

Issued: August 8, 2011.

William R. Bishop,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2011-20467 Filed 8-11-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA")

Notice is hereby given that on August 3, 2011, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States and Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. BIM Investment Corp. et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:11-cv-11382 was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts.

The Consent Decree resolves claims brought by the United States, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior ("DOI"), acting through the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("Commonwealth"), on behalf of the Secretary of Energy and Environmental Affairs ("EEA"), against four parties ("Settling Defendants") under Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607. In their respective complaints, filed concurrently with the Consent Decree, the United States and the Commonwealth sought damages in order to compensate for and restore natural resources injured by the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at or from the Blackburn and Union Privileges Superfund Site in Walpole, Massachusetts (the "Site"), along with the recovery of costs incurred in assessing such damages.

Under the Consent Decree, Settling Defendants Tyco Healthcare Group LP, W.R. Grace & Co.-Conn., BIM Investment Corporation, and Shaffer Realty Nominee Trust will pay \$1,000,000 for natural resource damages restoration projects to be conducted by DOI and EEA. The Consent Decree also requires the Settling Defendants to reimburse the United States and the Commonwealth for a combined \$94,169.56 in assessment costs.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC

20044-7611, and should refer to *United States and Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. BIM Investment Corp. et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-09667/1.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http://www.justice.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$6.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost), payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Ronald G. Gluck,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2011-20581 Filed 8-11-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Verifone Systems, Inc. and Hypercom Corporation; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States of America v. Verifone Systems, Inc. and Hypercom Corporation*, Civil Action No. 1:11-cv-00887. On June 27, 2011, the United States filed an Amended Complaint alleging that the proposed acquisition by Verifone Systems, Inc. of the business assets of Hypercom Corporation would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The proposed Final Judgment, filed on August 4, 2011, requires the Defendants to divest Hypercom's U.S. business, along with certain tangible and intangible assets.

Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection at the Department of Justice, Antitrust

Division, Antitrust Documents Group, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Suite 1010, Washington, DC 20530 (*telephone: 202-514-2481*), on the Department of Justice's Web site at <http://www.usdoj.gov/atr>, and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments, and responses thereto, will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to James J. Tierney, Chief, Networks and Technology Enforcement Section, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530 (*telephone: 202-307-6200*).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement.

In the United States District Court for the District of Columbia

United States of America, United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Suite 7100, Washington, DC 20530, Plaintiff, v. Verifone Systems, Inc., 2099 Gateway Place, Suite 600, San Jose, CA 95110, and Hypercom Corporation, 8888 East Raintree Drive, Suite 300, Scottsdale, AZ 85260, Defendants.

Case: 1:11-cv-00887.

Assigned to: Kessler, Gladys.

Assign. Date: 5/12/2011.

Description: Antitrust.

Amended Complaint

The United States of America, acting under the direction of the Attorney General of the United States, brings this civil action against VeriFone Systems Inc. ("VeriFone"), and Hypercom Corporation ("Hypercom") pursuant to the antitrust laws of the United States to enjoin VeriFone's proposed acquisition of Hypercom, and to obtain such other equitable relief as the Court deems appropriate. The United States alleges as follows:

I. Nature of Action

1. Point of sale ("POS") terminals enable retailers and other firms to accept a wide range of non-cash payment types, such as credit cards and debit cards, at millions of locations nationwide. Given the increasing popularity of electronic payments, the vast majority of merchants need to accept such cards and use POS terminals to handle billions of dollars of on-site electronic payments daily. This complaint seeks to enjoin Defendants