*Comment Date:* 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Friday, August 19, 2011.

Take notice that the Commission received the following public utility holding company filings:

Docket Numbers: PH11–18–000. Applicants: Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc.

*Description:* Application of Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc.

Filed Date: 07/29/2011. Accession Number: 20110729–5097. Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time

on Friday, August 19, 2011.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. It is not necessary to separately intervene again in a subdocket related to a compliance filing if you have previously intervened in the same docket. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. In reference to filings initiating a new proceeding, interventions or protests submitted on or before the comment deadline need not be served on persons other than the Applicant.

As it relates to any qualifying facility filings, the notices of self-certification [or self-recertification] listed above, do not institute a proceeding regarding qualifying facility status. A notice of self-certification [or self-recertification] simply provides notification that the entity making the filing has determined the facility named in the notice meets the applicable criteria to be a qualifying facility. Intervention and/or protest do not lie in dockets that are qualifying facility self-certifications or selfrecertifications. Any person seeking to challenge such qualifying facility status may do so by filing a motion pursuant to 18 CFR 292.207(d)(iii). Intervention and protests may be filed in response to notices of qualifying facility dockets other than self-certifications and selfrecertifications.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at *http:// www.ferc.gov.* To facilitate electronic service, persons with Internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the eRegistration link. Select the eFiling link to log on and submit the intervention or protests.

Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the intervention or protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St., NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The filings in the above proceedings are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system by clicking on the appropriate link in the above list. They are also available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an eSubscription link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov.* or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Dated: August 1, 2011.

**Kimberly D. Bose,** *Secretary.* [FR Doc. 2011–19936 Filed 8–4–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

# Notice of Effectiveness of Exempt Wholesale Generator Status

Docket Nos.
EG11-80-000
EG11-81-000
EG11-82-000
EG11-83-000
EG11-84-000
EG11-85-000
EG11-86-000
EG11-87-000
EG11-88-000
FC11-6-000

Take notice that during the month July 2011, the status of the abovecaptioned entities as Exempt Wholesale Generators or Foreign Utility Companies became effective by operation of the Commission's regulations. 18 CFR 366.7(a). Dated: August 1, 2011. **Kimberly D. Bose,**  *Secretary.* [FR Doc. 2011–19938 Filed 8–4–11; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6717–01–P** 

# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[FERC Docket No. PF09-11-000]

### TransCanada Alaska Company, LLC; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Planned Alaska Pipeline Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the planned Alaska Pipeline Project (APP). The project under review is a new natural gas pipeline system that would transport natural gas produced on the Alaska North Slope (ANS) to the Alaska-Canada border for onward delivery to markets in North America. The APP is being advanced jointly by TransCanada Alaska Company, LLC and ExxonMobil Alaska Midstream Gas Investments, LLC ("project proponent"). This EIS will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process regarding issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (Certificate) under the provisions of section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and the Alaska Natural Gas Pipeline Act of 2004 (ANGPA).<sup>1</sup>

This notice explains the scoping process that the Commission will use to gather comments from the public and interested agencies on the planned project. Your input will help the Commission staff determine the issues that need to be evaluated in the EIS and help to focus the analysis on potentially significant environmental issues. Because of the magnitude of the proposal, the scoping period will remain open for an extended period, closing on February 27, 2012. This is not your only public input opportunity; please refer to the Environmental Review Process flow chart in Attachment 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The project proponent is also considering an alternative proposal to build a natural gas pipeline to Valdez, Alaska for delivery into a liquefied natural gas (LNG) plant for liquefaction and export to global LNG markets. Because the Commission has received very little information on the LNG plant and the associated pipeline, the Valdez proposal is not sufficiently developed for the FERC to include in the environmental review at this time.