

purchase replacement energy necessary to support capacity in the Cumberland System divided by the capacity available from the Cumberland System, which is 950,000 kilowatts in the published power marketing policy. The capacity rate will be adjusted for any capacity retained by the Customer's transmission facilitator.

*Conditions of Service:*

The customer shall at its own expense provide, install, and maintain on its side of each delivery point the equipment necessary to protect and control its own system.

[FR Doc. 2011-24224 Filed 9-21-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. RD11-4-000]

**Commission Information Collection Activities (FERC-725A); Comment Request; Submitted for OMB Review**

**AGENCY:** Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the requirements of section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3507, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission or FERC) has submitted the information collection described below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review of the information collection requirements. Any interested person may file comments directly with OMB and should address a copy of those comments to the Commission as explained below. The Commission issued a Notice in the **Federal Register** (76 FR 23801, 04/28/2011) requesting public comments. FERC received no comments on the FERC-725A and has made this notation in its submission to OMB.

**DATES:** Comments in consideration of the collection of information are due October 24, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be filed either electronically (eFiled) or in paper format, and should refer to Docket No. RD11-4-000. Documents must be prepared in an acceptable filing format and in compliance with Commission

submission guidelines at: <http://www.ferc.gov/help/submission-guide.asp>. eFiling instructions are available at: <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling.asp>. First time users must follow eRegister instructions at: <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/eregistration.asp>, to establish a username and password before eFiling. The Commission will send an automatic acknowledgement to the sender's e-mail address upon receipt of eFiled comments. Commenters making an eFiling should not make a paper filing. Commenters that are not able to file electronically must send an original of their comments to: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Secretary of the Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Users interested in receiving automatic notification of activity in this docket may do so through eSubscription at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/esubscription.asp>. In addition, all comments and FERC issuances may be viewed, printed or downloaded remotely through FERC's eLibrary at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/elibrary.asp>, by searching on Docket No. RD11-4-000. For user assistance, contact FERC Online Support by e-mail at [ferconlinesupport@ferc.gov](mailto:ferconlinesupport@ferc.gov), or by phone at: (866) 208-3676 (toll-free), or (202) 502-8659 for TTY.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ellen Brown may be reached by e-mail at [DataClearance@FERC.gov](mailto:DataClearance@FERC.gov), telephone at (202) 502-8663, and fax at (202) 273-0873.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The information collected under the order in Docket No. RD11-4-000 under the requirements of FERC-725A, "Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Bulk-Power System" (OMB No. 1902-0244), is required by Commission-approved Reliability Standard EOP-008-1 (Loss of Control Center Functionality) that requires reliability coordinators, transmission operators, and balancing authorities to have an operating plan and facilities for backup functionality to ensure Bulk-Power System reliability in the event that a control center becomes inoperable. The standard consists of eight requirements.

Requirement R1 requires each applicable entity to have a current operating plan describing the manner in which it will continue to meet its functional obligations in the event that its primary control center functionality

is lost. Requirement R2 instructs each applicable entity to have a copy of its current plan for backup functionality at its primary control center and at the location providing backup functionality. Requirement R3 mandates that each reliability coordinator have a backup control center that provides functionality sufficient to maintain compliance with all Reliability Standards that depend on primary control center functionality.

Requirement R4 directs balancing authorities and transmission operators to have a backup functionality, either through a facility or contracted services, to maintain compliance with all Reliability Standards that depend on their primary control center functionality. Requirement R5 requires each applicable entity to review annually and approve its plan for backup functionality. Requirement R6 mandates that primary and backup functionality cannot depend on each other, and Requirement R7 requires each applicable entity to annually test and document the results of its plan demonstrating the transition time between the simulated loss of the primary control center and the full implementation of the backup functionality. Finally, each reliability coordinator, balancing authority or transmission operator that experiences a loss of either primary or backup functionality anticipated to last for more than six months must, in accordance with Requirement R8, provide a plan to its Regional Entity within six calendar months of the date when functionality is lost showing how it will re-establish such functionality.

Rather than creating entirely new obligations with respect to the loss of control center functionality, Reliability Standard EOP-008-1 upgrades existing planning requirements. The standard does not impose entirely new burdens on the effected entities, but it does impose new requirements regarding the approval, placement, documentation and updating of plans as well as requires entities that may not already possess backup functionality to obtain, possibly through contractual arrangements, backup capabilities.

*Burden Statement:* Public reporting burden resulting from the approval of Reliability Standard EOP-008-1 is estimated as:

Changes to FERC-725A data collection	Number of respondents annually (A)	Number of responses per respondent (B)	Average burden hours per response (C)	Total annual hours (A x B x C)
Review and possible revision of plan (one-time) .....	215	1	20 .....	4,300

Changes to FERC-725A data collection	Number of respondents annually (A)	Number of responses per respondent (B)	Average burden hours per response (C)	Total annual hours (A × B × C)
Updating, approving, and maintaining records .....	215	1	Compliance: 6 .....	1,290
			Recordkeeping: 2 ....	430
Balancing authorities and transmission operators contracting for backup functionality (one-time).	27	1	120 .....	3,240
Total one-time .....	.....	.....	.....	7,540
Total recurring .....	.....	.....	.....	1,720
<b>Total .....</b>	.....	.....	.....	<b>9,260</b>

Estimated one-time cost burden to respondents is \$904,800; [*i.e.*, 7540 hours @ \$120 an hour (compliance cost) for the first year equals \$904,800]. In subsequent years, respondents will incur an estimated cost burden of \$166,840; [*i.e.*, (1290 hours @ \$120 an hour (compliance cost)) + 430 hours @ \$28 an hour (recordkeeping cost) equals \$166,840<sup>1</sup>]. The average annual recurring cost burden per respondent is \$776 (\$166,840/215 = \$776).

The reporting burden includes the total time, effort, or financial resources expended to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide the information including: (1) Reviewing instructions; (2) developing, acquiring, installing, and utilizing technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, verifying, processing, maintaining, disclosing and providing information; (3) adjusting the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; (4) training personnel to respond to a collection of information; (5) searching data sources; (6) completing and reviewing the collection of information; and (7) transmitting, or otherwise disclosing the information.

The estimate of cost for respondents is based upon salaries for professional and clerical support, as well as direct and indirect overhead costs. Direct costs include all costs directly attributable to providing this information, such as administrative costs and the cost for information technology. Indirect or overhead costs are costs incurred by an organization in support of its mission. These costs apply to activities which benefit the whole organization rather than anyone particular function or activity.

<sup>1</sup> The order approving Reliability Standard EOP-008-1 mistakenly used the \$120 an hour figure for all the reoccurring hours. This caused an overstatement of the reoccurring cost burden by \$39,560 (\$206,400 reported in the order minus the corrected figure of \$166,840 equals 166,840), because 430 hours should have been calculated at the lower recordkeeping figure of \$28 an hour. Additionally, the order approving the standard listed the total recordkeeping cost as \$10,240 and it should have been \$12,040.

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Dated: September 15, 2011 .

**Kimberly D. Bose,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2011-24304 Filed 9-21-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL11-63-000]

#### **Notice of Complaint; Louisiana Public Service Commission v. Entergy Corporation, Entergy Services, Inc., Entergy Louisiana, LLC, Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Mississippi, Inc., Entergy New Orleans, Inc., Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, LLC, Entergy Texas, Inc.**

Take notice that on September 14, 2011, the Louisiana Public Service Commission (Complainant) filed a formal complaint against Entergy Corporation., Entergy Services, Inc., Entergy Louisiana, LLC, Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Mississippi, Inc., Entergy New Orleans, Inc., Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C., and Entergy Texas, Inc. pursuant to sections 205, 206 and 306 of the Federal Power Act, 16

USC 824e and 825(e) and 18 CFR 386.206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures, seeking a determination that the allocation by Entergy Corporation of the costs for required transmission upgrades associated with the Ouachita power plant to Entergy Louisiana, LLC, violates the Federal Power Act, Entergy's Open Access Transmission Tariff and constitutes affiliate abuse. In addition, the Complainant claims that the failure to recognize post-2012 benefits flowing from the Settlement Agreement between Entergy Arkansas, Inc. and Union Pacific Corporation of a contractual dispute over the delivery of coal supplies in the MSS-3 rough equalization calculation or some other remedy is unjust, unreasonable and unduly discriminatory.

The Complainant certifies that copies of the complaint were served on the contacts for Entergy Corporation, Entergy Services, Inc., Entergy Louisiana, LLC, Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Mississippi Inc., Entergy New Orleans, Inc., Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Inc., and Entergy Texas, Inc. as listed on the Commission's list of Corporate Officials.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. The Respondent's answer and all interventions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. The Respondent's answer, motions to intervene, and protests must be served on the Complainants.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies