

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2011–0179; Docket Nos. 50–498 and 50–499]

STP Nuclear Operating Company, South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or NRC) has granted the request of STP Nuclear Operating Company (the licensee) to withdraw its application dated November 22, 2010, for a proposed amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF–76 and NPF–80 for the South Texas Project (STP), Units 1 and 2, located in Matagorda County, Texas.

The proposed amendment would have revised the application of Risk-Managed Technical Specifications to Technical Specification 3.7.7, “Control Room Makeup and Cleanup Filtration System.” The purpose of the change was to correct a misapplication of the Configuration Risk Management Program that is currently allowed by the Technical Specifications.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on April 5, 2011 (76 FR 18803). However, by letter dated July 11, 2011, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated November 22, 2010, and the licensee’s letter dated July 11, 2011, which withdrew the application for license amendment. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1–F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland 20852. Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC are accessible electronically through the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) in the NRC Library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1–800–397–4209, or 301–415–4737 or by e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 4th day of August 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Balwant K. Singal,

Senior Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch IV, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2011–20515 Filed 8–11–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–65053; File No. SR–OC–2011–01]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; OneChicago, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to Allow for Four Decimal Point Pricing for Block and Exchange for Physical (“EFPs”) Trades

August 8, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(7) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b–7 under the Act,² notice is hereby given that on August 2, 2011, OneChicago, LLC (“OneChicago” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons. OneChicago also has filed this proposed rule change with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC”). On July 26, 2011, OneChicago filed a written certification with the CFTC under Section 5c(c) of the Commodity Exchange Act (“CEA”).³

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Description of the Proposed Rule Change

OneChicago is proposing to allow block trades and the futures component of EFP trades to be traded/priced in four decimals points. Regular trades (non-block or non EFP) will continue to trade in only two decimal points. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at <http://www.onechicago.com>, at the principal office of the Exchange, at the Commission’s Public Reference Room, and at the Commission’s Web site at <http://www.sec.gov>.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(7).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–7.

³ 7 U.S.C. 7a–2(c).

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, OneChicago included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. OneChicago has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

OneChicago is proposing to allow block trades and the futures component of EFP trades to be priced in four decimals points. The additional precision will aid in aligning these generally larger sized trades with the appropriate implied interest rate desired by the trade participants. The current two decimal pricing forces block transactions to be split into multiple transactions to arrive at the futures price that achieves the desired financing rate. This change will reduce the need to split block transactions. The change for EFPs will support reflecting the already four decimal point EFP price in the futures prices as opposed to the current practice of forcing it to be reflected in the stock price.

Market participants and system providers will need to modify their technologies to accommodate the additional decimals points for block trades and EFPs.

2. Statutory Basis

The proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁴ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, in particular, in that it is designed to foster cooperation and coordination with persons facilitating transactions in securities, and remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and national market system. The proposed rule would foster cooperation with market participants by allowing them to align large-sized trades with their desired interest rate. Moreover, the proposed rule would alleviate the need to split transactions, thereby removing an impediment to and

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).