

The following individuals will serve as members of the NRC PRB Panel that was established to review appraisals and make recommendations to the appointing and awarding authorities for NRC PRB members:

Marvin L. Itzkowitz, Associate General Counsel for Hearings, Enforcement, and Administration, Office of the General Counsel.

Michael R. Johnson, Director, Office of New Reactors.

Mark A. Satorius, Director, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs.

All appointments are made pursuant to Section 4314 of Chapter 43 of Title 5 of the United States Code.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 26, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Secretary, Executive Resources Board, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, (301) 492-2076.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 18th day of October, 2011.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Miriam L. Cohen,

Secretary, Executive Resources Board.

[FR Doc. 2011-27688 Filed 10-25-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-261; NRC-2011-0247]

Carolina Power & Light Company, H.B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) 50.46, "Acceptance criteria for emergency core cooling systems for light-water nuclear power reactors," and 10 CFR part 50, appendix k, "ECCS [Emergency Core Cooling System] Evaluation Models," to allow for the use of M5 alloy fuel rod cladding for Facility Operating License No. DPR-23, issued to Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee), for operation of the H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2 (HBRSEP), located in Darlington County, South Carolina. In accordance with 10 CFR 51.21, "Criteria for and identification of licensing and regulatory actions requiring environmental assessments," the NRC staff prepared an environmental assessment documenting its finding. The NRC staff concluded that the proposed action will have no significant environmental impact.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would exempt the licensee from certain requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 and appendix K to 10 CFR part 50. Specifically, 10 CFR 50.46, paragraph (a)(1)(i) provides requirements for reactors containing uranium oxide fuel pellets clad in either zircaloy or ZIRLO. Additionally, appendix K to 10 CFR part 50 specifies the use of zircaloy or ZIRLO fuel cladding when doing calculations for energy release, cladding oxidation, and hydrogen generation after a postulated loss-of-coolant accident. Therefore, both of these regulations either state that either zircaloy or ZIRLO is used as the fuel rod cladding material. The proposed exemption would allow the licensee use of M5 cladding fuel assemblies into the core of HBRSEP, Unit 2. The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated October 19, 2010.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed exemption is needed to allow the licensee to allow for the use of M5 alloy fuel rod cladding at HBRSEP, Unit No. 2. The licensee has requested an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46 and 10 CFR part 50, appendix K to allow for loading of M5 cladding fuel assemblies, in lieu of zircaloy or ZIRLO, into the core during Refueling Outage 27 that is currently scheduled to begin on October 29, 2011.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that there are no environmental impacts associated with the proposed exemption. The details of the NRC staff's safety evaluation will be provided in the exemption that, if approved by the NRC, will be issued as part of the letter to the licensee approving the exemption to the regulation.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents. No changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released offsite. There is no significant increase in the amount of any effluent released offsite. There is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not result in changes to land use or water use, or result in changes to

the quality or quantity of nonradiological effluents. No changes to the National Pollution Discharge Elimination system permit are needed. No effects on the aquatic or terrestrial habitat in the vicinity of the plant, or to threatened, endangered, or protected species under the Endangered Species Act, or impacts to essential fish habitat covered by the Magnuson-Stevens Act are expected. There are no impacts to the air or ambient air quality. There are no impacts to historical and cultural resources. In addition, there are also no known socioeconomic or environmental justice impacts associated with such proposed action. Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the "no action" alternative). Denial of the exemption request would result in no change in current environmental impacts. If the proposed action was denied, the licensee would have to comply with the ECCS rules in 10 CFR 50.46 and appendix K to 10 CFR part 50 regarding use of M5 cladding into the HBRSEP, Unit 2 core during the upcoming refueling outage. This would cause unnecessary burden on the licensee, without a significant benefit in environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed exemption and the "no action" alternative are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resources than those considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the HBRSEP, dated April 1975, as supplemented through the "Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants: H.B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2—Final Report (NUREG-1437, Supplement 13)."

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on October 17, 2011, the NRC staff consulted with the South Carolina State official, Mark Yeager of the South Carolina Bureau of Land and Waste Management, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, "Finding of No Significant Impact," and on the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated October 19, 2010 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), Accession No. ML102980142). This document may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records are accessible electronically through ADAMS in the NRC Library on the internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>.

Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or send an e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of October 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Farideh E. Saba,

Senior Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch II-2, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2011-27691 Filed 10-25-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2009-0435]

Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for the Proposed License Renewal for Nuclear Fuel Services, Inc. in Erwin, TN

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing a final environmental assessment (EA) regarding the proposed renewal of NRC special nuclear material license SNM-

124 (License SNM-124), which authorizes operations at the Nuclear Fuel Services, Inc. (NFS) fuel fabrication facility in Erwin, Tennessee. On June 30, 2009, NFS submitted to the NRC an application requesting that License SNM-124 be renewed for a 40-year period. The EA makes a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) regarding the proposed action.

ADDRESSES: You can access publicly available documents related to this document using the following methods:

- *NRC's Public Document Room (PDR):* The public may examine and have copied, for a fee, publicly available documents related to the NFS facility and license renewal at the NRC's PDR, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland 20852. Members of the public can contact the NRC's PDR reference staff by calling 1-800-397-4209, by faxing a request to 301-415-3548, or by e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. Hard copies of the documents are available from the PDR for a fee.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC are available online in the NRC Library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this page, the public can gain entry into ADAMS, which provides text and image files of the NRC's public documents. From this Web site, the following documents related to the NRC's environmental review can be obtained by entering the accession numbers provided:

The NFS license renewal application (ADAMS Accession Number: ML091880040) and the accompanying environmental report (ADAMS Accession Number: ML091900072);

The NRC request for additional information (ADAMS Accession Number: ML100680426);

The NFS response providing additional information (ADAMS Accession Number: ML101590160); and

The NRC Final EA (ADAMS Accession Number: ML112560265).

Additionally, copies of the EA will be available at the following public libraries:

Unicoi County Public Library, 201 Nolicucky Avenue, Erwin, Tennessee 37650-1239. 423-743-6533.

Jonesborough Branch, Washington County Library, 200 Sabin Drive, Jonesborough, Tennessee 37659-1306. 423-753-1800.

Greeneville/Green County Public Library, 210 North Main Street, Greeneville, Tennessee 37745-3816. 423-638-5034.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about the EA or the environmental review process, please contact James Park, *telephone:* 301-415-6935; *e-mail:* James.Park@nrc.gov. For general or technical information associated with the ongoing safety review of the NFS license renewal application, please contact Kevin Ramsey, *telephone:* 301-492-3123; *e-mail:* Kevin.Ramsey@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 30, 2009, NFS submitted its license renewal application and accompanying environmental report (ER) to the NRC. On October 6, 2009, the NRC provided notice in the **Federal Register** (74 FR 51323) of its receipt of the license renewal application and also noticed an opportunity to request a hearing on the application. No requests for a hearing were received. Under the conditions of License SNM-124, NFS operates a nuclear fuel fabrication facility located in Erwin, Tennessee. If granted as requested, the renewed license would allow NFS to continue operations and activities at the site for a 40-year period that would begin with issuance of the renewed license.

The NRC staff's environmental review of the proposed 40-year license renewal is documented in the EA, in accordance with NRC regulations at Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) part 51, which implement the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA). The EA also follows NRC staff guidance in NUREG-1748, "Environmental Review Guidance for Licensing Actions Associated with NMSS Programs." The EA identifies and evaluates the potential environmental impacts of the proposed action, and reasonable alternatives. The NRC staff has determined that renewal of License SNM-124 for a 40-year period would not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and the EA thus makes a FONSI. The NRC staff further finds that preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) for the proposed action is not warranted.

The NRC staff published for public comment a draft EA for the proposed action on October 15, 2010 (75 FR 63519). The NRC staff accepted comments on the draft EA until December 31, 2010, and hosted a meeting in Erwin, Tennessee on October 26, 2010, to accept oral and written public comments. Comments were identified from the transcript of statements made at the public meeting, and from letters and e-mails submitted by members of the public. Appendix B of the Final EA includes summaries of