INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–459; Third Review]

Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film From Korea

Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate (PET) film from Korea would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on September 1, 2010 (75 FR 53711) and determined on February 8. 2011 that it would conduct a full review (76 FR 8770, February 15, 2011). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on February 15, 2011 (76 FR 8770). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on June 28, 2011, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on August 29, 2011. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4254 (August 2011), entitled *Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film from Korea: Investigation No. 731–TA–459 (Third Review).*

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 29, 2011.

James R. Holbein,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2011–22485 Filed 9–1–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-11-024]

Government In the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission. TIME AND DATE: September 9, 2011 at 11 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

Matters To Be Considered

Agendas for future meetings: none.
Minutes.

3. Ratification List.

4. Vote in Inv. Nos. 731–TA–847 and 849 (Second Review) (Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe from Japan and Romania). The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determinations and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before September 21, 2011.)

5. Outstanding action jackets: none. In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 31, 2011.

William R. Bishop,

Hearings and Meetings Coordinator. [FR Doc. 2011–22633 Filed 8–31–11; 11:15 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on August 23, 2011, the United States, on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") filed a Complaint and lodged a proposed Consent Decree in United States v. The Santos/Alviso Partnership, L.P., et al., Case No. CV 11-04139 HRL (N.D. Cal.), relating to the South Bay Asbestos Superfund Site in San Jose, Santa Clara County, California (the "Site"). The Complaint asserts claims against defendants Santos/Alviso Partnership, L.P. (the current owner of a parcel of property at the Site formerly used as part of the Santos Landfill), Santos Management, L.L.C. (the general partner of the Santos/Alviso Partnership), the Estate of Dorothy

Santos (a former owner of the landfill property at the time of disposal of hazardous substances), and five Trusts that owned fractional interests in the landfill property at times when EPA incurred response costs there. The Complaint seeks injunctive relief for the performance of response actions, reimbursement of response costs incurred by EPA at the Site, and the entry of a declaratory judgment with respect to EPA's future response costs under Sections 106(a), 107(a), and 113(g) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9606(a), 9607(a), and 9613(g).

The proposed Consent Decree resolves claims in the Complaint. Under the proposed Consent Decree, the defendants agree to provide EPA with access to the landfill property, to inspect and maintain an existing cap on the landfill property, and to execute and record a "Covenant to Restrict Use of Property—Environmental Restriction" to protect the existing cap. The execution and recordation of this Covenant will bring to completion the remedial action at the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to *pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov* or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States* v. *The Santos/Alviso Partnership, L.P., et al.,* Case No. CV 11–04139 HRL (N.D. Cal.), D.J. Ref. 90–11–2–353/2.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, Office of Regional Counsel, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ Consent Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library. P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax number (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$13.50 (.25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury, or if by e-mail or fax,

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Henry Friedman,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2011–22545 Filed 9–1–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Comment Request for Information Collection for the Workforce Investment Act Streamlined Performance Reporting (WISPR) Data Collection System; Extension With Revisions

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor (Department), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

Currently, the Employment and Training Administration (ETA) is soliciting comments concerning the WISPR system. The current expiration date is October 31, 2011. A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addresses section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addresses section below on or before November 1, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Office of Policy Development and Research, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room N– 5641, Washington, DC 20210, Attention: Karen A. Staha. Telephone number: (202) 693–2917 (this is not a toll-free number). Fax: (202)693–2766. E-mail: Staha.Karen@dol.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In July 2004, ETA solicited comments from the general public on the establishment of a single, streamlined reporting and recordkeeping system, formally called the ETA Management Information and Longitudinal Evaluation (EMILE) reporting system. The notice of 60-day public comment on the proposed EMILE reporting system was published in the Federal Register on July 16, 2004 (69 FR 42777). The proposed EMILE reporting system was designed to streamline 12 ETA program reporting systems into one comprehensive reporting structure that would allow for consistent, comparable analysis across ETA funded employment and training programs, using the definitions for a set of common performance measures initially specified in Training and Employment Guidance Letter (TEGL) 15–03, Common Measures Policy, and subsequently revised by TEGL 17-05, (note TEGLs 17-05 change 1, and 17-05 Change 2 provide additional revisions), Common Measures Policy for the Employment and Training Administration's (ETA) Performance Accountability System and Related Performance Issues.

ETA received comments from 161 unique entities, including State workforce agencies and boards, local workforce investment areas, non-profit organizations and national associations, Native American and other Tribal organizations, public interest and advocacy groups, and other private citizens and stakeholders. Due to the large volume of comments submitted by each entity, ETA worked during calendar year 2005 to organize and analyze the public comments, make appropriate revisions to agency policy guidance on the common measures, and assess the feasibility of implementing the proposed EMILE reporting requirements in several States.

ÉTA reconciled the public comments and made appropriate revisions to the original EMILE proposal, which was renamed the WISPR System. This system replaced the existing quarterly reporting requirements for the following seven ETA activities: Wagner-Peyser Act, Jobs for Veterans' State Grants, the Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Adult, WIA Dislocated Worker, WIA Youth, Trade Adjustment Assistance Act programs, and National Emergency Grants.

The WISPR system was piloted in two States (Pennsylvania and Texas) and both States have successfully implemented WISPR. At its foundation, the WISPR system organizes customer information, which is maintained by States in order to run their day-to-day operations. It includes the minimum level of information collection necessary to comply with Equal Opportunity requirements, hold States and grantees appropriately accountable for the Federal funds they receive, and allow the Department to fulfill its oversight and management responsibilities.

The WISPR system features a set of aggregate quarterly reports for capturing services to employer and job seeker customers, including a special aggregate report on services to the nation's eligible veterans and transitioning service members. A standardized set of participant data that includes information on demographics, types of services received, and performance outcomes based on a set of common measures defined consistently across programs is a key component of this reporting system. The WISPR system also incorporates provisions to ensure the integrity of reported data and resolve data collection and reliability issues raised by the Office of the Inspector General and the Government Accountability Office regarding the Department's ability to accurately evaluate program performance.

The implementation of WISPR was put on hold in March 2009 as ETA focused its available resources on implementing the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. The current request for information seeks to obtain comments regarding the extension of the current WISPR system. To date, the system has been fully implemented in Pennsylvania and Texas but could potentially be implemented in additional States.

II. Review Focus

The Department is particularly interested in comments which:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

• Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated,