cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

J. Paul Loether,

Chief, National Register of Historic Places/ National Historic Landmarks Program.

ARIZONA

Pima County

Barrio Anita, Roughly bounded by W. Speedway Blvd., UPRR, N. Granada Ave., St. Mary's Rd. & I–10., Tucson, 11000682

Barrio Santa Rosa, Roughly bounded by W. 18th St., S. Russell Ave., W. 22nd St., S. 9th Ave., & S. Meyer Ave., Tucson, 11000683

ARKANSAS

Ashley County

Hamburg Cemetery Historic Section, 800 E. Parker St., Hamburg, 11000684

Benton County

Camp Crowder Gymnasium, 205 Shiloh Dr., Sulpher Springs, 11000685

Clark County

Okolona Colored High School Gymnasium, 767 Layne St., Okolona, 11000686

Ronoake Baptist Church, N. end of Ronoake Baptist Church Rd., Gurdon, 11000687

Drew County

Monticello Commercial Historic District, Bounded roughly by Trotter Ave., Edwards St., Railroad Ave. & Chester St., Monticello, 11000688

Garland County

Central Methodist Episcopal Church South, 1100 Central Ave., Hot Springs, 11000689

Ouachita Avenue Historic District, Bounded by Ouachita Ave., Orange St., Central Ave. & Olive St., Hot Springs, 11000690

Ouachita County

Clifton and Greening Streets Historic District (Boundary Increase III), 140 California St., Camden, 11000691

Pulaski County

Tower Building, 323 Center St., Little Rock, 11000692

Sebastian County

Camp Chaffee Tank Destroyer Battalion Historic District, (World War II Home Front Efforts in Arkansas, MPS) Roughly bounded by Terry St., Ward Ave., Ellis St. & Darby Ave., Fort Smith, 11000693

Washington County

Price Produce and Service Station, (Arkansas Highway History and Architecture MPS) 413, 415 & 417 E. Emma Ave., Springdale, 11000694

Springdale Poultry Industry Historic District, 317 & 319 E. Emma & 316 E. Meadow Aves., Springdale, 11000695

CALIFORNIA

Santa Clara County

Shoup, Paul, House, 500 University Ave., Los Altos, 11000696

to **DELAWARE**

New Castle County

Water Witch Steam Fire Engine Company No. 5, 1814 Gilpin Ave., Wilmington, 11000697

FLORIDA

Manatee County

Benjamin, Judah P. Memorial, 3708 Patton Ave., Ellenton, 11000698

IDAHO

Benewah County

St. Maries Masonic Temple No. 63, 208 S. 8th St., St. Maries, 11000699

MARYLAND

Baltimore County

Dundalk—Liberty—Cornwall Gardens, 7003 Dunmanway, Dundalk, 11000700

MONTANA

Missoula County

Carlton Community Church, 20075 Old MT 93, Florence, 11000701

OREGON

Multnomah County

Bowers, George W. and Hetty A., House, 114 NE. 22nd Ave., Portland, 11000702

SOUTH CAROLINA

Richland County

Calhoun, John C., State Office Building, 1015 Sumter St., Columbia, 11000703

VERMONT

Washington County

- Smith, E.L., Roundhouse Granite Shed, 23 Burnham Meadows, Barre, 11000704
- Request for REMOVAL has been made for the following resources:

ARKANSAS

Howard County

- Boyd, Adam, House E. of Center Point on AR 26, Center Point, 76000415
- Clardy—Lee House, AR 26, Center Point, 77000255
- Russey—Murray House, S. of Center Point on AR 4, Center Point, 76000417

Saline County

- North Fork Saline River Bridge (Historic Bridges of Arkansas MPS) AR 9 over Saline R. Paron, 95000642
- [FR Doc. 2011–22897 Filed 9–7–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-51-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/ Environmental Impact Report and Notice of Public Meetings for the Joint Operations Center Relocation Project, Sacramento County, CA

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability and public meetings.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) and the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) have made available for public review and comment the joint draft environmental impact statement/ environmental impact report (Draft EIS/ EIR) for the Joint Operations Center (JOC) Relocation Project.

The proposed new JOC would provide the special needs, essential services, and requisite office space for the combined occupancy of Reclamation, DWR, and the National Weather Service (NWS) that are lacking at the existing Interim JOC on El Camino. Special needs are defined as two control centers, a flood operations center, backup power supplies, primary and backup communication systems, intense computer infrastructure, and physical and cyber security systems. The new JOC also must meet State essential service criteria, described further below. DATES: Submit written comments on the Draft EIS/EIR on or before November 7, 2011

Two public meetings are scheduled with DWR and Reclamation staff. Oral or written comments will be received at these meetings regarding the project's environmental effects. The meetings will be held on September 22, 2011 from 2 to 4 p.m. and from 6 to 8 p.m. in Sacramento.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments on the Draft EIS/EIR to Mr. Doug Kleinsmith, Division of Environmental Affairs, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825. E-mail electronic comments to *dkleinsmith@usbr.gov.*

The public meetings will be held at the Sacramento State Aquatics Center, 1901 Hazel Avenue, Gold River, CA 95670.

To request a compact disc of the Draft EIS/EIR, please contact Mr. Doug Kleinsmith as indicated above, or call 916–978–5034. The Draft EIS/EIR may be viewed at Reclamation's Web site at *http://www.usbr.gov/mp/cvo/joc.html*. See Supplementary Information section for locations where copies of the Draft EIS/EIR are available for public review.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Doug Kleinsmith, Natural Resources Specialist, at 916–978–5034, or e-mail at *dkleinsmith@usbr.gov*; or Mr. John Engstrom, DWR Program Manager, at 916–651–8745, or e-mail at *engstrom@water.ca.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The new JOC would accommodate approximately 600 employees and be approximately

200,000 square feet. The preliminary design concepts being considered in the Draft EIS/EIR are one three-story building or two two-story buildings. The new JOC would occupy approximately 16 acres of land, including access roads and parking lots.

The criteria used by Reclamation, DWR, NWS, and the California Department of General Services to identify alternative JOC locations require that the site:

• Be along or adjacent to a major traffic thoroughfare and within an approximately 25-minute drive time from downtown Sacramento (DWR headquarters);

• Be outside of the designated 200year floodplain;

• Maintain a secure perimeter;

• Have a lower risk of incompatible adjacent development;

• Allow line-of-sight for specialized communications systems and equipment, as well as redundant communication systems;

• Have availability of reliable and redundant power service;

• Have access to public transportation such as bus service and/or light rail.

Copies of the Draft EIS/EIR are available for public review at the following locations:

• Bureau of Reclamation, Regional Library, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825–1898, 916–978–5593.

• California Department of Water Resources, Division of Management Services, 1416 Ninth Street, Room 354, Sacramento, CA 95814.

• Rancho Cordova Public Library, 9845 Folsom Boulevard, Sacramento, CA 95827.

• Folsom Public Library, Georgia Murray Building, 411 Stafford Street, Folsom, CA 95630.

Special Assistance for Public Meetings

If special assistance is required to participate in the public meetings, please contact Mr. Doug Kleinsmith at 916–978–5034, TDD 916–978–5608, or via e-mail at *dkleinsmith@usbr.gov*. Please notify Mr. Kleinsmith as far in advance as possible to enable Reclamation to secure the needed services. If a request cannot be honored, the requestor will be notified. A telephone device for the hearing impaired (TDD) is available at 916–978– 5608.

Public Disclosure

Before including your name, address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: August 2, 2011.

Pablo R. Arroyave,

Deputy Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region. [FR Doc. 2011–22787 Filed 9–7–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-730]

In the Matter of Certain Inkjet Ink Supplies and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainant's Motion for Summary Determination That There Has Been a Violation of Section 337

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 14) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting complainant's motion for summary determination that there has been a violation of section 337 in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3106. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at *http://www.usitc.gov*. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on August 3, 2010, based on a complaint

filed by Hewlett-Packard Company of Palo Alto, California and Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. of Houston, Texas (collectively, "HP") alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain inkjet ink supplies and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,959,985 and 7,104,630. 75 FR 45663 (Aug. 3, 2010).

Complainant named Mipo International, Ltd. of Atlanta, Georgia ("Mipo"); Mextec Group Inc. of Miami, Florida ("Mextec"); Shanghai Angel Printer Supplies Co., Ltd. of Shanghai, China ("Shanghai Angel"); Shenzhen Print Media Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China ("Shenzhen"); Zhuhai National **Resources & Jingjie Imaging Products** Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China ("Zhuhai National"); Tatrix International of Guangdong, China ("Tatrix"); and Ourway Image Co. Ltd. of Guangdong, China ("Ourway") as respondents. Subsequently, Mipo, Mextec, and Shenzhen were terminated from the investigation based on either a settlement agreement with HP or because HP withdrew its allegations against them. The remaining respondents, *i.e.,* Shanghai Angel; Zhuhai National; Tatrix; and Ourway (collectively, "Defaulting Respondents"), failed to answer the Complaint and Notice of Investigation and default judgments were granted against all the Defaulting Respondents.

On March 7, 2011, complainant HP filed a paper "Motion for Summary Determination That a Domestic Industry Exists and That There Have Been Violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (Amended) By the Defaulting Respondents and Complainants' Request for a General Exclusion Order." Complainant sought a determination that a domestic industry exists and that there has been a violation of Section 337 and entry of a general exclusion order. Subsequently, HP filed a supplemental submission in support of its motion for summary determination. The IA supports HP's motion as supplemented.

On August 3, 2011, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 14) granting complainant's motion. No party petitioned for review of the ID. The ID contained, *inter alia*, the ALJ's recommended determination on remedy and bonding. The ALJ recommended issuance of a general exclusion order and cease and desist orders against the defaulting respondents. The ALJ also recommended that the Commission set a bond of 100 percent during the period of Presidential review.