PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE—Continued

January 12, 2011	Deadline for motions by any party requesting oral argument; the Commission will schedule
	oral argument only when it is a necessary addition to the written filings (see 39 CFR
	3001.116).
February 14, 2012	Expiration of the Commission's 120-day decisional schedule (see 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5)).

[FR Doc. 2011–29000 Filed 11–8–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. A2012-40; Order No. 946]

Post Office Closing

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: This document informs the public that an appeal of the closing of the Beech Grove, Kentucky post office has been filed. It identifies preliminary steps and provides a procedural schedule. Publication of this document will allow the Postal Service, petitioners, and others to take appropriate action.

DATES: November 14, 2011: Administrative record due (from Postal Service); November 28, 2011, 4:30 p.m., Eastern Time: Deadline for notices to intervene. See the Procedural Schedule in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for other dates of interest.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically by accessing the "Filing Online" link in the banner at the top of the Commission's Web site (*http:// www.prc.gov*) or by directly accessing the Commission's Filing Online system at *https://www.prc.gov/prc-pages/filingonline/login.aspx*. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section as the source for case-related information for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at (202) 789–6820 (case-related information) or *DocketAdmins@prc.gov* (electronic filing assistance).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 404(d), on October 27, 2011, the Commission received a petition for review of the Postal Service's determination to close the Beech Grove post office in Beech Grove, Kentucky. The petition for review was filed by Joseph L.V. Dant (Petitioner) and is postmarked October 19, 2011. The Commission hereby institutes a proceeding under 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5) and establishes Docket No. A2012–40 to consider Petitioner's appeal. If Petitioner would like to further explain his position with supplemental information or facts, Petitioner may either file a Participant Statement on PRC Form 61 or file a brief with the Commission no later than December 1, 2011.

Categories of issues apparently raised. Petitioner contends that (1) the Postal Service failed to consider the effect of the closing on the community (*see* 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(i)); and (2) the Postal Service failed to consider the economic savings resulting from the closure (*see* 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(iv)).

After the Postal Service files the administrative record and the Commission reviews it, the Commission may find that there are more legal issues than those set forth above, or that the Postal Service's determination disposes of one or more of those issues. The deadline for the Postal Service to file the applicable administrative record with the Commission is November 14, 2011. *See* 39 CFR 3001.113. In addition, the due date for any responsive pleading by the Postal Service to this notice is November 14, 2011.

Availability; Web site posting. The Commission has posted the appeal and supporting material on its Web site at *http://www.prc.gov.* Additional filings in this case and participant's submissions also will be posted on the Web site, if provided in electronic format or amenable to conversion, and not subject to a valid protective order. Information on how to use the Commission's Web site is available online or by contacting the Commission's webmaster via telephone at (202) 789–6873 or via electronic mail at *prc-webmaster@prc.gov.*

The appeal and all related documents are also available for public inspection in the Commission's docket section. Docket section hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, except on Federal government holidays. Docket section personnel may be contacted via electronic mail at *prcdockets@prc.gov* or via telephone at (202) 789–6846.

Filing of documents. All filings of documents in this case shall be made using the Internet (Filing Online) pursuant to Commission rules 9(a) and 10(a) at the Commission's Web site, *http://www.prc.gov*, unless a waiver is

obtained. *See* 39 CFR 3001.9(a) and 3001.10(a). Instructions for obtaining an account to file documents online may be found on the Commission's Web site, *http://www.prc.gov,* or by contacting the Commission's docket section at *prc-dockets@prc.gov* or via telephone at (202) 789–6846.

Commission reserves the right to redact personal information which may infringe on an individual's privacy rights from documents filed in this proceeding.

Intervention. Persons, other than the Petitioners and respondents, wishing to be heard in this matter are directed to file a notice of intervention. See 39 CFR 3001.111(b). Notices of intervention in this case are to be filed on or before November 28, 2011. A notice of intervention shall be filed using the Internet (Filing Online) at the Commission's Web site, http:// www.prc.gov, unless a waiver is obtained for hardcopy filing. See 39 CFR 3001.9(a) and 3001.10(a).

Further procedures. By statute, the Commission is required to issue its decision within 120 days from the date it receives the appeal. See 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5). A procedural schedule has been developed to accommodate this statutory deadline. In the interest of expedition, in light of the 120-day decision schedule, the Commission may request the Postal Service or other participants to submit information or memoranda of law on any appropriate issue. As required by Commission rules, if any motions are filed, responses are due 7 days after any such motion is filed. See 39 CFR 3001.21.

It is ordered:

1. The Postal Service shall file the applicable administrative record regarding this appeal no later than November 14, 2011.

2. Any responsive pleading by the Postal Service to this notice is due no later than November 14, 2011.

3. The procedural schedule listed below is hereby adopted.

4. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Patricia A. Gallagher is designated officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public.

5. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this notice and order and Procedural Schedule in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.	
Shoshana M. Grove,	
Secretary.	

PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

October 27, 2011	Filing of Appeal.
November 14, 2011	
November 14, 2011	Deadline for the Postal Service to file any responsive pleading.
November 28, 2011	Deadline for notices to intervene (see 39 CFR 3001.111(b)).
December 1, 2011	Deadline for Petitioners' Form 61 or initial brief in support of petition (<i>see</i> 39 CFR 3001.115(a) and (b)).
December 21, 2011	Deadline for answering brief in support of the Postal Service (see 39 CFR 3001.115(c)).
January 5, 2011	Deadline for reply briefs in response to answering briefs (see 39 CFR 3001.115(d)).
January 12, 2011	Deadline for motions by any party requesting oral argument; the Commission will schedule oral argument only when it is a necessary addition to the written filings (<i>see</i> 39 CFR 3001.116).
February 16, 2012	Expiration of the Commission's 120-day decisional schedule (see 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5)).

[FR Doc. 2011–29002 Filed 11–8–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–65671; File No. SR– NYSEAMEX–2011–84]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Amex LLC; Notice of Filing of Rule Change Proposing a One-Year Pilot Program Adding New Rule 107C To Establish a Retail Liquidity Program To Attract Additional Retail Order Flow to the Exchange for NYSE Amex Equities Traded Securities

November 2, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on October 19, 2011, NYSE Amex LLC ("NYSE Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes a one-year pilot program that would add new NYSE Amex Equities Rule 107C to establish a Retail Liquidity Program ("Program" or "proposed rule change") to attract additional retail order flow to the Exchange for NYSE Amex Equities traded securities ³ while also providing the potential for price improvement to such order flow. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange, the Commission's Public Reference Room, and *http://www.nyse. com.*

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange is proposing a one-year pilot program that would add new NYSE Amex Equities Rule 107C to establish a Retail Liquidity Program to attract additional retail order flow to the Exchange for NYSE Amex Equities traded securities while also providing the potential for price improvement to such order flow.

Under the proposed rule change, the Exchange would create two new classes of market participants: (1) Retail Member Organizations ("RMOs"), which would be eligible to submit certain retail order flow ("Retail Orders") to the Exchange, and (2) Retail Liquidity Providers ("RLPs"), which would be required to provide potential price improvement for Retail Orders in the form of non-displayed interest that is better than the best protected bid or the best protected offer ("PBBO")⁴ ("Retail Price Improvement Order" or "RPI"). Member organizations other than RLPs would also be permitted, but not required, to submit Retail Price Improvement Orders.

The Exchange will submit a separate proposal to amend its Price List in connection with the proposed Retail Liquidity Program. Under that proposal, the Exchange would charge RLPs and other member organizations a fee for executions of their Retail Price Improvement Orders against Retail Orders and in turn would provide a credit to RMOs for executions of their Retail Orders against the Retail Price Improvement Orders of RLPs and other member organizations.

Definitions

The Exchange proposes to adopt the following definitions under proposed NYSE Amex Equities Rule 107C(a). First, the term "Retail Liquidity Provider" would be defined as a member organization that is approved by the Exchange to act as such and to submit Retail Price Improvement Orders

¹15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ "NYSE Amex Equities traded securities" refers to all securities available to be traded on NYSE

Amex Equities, including but not limited to NYSE Amex-listed securities as well as those listed on the Nasdaq Stock Market traded pursuant to unlisted trading privileges. *See* Securities Exchange Act Release 34–62479, 75 Fed. Reg. 41264 (July 15, 2010).

⁴ The terms protected bid and protected offer would have the same meaning as defined in Regulation NMS Rule 600(b)(57). The PBB is the best-priced protected bid and the PBO is the bestpriced protected offer. Generally, the PBB and PBO and the national best bid ("NBB") and national best offer ("NBO") will be the same. However, a market center is not required to route to the NBB or NBO if that market center is subject to an exception under Regulation NMS Rule 611(b)(1) or if such NBB or NBO is otherwise not available for an automatic execution. In such case, the PBB or PBO would be the best-priced protected bid or offer to which a market center must route interest pursuant to Regulation NMS Rule 611.