Commerce ("the Department") and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 of the Act would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

# Upcoming Sunset Reviews for January 2012

The following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in January 2012 and will appear in that month's Notice of Initiation of Five-Year Sunset Reviews.

	Department contact
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from From Germany (A–428–815) (3rd Review) Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from From the Republic of Korea (A–580–816) (3rd Review).	Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391. David Goldberger, (202) 482–4136.
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from From the Republic of Korea (C-580-818) (3rd Review).	David Goldberger, (202) 482-4136.

#### Suspended Investigations

No Sunset Review of suspended investigations is scheduled from initiation in January 2012.

The Department's procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of Sunset Reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3-Policies Regarding the Conduct of Fiveyear ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998). The Notice of Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Reviews.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if the Department receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue. Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: November 9, 2011.

#### Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations. [FR Doc. 2011–30946 Filed 11–30–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

## Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda E. Waters, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Unit, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4735.

# Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), may request, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213, that the Department of Commerce ("the Department") conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

All deadlines for the submission of comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting date.

#### **Respondent Selection**

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order ("APO") to all parties having an APO within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 21 days of publication of the initiation Federal Register notice. Therefore, we encourage all parties interested in commenting on respondent selection to submit their APO