- a. How do lessons learned from events analysis get disseminated to industry?
- b. How do NERC's non-standards processes such as the Industry Alerts, Recommendations, Event Analysis, Essential Actions, Lessons Learned and Compliance Application Notices interact with the reliability standards? To what extent do these processes aid in identifying important reliability matters that are not addressed under the existing Reliability Standards?
- c. Is the alerts process getting the message out on issues of immediate importance
- d. How do you gauge whether industry is appropriately implementing NERC alerts or lessons learned from an event analysis?
- e. Is there a feedback loop into the Reliability Standards development process to determine if there is a gap in the standards? If so, how has that been working? If not, should there be?

Panelists

- Gerry W. Cauley, President and Chief Executive Officer, North American Electric Reliability Corporation
- Thomas J. Galloway, President and Chief Executive Officer, North American Transmission Forum
- Tom Burgess, Executive Director, Integrated System Planning and Development, FirstEnergy, on behalf of FirstEnergy and EEI
- Scott Helyer, Vice President, Transmission at Tenaska, on behalf of Electric Power Supply Association (EPSA)
- Mary Kipp, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer, El Paso Electric

Commissioner Closing Comments

November 30, 2011

- 9 a.m. Commissioners' Opening Remarks
- 9:20 a.m. Introductions Commissioner Cheryl LaFleur, Chair
- 9: 30 Remarks: Janet McCabe, Principal Deputy Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- 9:40 a.m. Panel III: Presentations and Discussion on the Current State of Processes for Identifying Unit-Specific Local or Regional Reliability Issues in Response to Final EPA Regulations

Presentations: Panelists will be asked to describe their local and regional processes for identifying unit-specific reliability issues in response to final EPA environmental requirements. Panelists should address the following broad questions in their presentations:

- a. How should reliability aspects of EPA's proposed and final regulations be addressed? What local or regional processes are used to plan for emerging issues such as the EPA regulations? How are you incorporating the EPA regulations into this process?
- b. What have you proposed to the EPA regarding an exemption process? Do you support the exemption process changes identified by the RTOs or other entities in comments to the EPA? Do you have any alternative proposals?
- c. What market structures and tariff rules are used to address local and regional reliability issues that may arise from generation retirements potentially triggered by EPA regulations? Are any changes to market and tariff rules needed?
- d. Do you have the right tools to identify any problems that may arise? Are there other process changes that could help address reliability-related requests for exemptions from the EPA regulations?

Panelists

- Mark Lauby, Vice President and Director of Reliability Assessment and Performance Analysis, North American Electric Reliability Corporation
- Michael Kormos, Senior Vice President of Operations, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
- Carl Monroe, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Southwest Power Pool (SPP)
- Thomas F. Farrell II, Chairman, President & CEO—Dominion, on behalf of EEI
- Kathleen Barron, Vice President, Federal Regulatory Affairs and Policy, Exelon Corporation
- Anthony Topazi, Chief Operating Officer, Southern Company
- David Wright, Vice Chairman, South Carolina Public Service Commission
- Joshua Epel, Chairman, Colorado Public Utilities Commission 12 p.m. Lunch

12:45 p.m. Continuation of Panel III Discussion with Commissioners: Open dialogue and questions and answers between Panel 1 and Commissioners.
2:15 p.m. Break

2:30 p.m. Panel IV: Discussion on multi-jurisdictional processes.

Presentations: Panelists will be asked to describe how they coordinate processes such as the state integrated resource planning with their reliability planning and the safety valve proposal. Panelists should address the following broad questions in their presentations:

a. What, if any role should the Commission or DOE play in studying replacement generation or other reliability solutions due to retirements? What role does the retail regulator, such as a state public utility commission or municipal authority play in forming your bulk power system reliability plans?

b. Do you support the exemption process changes identified by the RTOs or other entities in comments to the EPA? What role can the Commission play in evaluating individual requests under a safety-valve approach? Do you have any alternative proposals?

Panelists

- Patricia A. Hoffman, Assistant Secretary for Electricity & Infrastructure Reliability, U.S. Department of Energy
- Gerry W. Cauley, President and Chief Executive Officer, North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC)
- Nick Akins, ČEO of American Electric Power (AEP), on behalf of AEP
- Clair J. Moeller, Vice President Transmission Asset Management, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. (MISO)
- Betty Ann Kane, Chairman, District of Columbia Public Service Commission
- Cheryl Roberto, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
- Eric Baker, President and Chief Executive Officer, Wolverine Electric Power Cooperative
- Debra Raggio, Vice President, Government and Regulatory Affairs, Assistant General Counsel, GenOn Energy, Inc.

Commissioner Closing Comments

[FR Doc. 2011–30671 Filed 11–28–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. CP12-15-000]

Cameron LNG, LLC; Notice of Application

Take notice that on November 4, 2010, Cameron LNG, LLC (Cameron), 101 Ash Street, San Diego, California 92101, filed in Docket No. CP12-15-000, an application pursuant to section 3(a) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authority to construct and operate a boil-off gas (BOG) liquefaction system at its LNG import terminal in Cameron Parish, Louisiana. Specifically, Cameron proposes to install facilities consisting of a closed loop refrigeration system at the terminal to liquefy BOG and return such gas in the form of LNG to its storage tanks. Cameron states that the project will not require any new LNG

storage facilities and that any new LNG piping will be very limited, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Commission's Web site at http://www.ferc.gov using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number, excluding the last three digits, in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, call (202) 502–8659 or TTY, (202) 208–3676.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to William D. Rapp, 101 Ash Street, San Diego, CA 92101, phone (619) 699–5050 or email: wrapp@sempraglobal.com.

Pursuant to section 157.9 of the Commission's rules, 18 CFR 157.9, within 90 days of this Notice the Commission staff will either: complete its environmental assessment (EA) and place it into the Commission's public record (eLibrary) for this proceeding, or issue a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review. If a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review is issued, it will indicate, among other milestones, the anticipated date for the Commission staff's issuance of the final environmental impact statement (FEIS) or EA for this proposal. The filing of the EA in the Commission's public record for this proceeding or the issuance of a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review will serve to notify federal and state agencies of the timing for the completion of all necessary reviews, and the subsequent need to complete all Federal authorizations within 90 days of the date of issuance of the Commission staff's FEIS or EA.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the below listed comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

Motions to intervene, protests and comments may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper; see, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Comment Date: December 12, 2011.

Dated: November 21, 2011.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–30691 Filed 11–28–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Combined Notice of Filings #1

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric corporate filings:

Docket Numbers: EC12-33-000.

Applicants: Ridgeline Alternative Energy, LLC.

Description: Joint Application of Ridgeline Alternative Energy, LLC; Rockland Wind Farm LLC; and Atlantic Power Corporation.

Filed Date: 11/17/11.

Accession Number: 20111117–5164. Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 12/8/11.

Docket Numbers: EC12–34–000. Applicants: Bishop Hill Energy LLC.

Description: Application for Authorization under Section 203 of the Federal Power Act and Request for Waivers and Expedited Action of Bishop Hill Energy LLC.

Filed Date: 11/18/11.

Accession Number: 20111118–5093. Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 12/9/11.

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric rate filings:

Docket Numbers: ER11–3876–003; ER11–2044–004; ER10–2611–002. Applicants: MidAmerican Energy

Company, Cordova Energy Company LLC, Saranac Power Partners, L.P.

Description: Triennial market update for Central Region of MidAmerican Energy Company, et al.

Filed Date: 11/17/11.

Accession Number: 20111117-5170. Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 12/8/11.

Docket Numbers: ER12–430–000. Applicants: Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Description: Revisions to Attachment AE—Review and Assessment of Resource Plans to be effective 1/17/ 2012

Filed Date: 11/17/11.

Accession Number: 20111117-5128.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 12/8/11.

Docket Numbers: ER12–431–000. Applicants: NedPower Mount Storm, LLC.

Description: Compliance Filing—Section X and XI to be effective 11/17/2011.

Filed Date: 11/17/11.

Accession Number: 20111117–5160. Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 12/8/11.

Docket Numbers: ER12–432–000.

Applicants: Puget Sound Energy, Inc. Description: Vantage Wind LGIA to be

effective 10/1/2011.

Filed Date: 11/17/11.

Accession Number: 20111117–5161. Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 12/8/11.

Docket Numbers: ER12-433-000.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Applicants: Energy Exchange Direct, \\ LLC. \end{tabular}$

Description: Energy Exchange Direct, LLC Electric Tariff Original Volume No 1 to be effective 12/1/2011.

Filed Date: 11/18/11.

Accession Number: 20111118-5000.