

■ 7. In § 1.1164, paragraph (c) is revised to read as follows:

**§ 1.1164. Penalties for late or insufficient regulatory fee payments.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) If a regulatory fee is not paid in a timely manner, the regulatee will be notified of its deficiency. This notice will automatically assess a 25 percent penalty, subject the delinquent payor's pending applications to dismissal, and may require a delinquent payor to show cause why its existing instruments of authorization should not be subject to rescission.

\* \* \* \* \*

■ 8. In § 1.1166, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

**§ 1.1166. Waivers, reductions and deferrals of regulatory fees.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) Deferrals of fees, if granted, will be for a designated period of time not to exceed six months.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2011-19836 Filed 8-9-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

**47 CFR Parts 1, 2, and 25**

[ET Docket No. 10-142; Report 2932]

**Fixed and Mobile Services in the Mobile Satellite Service Bands at 1525-1559 MHz and 1626.5-1660.5 MHz, 1610-1626.5 MHz and 2483.5-2500 MHz, and 2000-2020 MHz and 2180-2200 MHz; Petition for Reconsideration**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communication Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule; petition for reconsideration

**SUMMARY:** In this document, Petitions for Reconsideration (Petitions) have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceeding concerning Fixed and Mobile Services in the Mobile Satellite Service Bands at 1525-1559 MHz and 1626.5-1660.5 MHz, 1610-1626.5 MHz and 2483.5-2500 MHz, and 2000-2020 MHz and 2180-2200 MHz and published pursuant to 47 CFR 1.429(e). See 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.4(b)(1).

**DATES:** Oppositions to the Petitions must be filed by August 25, 2011. Replies to an opposition must be filed by September 6, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Kevin Holmes, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, 202-418-0564.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On April 6, 2011, the Commission, via the Office of Engineering and Technology Bureau released *In the Matter of Fixed and Mobile Services in the Mobile Satellite Service Bands at 1525-1559 MHz and 1626.5-1660.5 MHz, 1610-1626.5 MHz and 2483.5-2500 MHz, and 2000-2020 MHz and 2180-2200 MHz*, FCC 11-57, Report and Order, adopted April 5, 2011, published at 76 FR 31252, May 31, 2011. This is a summary of the Commission's document, Report No. 2932, released July 29, 2011. The full text of document Report No. 2932 is available for viewing and copying in Room CY-B402, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc. (BCPI) (1-800-378-3160). The Commission will not send a copy of document Report No. 2932 pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A), because it does not have an impact on any rules of particular applicability.

*Subject:* In the Matter of Fixed and Mobile Services in the Mobile Satellite Service Bands at 1525-1559 MHz and 1626.5-1660.5 MHz, 1610-1626.5 MHz and 2483.5-2500 MHz, and 2000-2020 MHz and 2180-2200 MHz (ET Docket No. 10-142).

*Number of Petitions Filed:* 3.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene H. Dortch,**

*Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Office of Managing Director.*

[FR Doc. 2011-20313 Filed 8-9-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

**47 CFR Part 73**

[MB Docket No. 10-118; RM-11603; RM-11631; DA 11-1209]

**Radio Broadcasting Services; Gearhart, Madras, and Manzanita, OR**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Audio Division, at the request of Black Hills Broadcasting, L.C., as modified pursuant to the counterproposal of Cumulus Licensing LLC ("Cumulus"), allots FM Channel 227A at Gearhart, Oregon. Channel 227A can be allotted at Gearhart,

consistent with the minimum distance separation requirements of the Commission's rules, at coordinates 45-57-11 NL and 123-56-14 WL. In addition, the Audio Division substitutes Channel 248C3 for vacant Channel 228C3 at Manzanita, Oregon, and substitutes Channel \*243C1 for vacant but applied for Channel \*251C1 at Madras, Oregon. The reference coordinates for Channel 248C3 at Manzanita, Oregon, are 45-41-05 NL and 123-54-38 WL, and the reference coordinates for Channel \*243C1 at Madras Oregon, are 44-50-02 NL and 120-45-55 WL. In addition, the Audio Division granted the application of Cumulus (File No. BMPH-20100805AKO) for a channel upgrade and change of community of interest for Station KNRQ-FM, from Channel 250C2 at Tualatin, Oregon, to Channel 250C1 at Aloha, Oregon. Finally, as proposed by Cumulus in its application, the Audio Division required Station KCYS(FM), Seaside, Oregon, to change from Channel 251A to Channel 243A. See Supplementary Information *infra*.

**DATES:** Effective September 9, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Andrew Rhodes or Deborah Dupont, Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MB Docket No. 10-118, adopted July 18, 2011, and released July 19, 2011. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. The complete text of this decision also may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, (800) 378-3160, or via the company's Web site, <http://www.bcpweb.com>. This document does not contain proposed information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any proposed information collection burden "for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees," pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107-198, see 44 U.S.C. 3506 (c)(4). The Commission will send a copy of this Report and Order in a report to be sent to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, see U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A).

**List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73**

Radio, Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

**James D. Bradshaw,***Deputy Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.***Rule Changes**

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission amends 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

**PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, 336, and 339.

**§ 73.202 [Amended]**

■ 2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Oregon, is amended by adding Gearhart, Channel 227A, by removing Channel \*251C1 at Madras, by adding Channel \*243C1 at Madras, and by adding Manzanita, Channel 248C3.

[FR Doc. 2011–20340 Filed 8–9–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712–01–P**

**OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET****Office of Federal Procurement Policy****48 CFR Part 9903****Cost Accounting Standards: Elimination of the Exemption From Cost Accounting Standards for Contracts and Subcontracts Executed and Performed Entirely Outside the United States, Its Territories, and Possessions**

**AGENCY:** Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP), Cost Accounting Standards Board (Board).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP), Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) Board, is publishing a final rule to eliminate the exemption from regulations regarding Cost Accounting Standards for contracts executed and performed entirely outside the United States, its territories, and possessions.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 11, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Raymond J. M. Wong, Director, Cost Accounting Standards Board (*telephone:* 202–395–6805; *e-mail:* [Raymond\\_wong@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Raymond_wong@omb.eop.gov)).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****A. Regulatory Process—Changes to 48 CFR Part 9903**

The CAS Board's regulations and Standards are codified at 48 CFR chapter 99. This notice concerns the amendment of a CAS Board regulation other than a Standard, and as such is not subject to the statutorily prescribed rulemaking process for the promulgation of a Standard at 41 U.S.C. 1502(c) [formerly, 41 U.S.C. 422(g)]. The document being published today is a Final Rule.

**B. Background and Summary**

The Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP), Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) Board, is publishing a final rule to eliminate the exemption at 48 CFR 9903.201–1(b)(14) from the Cost Accounting Standards for contracts executed and performed entirely outside the United States, its territories, and possessions (hereafter referred to as the “(b)(14) overseas exemption”).

The CAS Board is publishing a final rule which eliminates the (b)(14) overseas exemption from CAS for contracts and subcontracts executed and performed entirely outside the United States, its territories, and possessions.

**Statutory Requirement**

Section 823(a) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (NDAA FY 2009) required the CAS Board to: “(1) Review the inapplicability of the cost accounting standards, in accordance with existing exemptions, to any contract and subcontract that is executed and performed outside the United States when such a contract or subcontract is performed by a contractor that, but for the fact that the contract or subcontract is being executed and performed entirely outside the United States, would be required to comply with such standards; and (2) determine whether the application of the standards to such a contract and subcontract (or any category of such contracts and subcontracts) would benefit the Government.” Section 823 further required the CAS Board to publish a request for information and to submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report containing: (1) Any proposed revision to the CAS regulations as a result of the review and a copy of any proposed rulemaking implementing the revision or (2) if no revision and rulemaking are proposed, a detailed justification for such decision.

**History of the (b)(14) Overseas Exemption**

The (b)(14) overseas exemption was first promulgated in 1973 at Section 3–

1204 of the Armed Services Procurement Regulation (ASPR). See Defense Procurement Circular No. 115 (dated September 24, 1973). The reason given for promulgation of the (b)(14) overseas exemption was that the underlying authority for CAS, Section 2168 of the Defense Production Act (DPA), was applicable to the United States, its Territories and possessions, and the District of Columbia (Section 2163 of the DPA). The (b)(14) overseas exemption was intended to eliminate confusion that had existed at that time over the applicability of CAS outside the United States.

In 1980, the CAS Board ceased to exist under the DPA. Congress reestablished the CAS Board in 1988 under Section 22 of the OFPP Act, 41 U.S.C. 1501 [formerly, 41 U.S.C. 422]. Unlike the DPA, under the OFPP Act, CAS is not limited in applicability to the United States. However, in 1991, the CAS Board, after reviewing the rules and regulations applicable to the administration of CAS, opted to retain the (b)(14) overseas exemption.

The CAS Board later sought to reevaluate the (b)(14) overseas exemption. On September 13, 2005, the CAS Board published a notice seeking comment on the Staff Discussion Paper (SDP) discussing the appropriateness of continuing the exemption (70 FR 53977). Only three public comments were received, all of which supported retaining the exemption. The CAS Board took no further action at that time and published a notice discontinuing the review on February 13, 2008 (73 FR 8259).

In response to Section 823(a) of NDAA FY 2009, the CAS Board published on April 23, 2009, another notice requesting information on six general questions regarding the (b)(14) overseas exemption (74 FR 18491). In addition to this notice, the CAS Board requested assessments directly from three Federal agencies with significant volume of contracts performed outside of the United States—the Department of Defense (DOD), the Department of State (DOS) and the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). After reviewing the comments received from the notice and the assessments of the three Federal agencies, the CAS Board published a Notice of Proposed Rule (NPR) on October 20, 2010, proposing to eliminate the (b)(14) overseas exemption (75 FR 64684). A copy of the proposed rule was provided to the appropriate committees of Congress in accordance with Section 823.