Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–251–7685, e-mail:

Hector.Rodriguez-Luccioni@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 15th day of September, 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

# Thomas H. Boyce,

Chief, Regulatory Guide Development Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 2011–24475 Filed 9–22–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-238; NRC-2011-0222]

Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for the N.S. Savannah; License NS-1, Baltimore, MD

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Hickman, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Mail Stop: T8F5, Washington, DC 20555–00001. Telephone: (301) 415–3017; e-mail: john.hickman@nrc.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission (NRC or the Commission)
staff is considering a request dated
November 8, 2010, by the U.S.
Department of Transportation, Maritime
Administration (MARAD, the licensee)
requesting exemptions from the security
requirements in Title 10 of the Code of
Federal Regulations (10 CFR) part 73
and 10 CFR 50.54(p) for the N.S.
Savannah (NSS).

This Environmental Assessment (EA) has been developed in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 51.21.

### II. Environmental Assessment

Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would eliminate the physical security requirements from the 10 CFR Part 50 licensed site because the NSS spent fuel elements were returned to the AEC and transferred by the AEC for reprocessing at its Savannah River site in South Carolina. There is no longer any special nuclear material (SNM) located within the NSS other

than that contained in plant systems as residual contamination.

Part of this proposed action meets the categorical exclusion provision in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25), as part of this action is an exemption from the requirements of the Commission's regulations and: (i) There is no significant hazards consideration; (ii) there is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (iii) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure; (iv) there is no significant construction impact; (v) there is no significant increase in the potential for or consequences from radiological accidents; and (vi) the requirements from which an exemption is sought involve safeguard plans. Therefore, this part of the action does not require either an EA or an environmental impact statement. This EA was prepared for the part of the proposed action not involving safeguards plans (i.e.; transportation of SNM, interaction with emergency planning, and background checks.)

# Need for Proposed Action

Sections 50.54 and 73.55 of 10 CFR require that licensees establish and maintain physical protection and security for activities involving SNM within the 10 CFR Part 50 licensed area of a facility. The proposed action is needed because there is no longer any nuclear fuel in the 10 CFR Part 50 licensed facility that requires protection against radiological sabotage or diversion. The proposed action will allow the licensee to conserve resources for decommissioning activities.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that exempting the facility from physical protection security requirements will not have any adverse environmental impacts.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

The alternative is the no-action alternative, under which the staff would deny the exemption request. This denial of the request would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the no-action alternative are similar, therefore the no-action alternative is not further considered.

#### Conclusion

The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment, and that the proposed action is the preferred alternative.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on July 1, 2011, the staff consulted with the Maryland State official, of the Maryland Department of the Environment, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action is of a procedural nature, and will not affect listed species or critical habitat. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. The NRC staff has also determined that the proposed action is not the type of activity that has the potential to cause effects on historic properties. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

# III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC staff has prepared this EA as part of its review of the proposed action. On the basis of this EA, the NRC finds that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action, and that preparation of an environmental impact statement is not warranted. Accordingly, the NRC has determined that a Finding of No Significant Impact is appropriate.

# **IV. Further Information**

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated November 8, 2010 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System [ADAMS] Accession Number ML103200198). Documents related to

this action, including the application and supporting documentation, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html">http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html</a>. From this site, you can access text and image files of NRC's public documents.

If you do not have access to ADAMS, or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov. These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's PDR, O 1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of September, 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Keith I. McConnell,

Deputy Director, Decommissioning and Uranium Recovery Licensing Directorate, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs.

[FR Doc. 2011-24474 Filed 9-22-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2011-0006]

# **Sunshine Act Meeting**

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETINGS:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DATES: Week of September 26, 2011.

**PLACE:** Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

one ne D. 11:

STATUS: Public and Closed.

# Additional Items To Be Considered

Week of September 26, 2011

Tuesday, September 27, 2011

8:55 a.m. Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (Tentative)

- a. Southern Nuclear Operating Co. (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 and 4)—Appeal of LBP–10– 21 (Tentative)
- b. Luminant Generation Company LLC (Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant, Units 3 and 4), Intervenors' Petition for Review Pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.341 (Mar. 11, 2011) (Tentative)
- c. Progress Energy Florida, Inc. (Levy County Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), Staff Petition for Review of LBP-10-20 (Tentative)

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—http://www.nrc.gov.

\* The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings, call (recording)—(301) 415–1292.

Contact person for more information:
Rochelle Bavol, (301) 415–1651.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: http://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/policy-making/schedule.html.

\* \* \* \* \*

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g. braille, large print), please notify Bill Dosch, Chief, Work Life and Benefits Branch, at 301-415-6200, TDD: 301-415-2100, or by e-mail at william.dosch@nrc.gov. Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a caseby-case basis.

This notice is distributed electronically to subscribers. If you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969), or send an e-mail to darlene.wright@nrc.gov./RA/

Dated: September 20, 2011.

### Rochelle C. Bavol,

Policy Coordinator, Office of the Secretary. [FR Doc. 2011–24606 Filed 9–21–11; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

# OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

# Federal Salary Council; Meeting

**AGENCY:** Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Salary Council will meet on November 4, 2011, at the time and location shown below. The Council is an advisory body composed of representatives of Federal employee organizations and experts in the fields of labor relations and pay policy. The Council makes recommendations to the President's Pay Agent (the Secretary of Labor and the Directors of the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of Personnel Management) about the

locality pay program for General Schedule employees under section 5304 of title 5, United States Code. The Council's recommendations cover the establishment or modification of locality pay areas, the coverage of salary surveys, the process of comparing Federal and non-Federal rates of pay, and the level of comparability payments that should be paid.

The Council will hear public testimony about the locality pay program, review the results of pay comparisons, and formulate its recommendations to the President's Pay Agent on pay comparison methods, locality pay rates, and locality pay areas and boundaries for 2013. The meeting is open to the public. Please contact the Office of Personnel Management at the address shown below if you wish to submit testimony or present material to the Council at the meeting.

DATES: November 4, 2011, at 10 a.m. Location: Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 5H17, Washington, DC.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jerome D. Mikowicz, Deputy Associate Director, Pay and Leave, Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 7H31, Washington, DC 20415–8200. Phone (202) 606–2838; FAX (202) 606–4264; or e-mail at payleave-policy@opm.gov.

For the President's Pay Agent.

### John Berry,

Director.

[FR Doc. 2011-24490 Filed 9-22-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-39-P

#### **POSTAL SERVICE**

# **Board of Governors; Sunshine Act Meeting**

# **Board Votes To Close September 29, 2011, Meeting**

By notation vote on September 19, 2011, a majority of the members of the Board of Governors of the United States Postal Service voted to hold and close to public observation a meeting to be held via teleconference on September 29, 2011. The Board determined that no earlier public notice was possible.

# **Items Considered**

- 1. Strategic Issues.
- 2. Financial Matters.
- 3. Pricing.
- 4. Personnel Matters and Compensation Issues.

### **General Counsel Certification**

The General Counsel of the United States Postal Service has certified that