Project Objectives

- Manage natural and cultural resources to support their protection, restoration, and preservation.
- Manage wilderness and potential wilderness areas to preserve the character and qualities for which they were designated.
- Provide opportunities for visitor use and enjoyment of park resources.

The draft environmental impact statement considers four alternatives.

Alternative A. No New Special-Use Permit—Conversion to Wilderness (No Action). This alternative considers the expiration of existing RUO and SUP, and subsequent conversion of the area to Wilderness consistent with Public Law 94-567. The existing SUP and RUO expire on November 30, 2012. The Secretary would not exercise the discretion granted to him under Section 124 of Public Law 111-88 to issue a new 10-year SUP. Upon removal of the nonconforming structures and uses from Drakes Estero, NPS would convert the area from potential wilderness to wilderness.

Alternative B. Issue New Special-Use Permit—Existing Onshore Facilities and Infrastructure and Offshore Operations Would Be Allowed for a Period of 10 Years. This alternative considers a level of use consistent with conditions that were present in fall 2010 when NPS initiated evaluation under the environmental impact statement. The existing SUP and RUO expire on November 30, 2012. The Secretary would exercise the discretion granted to him under Section 124 to issue to DBOC a new 10-year SUP, expiring November 30, 2022.

Alternative C. Issue new special-use permit—onshore facilities and infrastructure and offshore operations present in 2008 would be allowed for a period of 10 years. This alternative considers a level of use that is consistent with the conditions and operations that existed when the current SUP was signed in April 2008. The existing SUP and RUO expire on November 30, 2012. The Secretary would exercise the discretion granted to him under Section 124 to issue to DBOC a new 10-year SUP, expiring November 30, 2022.

Alternative D. Issue New Special-Use Permit—Expanded Onshore
Development and Offshore Operations
Would Be Allowed for a Period of 10
Years. This alternative considers
expansion of operations and
development of new infrastructure
consistent with the permittee's requests
submitted for consideration as part of
this EIS process. The existing SUP and

RUO would expire on November 30, 2012. The Secretary would exercise the discretion granted to him under Section 124 to issue a new 10-year special use permit to DBOC, expiring November 30, 2022.

George J. Turnbull,

Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.
[FR Doc. 2011–24658 Filed 9–23–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4312–FW–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

Notice of Proposed Information Collection

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing that the information collection request for the Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund—Fee Collection and Coal Production Reporting and the form OSM–1 has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The information collection request describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost.

DATES: OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove the information collections but may respond after 30 days. Therefore, public comments should be submitted to OMB by October 26, 2011, in order to be assured of consideration.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To receive a copy of the information collection request contact John Trelease at (202) 208–2783, or electronically at *jtrelease@osmre.gov*. You may also review this collection by going to http://www.reginfo.gov (Information Collection Review, Currently Under Review, Agency is Department of the Interior, DOI–OSMRE).

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Department of the Interior Desk Officer, by telefax at (202) 395–5806 or via e-mail to OIRADocket@omb.eop.gov. Also, please send a copy of your comments to John Trelease, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 1951 Constitution Ave., NW., Room 202—SIB, Washington, DC 20240, or electronically

to *jtrelease@osmre.gov*. Please refer to OMB control number 1029–0063 in your correspondence.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regulations at 5 CFR 1320, which implement provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13), require that interested members of the public and affected agencies have an opportunity to comment on information collection and recordkeeping activities [see 5 CFR 1320.8(d)]. OSM has submitted a request to OMB to renew its approval for the collections of information found at 30 CFR 870-Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund— Fee Collection and Coal Production Reporting and the form it implements, the OSM-1, Coal Reclamation Fee Report, and the Amended 0SM-1 form. OSM is requesting a 3-year term of approval for these information collection activities.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this collection of information is 1029–0063. Responses are mandatory.

As required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), a Federal Register notice soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on May 17, 2011 (76 FR 28454). No comments were received. This notice provides the public with an additional 30 days in which to comment on the following information collection activities:

Title: 30 CFR 870—Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund—Fee Collection and Coal Production Reporting.

OMB Control Number: 1029–0063. Summary: The information is used to maintain a record of coal produced for sale, transfer, or use nationwide each calendar quarter, the method of coal removal and the type of coal, and the basis for coal tonnage reporting in compliance with 30 CFR 870 and section 401 of P.L. 95–87. Individual reclamation fee payment liability is based on this information. Without the collection of information OSM could not implement its regulatory responsibilities and collect the fee.

Bureau Form Numbers: OSM-1, Amended OSM-1.

Frequency of Collection: Quarterly. Description of Respondents: Coal mine permittees.

Total Annual Responses: 13,269.
Total Annual Burden Hours: 853.
Send comments on the need for the collection of information for the performance of the functions of the

agency; the accuracy of the agency's burden estimates; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and ways to minimize the information collection burden on respondents, such as use of automated means of collection of the information, to the following address. Please refer to the appropriate OMB control number in all correspondence.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: September 20, 2011.

Stephen M. Sheffield,

Acting Chief, Division of Regulatory Support. [FR Doc. 2011–24534 Filed 9–23–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-712]

In the Matter of Certain Digital Set-Top Boxes and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination to Grant Respondent'S Petition For Reconsideration of the Commission Decision Not to Review a Final Initial Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337; Termination of the Investigation With a Finding of No Violation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade

Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (Athe Commission@) has determined to reconsider its decision not to review the final initial determination (AID@) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (AALJ@) on May 20, 2011, in the abovecaptioned investigation, and, on review, to find no violation of section 337 and terminate the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean H. Jackson, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3104. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business

hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on April 21, 2010, based on a complaint filed by Verizon Communications Inc. and Verizon Services Corp. (collectively, AVerizon@), alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain digital set-top boxes and components thereof, that infringe one or more of claim 14 of U.S. Patent No. 5,635,979; claim 38 of U.S. Patent No. 5,666,293; claim 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,381,748 ("the '748 patent''); claim 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,367,078; and claim 5 of U.S. Patent No. 7,561,214. 75 FR. 20861 (2010). Complainant named Cablevision Systems Corp. of Bethpage, New York (ACablevision@) as the only respondent.

On May 20, 2011, the ALI issued his final ID finding a violation of section 337. Specifically, the ALJ found that a violation of section 337 has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital set-top boxes and components thereof that infringe claim 13 of the >748 patent. On July 21, 2011, the Commission determined not to review the ALJ's final ID, and requested that the parties file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. See Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review a Final Initial Determination (Dated July 21, 2011). The parties filed timely opening and responsive submissions.

On August 8, 2011, respondent Cablevision filed a petition for reconsideration of the Commission's determination not to review the ALJ's finding of a violation of section 337 based on infringement of claim 13 of the >748 patent. Respondent sought reconsideration of the Commission's determination based on the August 2, 2011, entry of final judgment by the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia in *ActiveVideo Networks, Inc.* v. *Verizon Commc'ns Inc.*, No. 2:10-cv-248 (E.D. Va.) and the previous ruling in that action that claim 13 of the >748 patent is invalid. Complainant Verizon filed an opposition to respondent's petition, whereas the Commission investigative attorney filed a response supporting respondent's petition.

Having examined the record in this investigation, the Commission has determined to grant respondent's petition for reconsideration and waive its requirement that any petition for reconsideration be filed within 14 days of the action taken. Accordingly, the Commission has determined to review the ALJ's final ID and, on review, to find that there is no violation of section 337 in this investigation based on the preclusive effects of the district court's finding of invalidity. The investigation is terminated. A Commission opinion in support of this determination will be issued shortly.

The authority for this action is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 201. 4 and 210.45–50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.4, 210.45–50).

By order of the Commission. Issued: September 20, 2011.

James R. Holbein,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2011–24576 Filed 9–23–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under The Clean Air Act

Notice is hereby given that on September 12, 2011, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States et al.* v. *J.L.* French LLC et al., Civil Action No. 2:11– CV–00860, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

The Consent Decree would resolve claims for injunctive relief and the assessment of civil penalties asserted by the United States and the Commonwealth of Kentucky (collectively, "Plaintiffs") against J.L. French LLC and a related corporate entity Nelson Metal Products LLC (collectively, "Defendants") pursuant to Sections 113(b) and 304(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7413(b) and 7604(a)(1).

Defendants process aluminum scrap and dross to produce various secondary aluminum products, a process that results in emissions of regulated air