

## PART 252—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

- 3. Amend section 252.204–7007 by—
- (a) Amending the clause date by removing “(SEP 2011)” and adding in its place “(NOV 2011)”; and
- (b) Amending paragraph (e) by removing the Internet address “<https://orca.bpn.gov/>” and adding in its place “<https://www.acquisition.gov/>”.

[FR Doc. 2011–29900 Filed 11–17–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Defense Acquisition Regulations System

#### 48 CFR Part 215

RIN 0750–AH30

#### Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement: Management of Manufacturing Risk in Major Defense Acquisition Programs (DFARS Case 2011–D031)

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** DoD is adopting as final, without change, an interim rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement to implement a section of National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 requiring appropriate consideration of the manufacturing readiness and manufacturing-readiness processes of potential contractors and subcontractors as a part of the source selection process for major defense acquisition programs.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* November 18, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Dustin Pitsch, *telephone* 703–602–0289.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

DoD published an interim rule in the *Federal Register* at 76 FR 38050 on June 29, 2011, to amend Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) 215.304(c) by adding paragraph (iv) to state that the manufacturing readiness and manufacturing-readiness processes of potential contractors and subcontractors shall be considered as a part of the source selection process for major defense acquisition programs. No public comments were submitted in response to the interim rule.

## II. Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Executive Orders (E.O.s) 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under section 6(b) of E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993. This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

## III. Regulatory Flexibility Act

A Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis has been prepared consistent with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*, and is summarized as follows:

This final rule amends the DFARS to implement section 812 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011, (10 U.S.C. 2430 note). Section 812(b)(5) requires appropriate consideration of the manufacturing readiness and manufacturing-readiness processes of potential contractors and subcontractors as a part of the source selection process for major defense acquisition programs.

No public comments were received in response to the initial regulatory flexibility analysis. No comments were filed by the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration in response to the interim rule.

The rule will apply to DoD Major Defense Acquisition Program contractors and subcontractors. Most major defense acquisition programs are awarded to large concerns as these programs are of a scope too large for any small business to perform. As such, it is not expected that this rule will have a significant impact on a significant number of small entities.

The final rule imposes no reporting, recordkeeping, or other information collection requirements.

There are no known significant alternatives to the rule that would meet the requirements of the statute. The impact on small entities is expected to be positive.

## IV. Paperwork Reduction Act

The rule does not contain any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of

Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

## List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 215

Government procurement.

**Mary Overstreet,**

*Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.*

## Interim Rule Adopted as Final Without Change

- Accordingly, the interim rule amending 48 CFR part 215, which was published at 76 FR 38050 on June 29, 2011, is adopted as a final rule without change.

[FR Doc. 2011–29894 Filed 11–17–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Defense Acquisition Regulations System

#### 48 CFR Part 216

RIN 0750–AG66

#### Defense Federal Acquisition Regulations Supplement; Notification Requirements for Awards of Single-Source Task- or Delivery-Order Contracts (DFARS Case 2009–D036)

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** DoD is adopting as final, with changes, an interim rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement to implement the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 regarding the notification requirements to Congress when awarding a single-award task- or delivery-order contract in excess of \$103 million.

**DATES:** *Effective date:* November 18, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Manuel Quinones, *telephone* (703) 602–8383.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

DoD published an interim rule at 75 FR 40716 on July 13, 2010, to implement section 814 of the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2010, (Pub. L. 111–84, enacted October 28, 2009). The public comment period closed on September 13, 2010. Three respondents submitted comments in response to the interim rule.