

_streets_and_shared_use_paths_GD_2010.doc; “Shared Surface Street Design Research Project, The Issues: Report of Focus Groups” (2006) available at: http://community.stroud.gov.uk/_documents/23_Shared_Surface_Street_Design_Research_Project.pdf; and “Shared Surface Street Design: Report of Focus Groups Held in Holland” (2006) available at: http://www.alanhunt.co/pdf/Report_of_Holland_Focus_Groups.pdf.”

2. On page 44683, column 2, footnote 46 is corrected to read as follows:

“46. “Shared Space Delineators, Are They Detectable?” (2010) available at: <http://theihe.org/knowledge-network/uploads/Shared%20Space%20Delineators%20TfL%20Report.pdf>. See also “Testing Proposed Delineators to Demarcate Pedestrian Paths in a Shared Space Environment, Report of Design Trials Conducted at University College London” (2008) available at: <http://www.homezones.org.uk/public/downloads/news/Exec%20Summary%20&%20Full%20Report%20of%20design%20trials%20at%20UCL%20PAMELA%20108.pdf>.”

Corrections to Appendix to Part 1190—Accessibility Guidelines for Pedestrian Facilities in the Public Right-of-Way

1. On page 44689, column 2, in Advisory R204.2 Sidewalks, line 13, the reference to “R309.6” is corrected to “R309.5.”

2. On page 44690, column 2, in R209.1 General, line 8, the reference to “R406” is corrected to “R403.”

3. On page 44691, column 3, in Advisory R302.3 Continuous Width, line 10, the reference to “R407.5” is corrected to “R407.4.”

4. On page 44692, column 1, in Advisory R302.6 Cross Slope, the sentence “Cross slope requirements are contained in R304.2.3 for perpendicular curb ramps, in R304.3.3 for parallel curb ramps, in R304.4.2 for blended transitions, and in R407.3 for ramps” is corrected to read “Cross slope requirements are contained in R304.5.3 for curb ramps and blended transitions, and in R407.3 for ramps.”

5. On page 44693, column 3, in R306.2 Pedestrian Signal Phase Timing, line 4, the reference to “R104.2.4” is corrected to “R104.2.”

6. On page 44695, column 3, in Advisory R403.1 General, line 5, the reference to “R309.6” is corrected to “R309.5.”

7. On page 44695, column 3, in R403.3 Height, line 3, the reference to “R405” is corrected to “R406.”

8. On page 44695, column 3, in Advisory R404.1 General, line 6, the reference to “R309.6” is corrected to “R309.5.”

9. On page 44696, column 1, in R405.2.1 General, line 5, the reference to “R404.2” is corrected to “R405.2.”

10. On page 44696, column 2, in R407.6 Landings, line 4, the reference to “R407.7” is corrected to “R407.6.”

11. On page 44696, column 3, in Advisory R409.1 General, line 8, the reference to “R216” is corrected to “R217.”

David Capozzi,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 2011-19224 Filed 7-28-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8150-01-P

POSTAL SERVICE

39 CFR Part 111

Changes to Move Update Standards

AGENCY: Postal Service™.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service published in the **Federal Register** of July 12, 2011, a proposed rule pertaining to changes in Move Update standards, which established the comment period through August 11, 2011. This document extends the comment period.

DATES: The comment period is extended through August 31, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Mail or deliver written comments to the Manager, Product Classification, U.S. Postal Service®, 475 L’Enfant Plaza, SW., Room 4446, Washington, DC 20260-5015. You may inspect and photocopy all written comments at USPS® Headquarters Library, 475 L’Enfant Plaza, SW., 11th Floor North, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. E-mail comments, containing the name and address of the commenter, may be sent to:

MailingStandards@usps.gov, with a subject line of “Move Update.” Faxed comments are not accepted.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jim Wilson at 901-681-4600 or Bill Chatfield at 202-268-7278.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Postal Service published changes to a proposed rule in the **Federal Register** on July 12, 2011 (76 FR 40844), adding new sections 602.5.0 and 602.6.0 to the *Domestic Mail Manual* (DMM®) and revising the Move Update standards regarding change of address orders, by including in the revised standards change of address notices filed by postal employees. The proposed rule also deleted multiple sections throughout the DMM to centralize Move Update and ZIP Code™ accuracy standards

under section 602. That proposed rule established August 11, 2011, as the deadline for receiving comments. This document extends the comment period through August 31, 2011.

Stanley F. Mires,

Chief Counsel, Legislative.

[FR Doc. 2011-19177 Filed 7-28-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R03-OAR-2011-0419; FRL-9445-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Determinations of Attainment of the 1997 Fine Particle Standard for the Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle, Johnstown, Lancaster, York, and Reading Nonattainment Areas

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to determine that the Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle (Harrisburg), Johnstown, Lancaster, York, and Reading fine particle (PM_{2.5}) nonattainment areas (the Areas) in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania have attained the 1997 annual PM_{2.5} National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) by the applicable attainment date of April 5, 2010. These proposed determinations are based upon complete, quality assured, and certified ambient air monitoring data that show these Areas have monitored attainment of the 1997 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS for the 2007-2009 monitoring period. These determinations are being taken in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA).

In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is making these determinations of attainment as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views these as noncontroversial actions and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the determinations is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to these actions, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rules will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in subsequent final rules based on these proposed rules. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties