

the public notice of the draft permit and updated the analysis as appropriate for the final permit. A copy of EPA's economic analysis, titled, "Economic Analysis of the Pesticide General Permit (PGP) for Point Source Discharges from the Application of Pesticides" is available in the docket for this permit. The economic impact analysis indicates that the PGP will cost approximately \$10.0 million dollars annually for the 35,200 operators in the areas for which EPA is the permitting authority. Knowing that most applicators and decision-makers are small businesses, EPA conducted a small entity economic analysis. Based on available data, this permit will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The economic impact analysis is included in the administrative record for this permit.

#### V. Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Under Executive Order (EO) 12866 (58 FR 51735 (October 4, 1993)) this action is a "significant regulatory action." Accordingly, EPA submitted this action to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011) and any changes made in response to OMB recommendations have been documented in the docket for this action.

**Authority:** Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*

Dated: October 31, 2011.

**H. Curtis Spalding,**  
Regional Administrator, EPA, Region 1.

Dated: October 31, 2011.

**Ariel Iglesias,**  
Deputy Director, Division of Environmental Planning and Protection, EPA Region 2.

Dated: October 31, 2011.

**Carl-Axel P. Soderberg,**  
Division Director, Caribbean Environmental Protection Division, EPA, Region 2.

Dated: October 31, 2011.

**Jon M. Capacasa,**  
Director, Water Division, EPA Region 3.

Dated: October 31, 2011.

**Gail Mitchell,**  
Acting Director, Water Protection Division, EPA, Region 4.

Dated: October 31, 2011.

**Tinka G. Hyde,**  
Director, Water Division, EPA Region 5.

Dated: October 31, 2011.

**William K. Honker,**  
Acting Director, Water Quality Protection Division, EPA Region 6.

Dated: October 31, 2011.

**Karen A. Flournoy,**  
Acting Director, Water, Wetlands, and Pesticides Division, EPA Region 7.

Dated: October 31, 2011.

**Stephen S. Tuber,**  
Assistant Regional Administrator, Office of Partnerships and Regulatory Assistance, EPA Region 8.

Dated: October 31, 2011.

**Alexis Strauss,**  
Director, Water Division, EPA Region 9.

Dated: October 31, 2011.

**Michael A. Bussell,**  
Director, Office of Water and Watersheds, EPA Region 10.

[FR Doc. 2011-28770 Filed 11-4-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Public Information Collections Approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission has received Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the following public information collection(s) pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520). The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number, and no person is required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information about the information collection contact Leslie Haney, *Leslie.Haney@fcc.gov*, (202) 418-1002.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FCC obtained approval of this revision to the previously approved information collection to establish a voluntary electronic method of complying with the reporting that EAS participants must complete as part of their participation in the national EAS test.

*OMB Control Number:* 3060-0207.

*OMB Approval Date:* 10/14/2011.

*Effective Date:* 10/17/2011.

*OMB Expiration Date:* 04/30/2012.

*Title:* Part 11—Emergency Alert System (EAS).

*Form No.:* Not applicable.

*Estimated Annual Burden:* 82,008 hours.

*Obligation to Respond:* Voluntary. Statutory authority for this collection of information is contained in 47 U.S.C. sections 154(i) and 606.

*Nature and Extent of Confidentiality:* The Commission will treat submissions pursuant to 47 CFR 11.61(a)(3) as confidential.

*Needs and Uses:* On March 10, 2010, OMB authorized the collection of information set forth in the Second FNPRM in EB Docket No. 04-296, FCC 09-10. Specifically, OMB authorized the Commission to require entities required to participate in EAS (EAS Participants) to gather and submit the following \*52663 information on the operation of their EAS equipment during a national test of the EAS: (1) Whether they received the alert message during the designated test; (2) whether they retransmitted the alert; and (3) if they were not able to receive and/or transmit the alert, their 'best effort' diagnostic analysis regarding the cause or causes for such failure. OMB also authorized the Commission to require EAS Participants to provide it with the date/time of receipt of the EAN message by all stations; and the date/time of receipt of the EAT message by all stations; a description of their station identification and level of designation (PEP, LP-1, etc.); who they were monitoring at the time of the test, and the make and model number of the EAS equipment that they utilized.

In the Third Report and Order in EB Docket No. 04-296, FCC 09-10, the Commission adopted the foregoing rule requirements. In addition, the Commission decided that test data will be presumed confidential and disclosure of test data will be limited to FEMA, NWS and EOP at the Federal level. At the State level, test data will be made available only to State government emergency management agencies that have confidential treatment protections at least equal to FOIA. The process by which these agencies would receive test data will comport with those used to provide access to the Commission's NORS and DIRS data. We seek comment on this revision of the approved collection.

In the Third Report and Order, the Commission also indicated that it would establish a voluntary electronic reporting system that EAS test participants may use as part of their participation in the national EAS test. The Commission noted that using this system, EAS test participants could input the same information that they were already required to file manually via a web-based interface into a confidential database that the Commission would use to monitor and assess the test. This information would include identifying information such as station call letters, license identification number, geographic coordinates, EAS assignment (LP, NP, etc), EAS monitoring assignment, as well as a 24/7 emergency contact for the EAS Participant. The only difference, other

than the electronic nature of the filing, would the timing of the collection. On the day of the test, EAS Test participants would be able to input immediate test results, (e.g., was the EAN received and did it pass) into a web-based interface. Test participants would submit the identifying data prior to the test date, and the remaining data called for by our reporting rules (e.g. the detailed test results) within the 45 day period. The Commission believes that structuring an electronic reporting system in this fashion would allow the participants to populate the database with known information well prior to the test, and thus be able to provide the Commission with actual test data, both close to real-time and within a reasonable period in a minimally burdensome fashion.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene H. Dortch,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-28681 Filed 11-4-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission (FCC), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it

displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number.

**DATES:** Written PRA comments should be submitted on or before January 6, 2012. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all PRA comments to the Federal Communications Commission via email to [PRA@fcc.gov](mailto:PRA@fcc.gov) and [Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov](mailto:Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information about the information collection, contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418-2918.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*OMB Control Number:* 3060-0573.

*Title:* Application for Franchise Authority Consent to Assignment or Transfer of Control of Cable Television Franchise, FCC Form 394.

*Form Number:* FCC Form 394.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business of other for-profit entities; State, Local or Tribal Government.

*Number of Respondents and Responses:* 2,000 respondents; 1,000 responses.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 1-5 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* Third Party Disclosure Requirements.

*Total Annual Burden:* 7,000 hours.

*Total Annual Costs:* \$750,000.

*Privacy Impact Assessment(s):* No impact(s).

*Needs and Uses:* FCC Form 394 is a standardized form that is completed by cable operators in connection with the assignment and transfer of control of cable television systems. On July 23, 1993, the Commission released a Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in MM Docket No. 92-264, FCC 93-332, Implementation of Sections 11 and 13 of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, Horizontal and Vertical Ownership Limits, Cross-Ownership Limitations and Anti-Trafficking Provisions. Among other things, this Report and Order established procedures for use of the FCC Form 394.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene H. Dortch,**

Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Office of Managing Director.

[FR Doc. 2011-28744 Filed 11-4-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Information Collection Approved by the Office of Management and Budget

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has received Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the following public information collections pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520). An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number, and no person is required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. Comments concerning the accuracy of the burden estimates and any suggestions for reducing the burden should be directed to the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section below.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gregory Hlibok, Disability Rights Office, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, at (202) 559-5158 (voice and videophone), or email: [Gregory.Hlibok@fcc.gov](mailto:Gregory.Hlibok@fcc.gov) or [mailto:Gregory.Hlibok@fcc.gov](mailto:mailto:Gregory.Hlibok@fcc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*OMB Control No.:* 3060-1150.

*OMB Approval Date:* 10/20/2011.

*Expiration Date:* 10/31/2014.

*Title:* Structure and Practices of the Video Relay Service Program, Second Report and Order, CG Docket No. 10-51.

*Form No.:* N/A.

*Estimated Annual Burden:* 11 respondents; 54 responses; .5 hours to 50 hours per response; 900 burden hours per year; \$0 annual cost burden.

*Obligation to Respond:* Required to obtain or retain benefits. The statutory authority for this information collection is found at sections 225. The law was enacted on July 26, 1990, as Title IV of the Americans with Disabilities Act, Public Law 101-336, 104 Stat. 327, 366-60.

*Nature and Extent of Confidentiality:* An assurance of confidentiality is not offered because this information collection does not require the collection of personally identifiable information (PII) from individuals.