

Compatibility determinations for beach use, bicycling, commercial guiding for wildlife-wildland observation, environmental education and interpretation, recreational fishing, hiking, public hunting, mosquito control, scientific research, timber harvest, salvage timber harvest and sale, utility rights-of-way, and wildlife observation and photography are available in the CCP.

Background

The CCP Process

The National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 668dd–668ee) (Administration Act), as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, requires us to develop a CCP for each national wildlife refuge. The purpose for developing a CCP is to provide refuge managers with a 15-year plan for achieving refuge purposes and contributing toward the mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System, consistent with sound principles of fish and wildlife management, conservation, legal mandates, and our policies. In addition to outlining broad management direction on conserving wildlife and their habitats, CCPs identify wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities available to the public, including opportunities for hunting, fishing, wildlife observation, wildlife photography, and environmental education and interpretation. We will review and update the CCP at least every 15 years in accordance with the Administration Act.

Comments

We made copies of the Draft CCP/EA available for a 30-day public review and comment period via a **Federal Register** notice on September 15, 2010 (75 FR 56133). We received comments from State and Federal government agencies, local government agencies, non-governmental organizations, and local citizens.

Selected Alternative

We developed three alternatives for managing the Complex. After considering the comments we received and based on the professional judgment of the planning team, we selected Alternative B for implementation. The primary focus under Alternative B will be to increase management. This alternative best signifies the vision, goals, and purposes of the Complex. We will emphasize restoring and improving resources needed for wildlife and habitat management and providing enhanced appropriate and compatible

wildlife-dependent public use opportunities, while addressing key issues and individual mandates.

We will focus on augmenting wildlife and habitat management to identify, conserve, and restore populations of native fish and wildlife species, with an emphasis on migratory birds and threatened and endangered species. This will partially be accomplished by increased monitoring of waterfowl, other migratory and resident birds, and endemic species in order to assess and adapt management strategies and actions. We will address information gaps by the initiation of baseline surveys and periodic monitoring.

Habitat management programs for impoundments, beaches, wetlands, open waters, forested habitats, scrub/shrub habitats, grasslands, and open lands will be re-evaluated and step-down management plans will be developed to meet the foraging, resting, and breeding requirements of priority species. Additionally, monitoring and adaptive habitat management will be implemented to potentially counteract the impacts associated with long-term climate change and sea level rise.

We will more aggressively manage invasive and exotic plant species by implementing a management plan, completing a baseline inventory, supporting research, and controlling by strategic mechanical and chemical means. Additionally, we will utilize this management plan to enhance our efforts to control/remove invasive, exotic, and/or nuisance animals on the refuges.

Alternative B enhances each refuge's visitor service opportunities (except for Tybee NWR, which will remain closed to the public) by: (1) Improving the quality of fishing opportunities; (2) streamlining the quota hunt process and where possible evaluating the options of allowing the use of crossbows and creating additional hunting opportunities; and (3) maintaining and where possible expanding environmental education opportunities. Volunteer programs and a friends group will be expanded to enhance all aspects of management and to increase resource availability. The Complex is also evaluating the possibility of utilizing a concessionaire at Pinckney Island NWR, to implement a tram tour of the refuge that will provide access in a controlled manner and allow participation of patrons with mobility issues.

Under this alternative, the priority of land acquisition at Harris Neck NWR will be to acquire lands that provide resource and public use values. This will be accomplished by acquiring lands from willing sellers by fee title purchase, donation, mitigation purchase

and transfer, or other viable means. This will include an investigation into expanding the current acquisition boundary. At Savannah NWR, focus will be increased on acquiring lands that provide resource and public use values. This, too, will be accomplished by acquiring lands from willing sellers.

Law enforcement activities to protect archaeological and historical sites and to provide visitor safety will be intensified. The allocation of an additional law enforcement officer for the Complex will provide security for cultural resources, but will also ensure visitor safety and public compliance with refuge regulations.

Administration plans will stress the need for increased maintenance of existing infrastructure and construction of new facilities. Funding for new construction projects will be balanced between habitat management and public use needs. Additional staff will be required to accomplish the goals of this alternative. Personnel priorities will include employing an environmental education coordinator, law enforcement officers/park rangers, a volunteer coordinator, biological technicians, maintenance workers, refuge managers, assistant refuge managers, and a geographic information systems specialist. The increased Complex budget and staffing levels will better enable us to meet the obligations of wildlife stewardship, habitat management, and public use.

Authority

This notice is published under the authority of the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, Public Law 105–57.

Dated: April 5, 2011.

Mark J. Musaus,
Acting Regional Director.

Editorial Note: This document was received in the Office of the Federal Register on Monday, October 4, 2011.

[FR Doc. 2011–25981 Filed 10–6–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Manzanita Band of Kumeyaay Indians Fee-to-Trust Transfer and Casino Project, Calexico, CA

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: This notice advises the public that the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA)

as lead agency, with the Manzanita Band of Kumeyaay Indians (a.k.a. Manzanita Band of Digueno Mission Indians) (Tribe), National Indian Gaming Commission (NIGC), and City of Calexico as cooperating agencies, intends to file a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the Tribe's proposed 60.8-acre fee-to-trust transfer and casino project located in the City of Calexico, California, and

that the FEIS is now available for public review. Public review of the FEIS is part of the administrative process for the evaluation of Tribal applications seeking to have the United States take land into trust for gaming.

DATES: The Record of Decision (ROD) on the proposed action will be issued no sooner than 30 days after the release of the FEIS. Thus, any comments on the FEIS must arrive by November 7, 2011.

ADDRESSES: You may mail or hand carry written comments to Amy Dutschke, Regional Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Pacific Regional Office, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825. Please see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this notice for directions on submitting comments and how to obtain a copy of the FEIS. The FEIS will be available to view at the following locations:

Location	Address	Contact number for general information
Holtville Branch—Meyer Memorial Library	101 E. 6th Street, Holtville, CA 92250	(760) 356-2385
City of Calexico—Camarena Memorial Library	850 Encinas Avenue, Calexico, CA 92231	(760) 768-2170

An electronic version of the FEIS can also be viewed at: <http://www.calexico.ca.gov> (planning division site).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Rydzik, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Pacific Regional Office, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825, *telephone number:* (916) 978-6051.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Public review of the FEIS is part of the administrative process for the evaluation of Tribal applications seeking to have the United States take land into trust for gaming pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 465, 25 CFR 151, 29 CFR 292 and 25 U.S.C. 2719(b)(1)(B). Pursuant to Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) regulations (40 CFR 1506.10), the publication of this Notice of Availability (NOA) in the **Federal Register** initiates a 30-day waiting period for the Federal decision.

Background

The Tribe proposes that 60.8 acres of land be taken into trust; it subsequently plans to develop a casino facility on this land. The subject property is located at the northern most gateway to the City of Calexico, a California/Mexico border city of growing importance in international trade. The project site is situated at the southwest quadrant of State Highway 111 and Jasper Road and is bounded on the south and west by the Central Main and Dogwood Canals. The 60.8-acre parcel is undeveloped former agricultural land and is located within the City of Calexico's 111 Calexico Place project site, a commercial highway development project that was approved by the City of Calexico City Council on May 5, 2010.

The proposed action consists of the fee-to-trust transfer of the project site, Federal review (by NIGC) of the

development and management contract, and development of the proposed project. The proposed project includes a 459,621-square foot casino facility on the 60.8-acre parcel. The casino facility would include an approximately 93,880-square foot casino; 63,000 square feet of food/beverage and retail components; 38,660-square foot entertainment venue; and 218,081 square feet of other operational facilities (e.g., back of house area, central plant). In addition, there will be a 46,000-square foot banquet/meeting hall and 200-room hotel. The casino will have 2,000 slot machines and 45 gaming tables. There will be three guest restaurants and one employee dining room. A swimming pool and 6,000-guest space parking facility will also be developed within the project area.

Project alternatives considered in the FEIS include: (1) Alternative A—Proposed Action; (2) Alternative B—Reduced Casino; (3) Alternative C—No Action Alternative. Alternative A—Proposed Action has been selected as the Preferred Alternative, as discussed in the FEIS. The alternatives are intended to assist the review of the issues presented, but the Preferred Alternative does not necessarily reflect what the final decision will be, because a complete evaluation of the criteria listed 25 CFR part 151 may lead to a final decision that selects an alternative other than the Preferred Alternative, including no action, or that selects a variant of the Preferred or another of the alternatives analyzed in the FEIS.

Environmental issues addressed in the FEIS include land resources; water resources; air quality; biological resources; cultural and paleontological resources; socioeconomic conditions; transportation; land use and agriculture; public services; noise; hazardous materials; visual resources;

environmental justice; growth inducing effects; indirect effects; cumulative effects; and mitigation measures.

The BIA has afforded other government agencies and the public extensive opportunity to participate in the preparation of this EIS. The BIA published a notice of intent to prepare the EIS for the proposed action in the **Federal Register** on March 6, 2008 (73 FR 12203). The BIA held a public scoping meeting on March 27, 2008, at the County of Imperial's Board of Supervisors Chamber Room in El Centro, California. An NOA for the Draft EIS (DEIS) was published in the **Federal Register** on October 8, 2010 (75 FR 62417). The DEIS was available for public comment from October 8, 2010 to December 22, 2010. The BIA held a public hearing on the DEIS on November 10, 2010, in the City of Calexico, California.

Directions for Submitting Comments

Please include your name, return address and the caption, "FEIS Comments, Manzanita Band of Kumeyaay Indians, 60.8-Acre Fee-to-Trust Casino Project, Calexico, California" on the first page of your written comments. Comments, including names and addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the BIA mailing address shown in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice, during regular business hours, 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. Before including your address, telephone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying

information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Directions To Obtain a Copy of FEIS

To obtain a compact disk copy of the FEIS, please provide your name and address in writing or by voicemail to John Ryzdik, Chief of the Division of Environmental, Cultural Resources Management and safety, at the address listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice. Individual paper copies of the FEIS will be provided upon payment of applicable printing expenses by the requestor for the number of copies requested.

Authority

This notice is published pursuant to Sec. 1503.1 of the Council of Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR parts 1500 through 1508) and Sec. 46.305 of the Department of the Interior Regulations (43 CFR part 46), implementing the procedural requirements of the NEPA of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4371, *et seq.*), and is in the exercise of authority delegated to the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs by 209 DM 8.

Dated: September 22, 2011.

Larry Echo Hawk,

Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2011-25751 Filed 10-6-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-W7-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[NPS-WASO-NRNL-0911-8495; 2200-3200-665]

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before September 17, 2011. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60, written comments are being accepted concerning the significance of the nominated properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation. Comments may be forwarded by United States Postal Service, to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St., NW., MS 2280, Washington, DC 20240; by all other carriers, National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1201 Eye St., NW., 8th floor, Washington, DC 20005; or by fax, 202-371-6447. Written or faxed comments should be submitted by October 24, 2011. Before including

your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

J. Paul Loether,

*Chief, National Register of Historic Places/
National Historic Landmarks Program.*

ARIZONA

Pima County

Marist College Historic District, 72 W. Ochoa St., Tucson, 11000760

LOUISIANA

East Baton Rouge Parish

Virginia Street Historic District, 4512-4642 Virginia & 4338 Florida Sts., Zachary, 11000761

MISSOURI

Jackson County

Milo Apartments, (Working-Class and Middle-Income Apartment Buildings in Kansas City, Missouri MPS), 1014-1020 W. 44th St., Kansas City, 11000763

Pendergast, Thomas J., Headquarters, 1908 Main St., Kansas City, 11000764

Washington County

Washington County Courthouse, 102 N. Missouri St., Potosi, 11000765

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Belknap County

Federal Building, 719 Main St., Laconia, 11000766

NORTH CAROLINA

Beaufort County

North Market Street Historic District, Roughly bounded by 15th, Nicholson & 6th Sts. & Summit Ave., Washington, 11000767

NORTH DAKOTA

Barnes County

Green Consolidated School, 39 R St., SE., Valley City, 11000768

OREGON

Linn County

Andrus, Jerry, House, 1638 1st Ave E., Albany, 11000769

Multnomah County

Portland Public Service Building, 1120 SW. 5th Ave., Portland, 11000770

Springdale School, 32405 E. Historic Columbia R. Hwy., Corbett, 11000771

WYOMING

Johnson County

Blue Gables Motel, (Motor Courts and Motels in Wyoming MPS), 662 N. Main St., Buffalo, 11000772

In the interest of preservation, the comment period for the following resource has been shortened to three (3) days:

MISSISSIPPI

Harrison County

Gulfport Harbor Square Commercial Historic District, Roughly between 23rd & 28th Aves., 13th St. & 25th Ave. to jct. with 17th St., Gulfport, 11000762

Request for REMOVAL has been received for the following resource:

MISSISSIPPI

Harrison County

Harbor Square Historic District, Roughly bounded by L & N Railroad, 23rd Ave., 13th St. and 27th Ave., Gulfport, 85001788

[FR Doc. 2011-25968 Filed 10-6-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-51-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Final Environmental Impact Report/ Environmental Impact Statement for Upper Truckee River Restoration and Golf Course Reconfiguration Project

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement for the Upper Truckee River Restoration and Golf Course Reconfiguration Project is available for public review and comment. The Bureau of Reclamation, the California Department of Parks and Recreation, and the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency have evaluated comments and are recommending a preferred alternative for approval. The preferred alternative includes river ecosystem restoration with a reconfigured 18-hole golf course.

DATES: Reclamation will complete a Record of Decision at least 30 days from publication of the final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement.

ADDRESSES: The final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement is accessible at the following Web sites:

- <http://www.restoreuppertruckee.net/index.htm>.
- http://www.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=981 (click on El Dorado County).