

Care Act. Due to the complexity of the issue, the Committee requested an additional meeting for a final review of the key decisions and draft report prior to its submission to the Secretary by October 31, 2011. The logistical challenges of scheduling an additional meeting after it was requested in August 2011 hindered an earlier publication of this meeting notice.

Dated: September 27, 2011.

**Reva Harris,**

*Acting Director, Division of Policy and Information Coordination.*

[FR Doc. 2011-25465 Filed 10-3-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4165-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Federal Emergency Management Agency

#### 44 CFR Part 67

[Docket ID FEMA-2011-0002; Internal Agency Docket No. FEMA-B-1213]

#### Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations

##### Correction

In proposed rule document 2011-21709 appearing on pages 53082-53086 in the issue of August 25, 2011, make the following correction:

#### PART 67—[CORRECTED]

1. On page 53084, in § 67.4, in the table for “Smith County, Texas and Incorporated Areas”, in the first column, in the second entry “Tributary BF-1” should read “Black Fork Creek Tributary BF-1”.

2. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the third entry “Tributary BF-M-1” should read “Black Fork Creek Tributary BF-M-1”.

3. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the fourth entry “Tributary D” should read “Black Fork Creek Tributary D”.

4. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the fifth entry “Tributary D-1” should read “Black Fork Creek Tributary D-1”.

5. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the sixth entry “Tributary D-2” should read “Black Fork Creek Tributary D-2”.

6. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the seventh entry “Tributary

D-3” should read “Black Fork Creek Tributary D-3”.

7. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the eighth entry “Tributary D-4” should read “Black Fork Creek Tributary D-4”.

8. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the ninth entry “Tributary D-5” should read “Black Fork Creek Tributary D-5”.

9. On page 53085, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the second entry “Tributary G-1” should read “Gilley Creek Tributary G-1”.

10. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the ninth entry “Tributary 11” should read “West Mud Creek Tributary 11”.

11. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the tenth entry “Tributary B” should read “West Mud Creek Tributary B”.

12. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the eleventh entry “Tributary M-1” should read “West Mud Creek Tributary M-1”.

13. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the twelfth entry “Tributary M-2” should read “West Mud Creek Tributary M-2”.

14. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the thirteenth entry “Tributary M-A” should read “West Mud Creek Tributary M-A”.

15. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the fourteenth entry “Tributary M-A.1” should read “West Mud Creek Tributary M-A.1”.

16. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the fifteenth entry “Tributary M-A.2” should read “West Mud Creek Tributary M-A.2”.

17. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the sixteenth entry “Tributary M-C” should read “West Mud Creek Tributary M-C”.

18. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the seventeenth entry “Tributary M-C.1” should read “West Mud Creek Tributary M-C.1”.

19. On the same page, in the same section, in the same table, in the same column, in the eighteenth entry “Tributary M-C.2” should read “West Mud Creek Tributary M-C.2”.

[FR Doc. C1-2011-21709 Filed 10-3-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 1505-01-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 1

[WT Docket No. 08-61, WT Docket No. 03-187; DA 11-1608]

#### Programmatic Environmental Assessment

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** This document grants a motion requesting an extension of time to file comments in response to a draft programmatic environmental assessment (PEA) of the Antenna Structure Registration (ASR) program. The purpose of the PEA is to evaluate the potential environmental effects of the Commission’s ASR program. Owners of structures that are taller than 200 feet above ground level or that may interfere with the flight path of a nearby airport must register those structures with the FCC.

**DATES:** Comments on the proposed rule published at 76 FR 54422, September 1, 2011, are now due on or before November 2, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by WT Docket No. 08-61; WT Docket No. 03-187, by any of the following methods:

- *Electronic Filers:* Comments may be filed electronically using the Internet by accessing the Commission’s Electronic Comment Filing System (“ECFS”): <http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/>, through a link on the PEA Web site: <http://www.fcc.gov/pea>, or via the Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>.

- *Paper Filers:* Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and four copies of each filing. If more than one docket or rulemaking number appears in the caption of this proceeding, filers must submit two additional copies for each additional docket or rulemaking number.

Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail. All filings must be addressed to the Commission’s Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

- All hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission’s Secretary must be delivered to FCC Headquarters at 445 12th St., SW., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. All hand deliveries must be held together with

rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes must be disposed of *before* entering the building. The filing hours are 8 a.m. to 7 p.m.

- Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9300 East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, MD 20743.

- U.S. Postal Service first-class, Express, and Priority mail must be addressed to 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Aaron Goldschmidt, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, (202) 418-7146, or e-mail [Aaron.Goldschmidt@fcc.gov](mailto:Aaron.Goldschmidt@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FCC has established a Web site, <http://www.fcc.gov/pea>, which contains information and downloadable documents relating to the PEA process, including the Draft PEA. The Web site also allows individuals to contact the Commission. See original published document (proposed rule published at 76 FR 54422, September 1, 2011).

Federal Communications Commission.

**Matthew Nodine,**

*Chief of Staff, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 2011-25576 Filed 9-30-11; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Defense Acquisition Regulations System

#### 48 CFR Parts 215, 225, and 252

RIN 0750-AH42

#### Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement: Contracting With the Canadian Commercial Corporation (DFARS Case 2011-D049)

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** DoD is proposing to amend the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to clarify the requirements for the Canadian Commercial Corporation to submit data other than certified cost or pricing data.

**DATES:** *Comment Date:* Comments on the proposed rule should be submitted in writing to the address shown below on or before December 5, 2011, to be considered in the formation of a final rule.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments identified by DFARS Case 2011-D049, using any of the following methods:

- *Regulations.gov:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by entering “DFARS Case 2011-D049” under the heading “Enter keyword or ID” and selecting “Search.” Select the link “Submit a Comment” that corresponds with “DFARS Case 2011-D049.” Follow the instructions provided at the “Submit a Comment” screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and “DFARS Case 2011-D049” on your attached document.

- *E-mail:* [dfars@osd.mil](mailto:dfars@osd.mil). Include DFARS Case 2011-D049 in the subject line of the message.

- *Fax:* 703-602-0350.

- *Mail:* Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Attn: Amy G. Williams, OUSD (AT&L) DPAP/DARS, Room 3B855, 3060 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3060.

Comments received generally will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check <http://www.regulations.gov>, approximately two to three days after submission to verify posting (except allow 30 days for posting of comments submitted by mail).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Amy G. Williams, telephone 703-602-0328.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### I. Background

This proposed rule implements a recommendation of a bilateral integrated product team on cost or pricing data, including representatives from the U.S. Government and Canada.

With some exceptions, as provided at DFARS 225.870-1(c), the Canadian Commercial Corporation awards and administers DoD contracts with contractors located in Canada.

DoD has waived the requirement for the Canadian Commercial Corporation and its subcontractors to submit certified cost or pricing data (see DFARS 215.403-1(c)(4)(C)). However, the requirement to submit data other than certified cost or pricing data has not been waived for the Canadian Commercial Corporation and its subcontractors. The purpose of this rule is to clarify the requirement to submit data other than certified cost or pricing data.

#### II. Discussion and Analysis

Effective on October 1, 2010, the definitions in the Federal Acquisition

Regulation (FAR) relating to cost or pricing data were revised (76 FR 53135, published August 30, 2010). The final rule under FAR Case 2005-036, FAC 2005-45, redefined “cost or pricing data” to mean all cost or pricing data and added a new term for “certified cost or pricing data.” Previously, the term “cost or pricing data” had been defined to mean only what is now defined as “certified cost or pricing data.” Throughout the FAR, the term “cost or pricing data” was generally replaced with the new term “certified cost or pricing data.” The same final rule also replaced the term “information other than cost or pricing data” with the new term “data other than certified cost or pricing data.” The new definition of these terms in the FAR is significant because the conforming changes to the DFARS, currently being processed under DFARS Case 2011-D040, Definition of Cost or Pricing Data, are not yet implemented. Therefore, this rule includes conforming changes to DFARS 215.4, in order to ensure that it is clear that only submission of certified cost or pricing data has been waived for the Canadian Commercial Corporation and its subcontractors.

FAR 15.402 and FAR 15.403-3 address requiring data other than certified cost or pricing data. FAR 15.402 emphasizes obtaining no more data than is necessary to establish a fair and reasonable price. Generally, no additional data is required from the offeror if the price is based on adequate price competition. FAR 15.402(a)(2)(ii)(A) and FAR 15.403-3(a)(1)(iv) both address the exceptions to obtaining data related to prices, i.e., FAR 15.403-1(b)(1) (prices based on adequate price competition) or FAR 15.403-1(b)(2) (prices set by law or regulation). None of these exceptions provides a general exception to the requirement to obtain data other than certified cost or pricing data based on a waiver of the requirement to provide certified cost or pricing data. In fact, FAR 15.403-3(a)(1)(ii) clearly states that in those acquisition that do not require certified cost or pricing data (e.g., when a waiver has been granted), the contracting officer shall obtain data other than certified cost or pricing data from the offeror to the extent necessary to determine a fair and reasonable price if the contracting officer determines that adequate data from sources other than the offeror are not available. FAR 15.403-3(a)(1)(v) recommends consideration of the guidance in section 3.3, chapter 3, volume I, of the Contract Pricing Reference Guide, available at <http://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/cpf/>