Frequency of Collection: The BLM collects the information on occasion. Responses are required in order to obtain or retain a benefit.

Estimated Number and Description of Respondents: Each year, an estimated

440 businesses submit applications to purchase or use mineral materials from public lands.

Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping "Hour" Burden: 2,540 responses and 11,635 hours annually. The following table details the individual components and respective hour burdens of this information collection request:

A. Type of response	B. Number of responses	C. Time per response	D. Total hours (B × C)
Pre-Application Sampling and Testing, 43 CFR 3601.30	30 94 346 200 110 440 440 440	30 minutes 30 minutes 20 minutes 21 hours 30 minutes 30 minutes 12 hours 6 hours	15 47 173 400 2,640 220 220 5,280 2,640
Totals	2,540		11,635

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping "Non-Hour Cost" Burden: \$104,340.

60-Day Notice: As required at 5 CFR 1320.8(d), the BLM published a 60-day notice in the Federal Register on March 28, 2011 (76 FR 17149), soliciting comments from the public and other interested parties. The comment period closed on May 27, 2011. The BLM received one comment. The comment was a general invective about the Federal government, the Department of the Interior, and the BLM. It did not address, and was not germane to, this information collection. Therefore, the BLM has not changed the information collection in response to the comment.

Jean Sonneman,

Bureau of Land Management, Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2011–18514 Filed 7–21–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-84-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[LLWYL03000 L51010000.FX0000 LVRWK09K1030; WYW-167155]

Notice of Availability of the Draft Resource Management Plan Amendment, Draft Environmental Impact Statement, and Segregation of Public Lands for the Proposed Chokecherry and Sierra Madre Wind Farm Project; Wyoming

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Availability.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA), and the

Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, as amended, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has prepared a Draft Resource Management Plan (RMP) Amendment/Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Proposed Chokecherry and Sierra Madre (CCSM) Wind Farm Project and by this notice is (1) Opening the comment period; and (2) Segregating 107,175 acres of public lands located within the CCMS Right-of-Way (ROW) application area from appropriation under the public land laws including the 1872 Mining Law, but not the Mineral Leasing or Mineral Material Acts, for a period of 2 years from the date of publication of this notice.

DATES: To ensure that comments will be considered, the BLM must receive written comments on the Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS within 90 days following the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes its Notice of Availability in the Federal Register. The BLM will announce future meetings or hearings and any other public involvement activities at least 15 days in advance through public notices, media releases, and/or mailings.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments related to the Chokecherry and Sierra Madre Wind Farm Project by any of the following methods:

- E-mail: WYMail_PCW_Windfarm@blm.gov;
 - *Fax:* 307–328–4224; or
- Mail/Hand Delivery: Bureau of Land Management, Chokecherry and Sierra Madre Wind Farm Project, Attention: Pamela Murdock, Project Manager, P.O. Box 2407, 1300 N. Third Street, Rawlins, Wyoming 82301.

Copies of the Draft RMP Amendment/ Draft EIS are available for review in the BLM Rawlins Field Office at the above address or at the following Web site: http://www.blm.gov/pgdata/content/wy/en/info/NEPA/documents/rfo/ Chokecherry.html.

The Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS is also available for review during normal business hours at the following locations:

- Bureau of Land Management Wyoming State Office, 5353 Yellowstone Road, Cheyenne, Wyoming.
- Bureau of Land Management High Desert District Office, 280 Highway 191 N., Rocks Springs, Wyoming.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Pamela Murdock, Project Manager; 307–328–4215; P.O. Box 2407, 1300 N. Third Street, Rawlins, Wyoming 82301; e-mail: WYMail_PCW_Windfarm@blm.gov. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or questions for the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The BLM proposes to amend the 2008 Rawlins RMP for visual resources management (VRM) class designations. The Power Company of Wyoming, LLC (PCW) proposes to construct and operate a wind energy project south of Rawlins in Carbon County, Wyoming. The proposed project consists of 2 areas located approximately 9 miles apart within the Wind Site Testing and Monitoring Application Area—the Chokecherry site and the Sierra Madre site (CCSM)—totaling 222,689 acres of Federal, private, and State lands. Only a portion of the total land area would be

used for, or disturbed by, the project. The project proposal includes 1,000 wind turbine generators (WTG) and associated infrastructure, each capable of producing 1.5 to 3 megawatts (MW) with a total nameplate capacity of 1,500 to 3,000 MW of electrical power.

Public lands within the CCSM project area would be segregated under the authority contained in 43 CFR 2091.3-1(e) and 43 CFR 2804.25(e) for a period of 2 years, in order to process the ROW application filed on the described lands; this 2-year segregation period will commence on July 22, 2011. It has been determined that this segregation is necessary for the orderly administration of the public lands.

The temporary segregation period will terminate and the lands will automatically re-open to appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws, if one of the following events occurs: (1) Upon the BLM's issuance of a decision regarding whether to issue a ROW authorization for the wind energy generation proposal; (2) Upon publication in the Federal **Register** of a notice of termination of the segregation; or (3) Without further administrative action at the end of the segregation provided for in the Federal Register notice initiating the segregation, whichever occurs first. Any segregation made under this authority would be effective only for a period of up to 2 years, without the possibility of extension.

In accordance with 43 CFR 2091.3-1(e) and 2804.25(e), the following described public lands within the proposed project area are hereby segregated for a period of up to 2 years, subject to valid existing rights, from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including location and entry under the United States mining laws, but not from leasing under the mineral leasing laws or disposal under the mineral material laws:

Sixth Principal Meridian

T. 16 N., R. 87 W.,

Sec. 5, lots 4, 5, 6, 11, and 12, $N^{1/2}$; $SW^{1/4}$, and SW1/4; SW1/4; sec. 6, lots 1 to 21, inclusive, and SE1/4; sec. 7, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, lots 9 and 10, and NW1/4 NE1/4; sec. 18, lots 3, 4, and 9.

T. 16 N., R. 88 W.,

Sec. 1, lots 11 to 23, inclusive;

Sec. 2, lots 11 to 27, inclusive;

Sec. 3, SW1/4SW1/4 and tract 38 A, B, C; Sec. 4, lots 11 to 22, inclusive, and $S^{1/2}$;

Sec. 5, lots 11 to 22, inclusive, and S1/2;

Sec. 6, lots 14 to 28, inclusive, and SE1/4;

Sec. 9:

Sec. 10, lots 1 and 2, NE1/4NE1/4, NW¹/₄NW¹/₄, S¹/₂N¹/₂, and S¹/₂;

Sec. 11, lots 1 to 6, inclusive, S¹/₂NW¹/₄, and SW1/4;

Sec. 12, lots 1 and 2.

T. 17 N., R. 87 W.,

Sec. 4, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, SW1/4NE1/4, S¹/₂NW¹/₄, SW¹/₄, and W¹/₂SE¹/₄;

Sec. 5, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, S1/2N1/2, and

Sec. 6, lots 1 to 7, inclusive, S½NE¼, SE¹/₄NW¹/₄, E¹/₂SW¹/₄, and SE¹/₄:

Sec. 7, lots 2 to 4, inclusive, NE1/4, $E^{1/2}W^{1/2}$, $NE^{1/4}SE^{1/4}$, and $S^{1/2}SE^{1/4}$;

Sec. 8:

Sec. 9, $W^{1/2}E^{1/2}$ and $W^{1/2}$:

Sec. 17;

Sec. 18, lots 5 to 19, inclusive;

Sec. 19, lots 7 and 8;

Sec. 20, E1/2 and S1/2SW1/4;

Sec. 29, NW¹/₄ (less SE¹/₄SE¹/₄SE¹/₄NW¹/₄), $NW^{1}\!/_{\!4}SW^{1}\!/_{\!4},\,S^{1}\!/_{\!2}SW^{1}\!/_{\!4},\,and\,\,SE^{1}\!/_{\!4};$

Sec. 31, lots 2, 3, and 4, NE1/4NE1/4, S1/2NE1/4, SE1/4NW1/4, E1/2SW1/4, and SE1/4;

Sec. 32.

T. 17 N., R. 88 W.,

Sec. 1, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, S1/2N1/2, and $N^{1/2}S^{1/2}$;

Sec. 3, S¹/₂N¹/₂:

Sec. 4, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $S^{1/2}N^{1/2}$, and

Sec. 5, $S^{1/2}N^{1/2}$ and $S^{1/2}$;

Sec. 6, lots 5 to 10, inclusive, $S^{1/2}NE^{1/4}$, SE1/4SW1/4, N1/2SE1/4, and SE1/4SE1/4;

Sec. 7, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, SW1/4NE1/4, $E^{1/2}W^{1/2}$, $W^{1/2}SE^{1/4}$, and $SE^{1/4}SE^{1/4}$;

Sec. 8, N¹/₂, E¹/₂SW¹/₄, and SE¹/₄; Secs. 9 and 10:

Sec. 11, W1/2NW1/4;

Sec. 12, NE1/4, E1/2NW1/4, SW1/4, W1/2SE1/4, and SE1/4SE1/4;

Sec. 13, N¹/₂, N¹/₂S¹/₂, and SE¹/₄SE¹/₄;

Sec. 14, NW1/4NE1/4, S1/2NE1/4, NW1/4, and $N^{1/2}S^{1/2}$:

Sec. 15, NE1/4 and N1/2SE1/4;

Sec. 17:

Sec. 18, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E1/2, and E¹/₂W¹/₂;

Sec. 19, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E1/2, and E1/2W1/2;

Sec. 20, W¹/₂E¹/₂ and W¹/₂;

Sec. 28, W¹/₂;

Sec. 29;

Sec. 30, lots 1, 2, and 3, NE¹/₄, E¹/₂NW¹/₄, $NE^{1}/4SW^{1}/4$, $N^{1}/2SE^{1}/4$, and $SE^{1}/4SE^{1}/4$;

Sec. 31, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, NE1/4NE1/4, S¹/₂NE¹/₄, E¹/₂W¹/₂, and SE¹/₄;

Sec. 32;

Sec. 33, NW¹/₄ and S¹/₂;

Sec. 34. NE1/4 and S1/2:

Sec. 36, E½SE¼. T. 17 N., R. 89 W.,

Sec. 1, lots 5 to 17, inclusive;

Sec. 2, lots 3 to 14, inclusive;

Sec. 3, lots 5 to 9, inclusive, and S½SE¼;

Sec. 4, lots 3 to 18, inclusive;

Sec. 6, lots 8 to 23, inclusive;

Sec. 11, N¹/₂;

Sec. 12, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, and W½;

Sec. 13, lots 1 to 4 inclusive, and NW1/4.

T. 18 N., R. 85 W.,

Sec. 18, lots 1 thru 4, inclusive, E1/2 and E1/2W1/2:

Sec. 20;

Sec. 30, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E1/2 and E1/2W1/2.

T. 18 N., R. 86 W.,

Secs. 14 and 16;

Sec. 18, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E1/2 and E½W½;

Secs. 20 and 22:

Sec. 24, lots 1 to 16, inclusive;

Secs. 26 and 28;

Sec. 30, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E1/2 and E1/2W1/2.

T. 18 N., R. 87 W.,

Sec. 2, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, S1/2N1/2, and S1/2:

Sec. 4, lots 5 to 20, inclusive;

Sec. 6, lots 8 to 23 inclusive;

Secs. 8, 10, 12, 14, and 16;

Sec. 18, lots 5 to 20, inclusive;

Secs. 20, 22, 24, 26, and 28;

Sec. 30, lots 5 to 20, inclusive;

Sec. 31, lot 4, SE1/4SW1/4, and S1/2SE1/4;

Sec. 32, SW1/4SE1/4;

Sec. 33, SW1/4NE1/4, S1/2NW1/4, S1/2NW1/4, and S1/2;

Sec. 34, N¹/₂, N¹/₂SW¹/₄, and SE¹/₄.

T. 18 N., R. 88 W.,

Sec. 2, lots 1 and 2, S1/2N1/2, and S1/2;

Sec. 4, lot 4, SW1/4NW1/4, and W1/2SW1/4; Sec. 8, NE $^{1}/_{4}$, W $^{1}/_{2}$, NE $^{1}/_{4}$ SE $^{1}/_{4}$, and

S1/2SE1/4;

Sec. 10, W¹/₂;

Secs. 12 and 14;

Sec. 18, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E1/2, and E1/2W1/2;

Sec. 20;

Sec. 22, N¹/₂NE¹/₄, SE¹/₄NE¹/₄, NE¹/₄NW¹/₄, and S1/2;

Sec. 26, E1/2, NW1/4NW1/4, S1/2NW1/4, and SW1/4;

Sec. 30, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $E^{1/2}$, and E1/2W1/2;

Sec. 32;

Sec. 34, N1/2 and SW1/4;

Sec. 35, S¹/₂SE¹/₄;

Sec. 36.

T. 18 N., R. 89 W.,

Sec. 10;

Sec. 12, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, and W1/2;

Secs. 14, 20, and 22;

Sec. 24, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, and W1/2; Secs. 26 and 28;

Sec. 32, lots 1 to 12, inclusive, N¹/₂NE¹/₄, and N¹/₂SE¹/₄;

Sec. 34, lots 1 to 16, inclusive;

Sec. 35, S1/2SE1/4.

T. 19 N., R. 85 W.,

Sec. 4, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, S1/2N1/2, and

Sec. 6, lots 1 to 7, inclusive, S½NE¼, $SE^{1/4}NW^{1/4}$, $E^{1/2}SW^{1/4}$, and $SE^{1/4}$;

Sec. 8:

Sec. 10, NW1/4NE1/4, S1/2NE1/4, NW1/4, and $S^{1/2}$:

Sec. 18, lots 1 thru 4, inclusive, E1/2, and E½W½.

T. 19 N., R. 86 W.,

Sec. 2, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, S1/2N1/2, and

Sec. 4, lots 5 to 20, inclusive;

Sec. 6, lots 8 to 23, inclusive;

Secs. 8, 10, and 12;

Sec. 14, W¹/₂E¹/₂, and W¹/₂;

Sec. 16:

Sec. 18, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E½, and E1/2W1/2;

Secs. 20, 22, and 24.

T. 19 N., R. 87 W.,

Sec. 2, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $S^{1/2}N^{1/2}$ and

Sec. 4, lots 1 and 2, S¹/₂N¹/₂, and S¹/₂;

Sec. 6, lots 1 to 7, inclusive, S½NE¼, SE1/4NW1/4, E1/2SW1/4, and SE1/4;

Secs. 8, 10, and 12; T. 19 N., R. 88 W., Sec. 12. T. 20 N., R. 85 W., Sec. 4, lots 1 to 10, inclusive, S¹/₂S¹/₂; Sec. 6, lots 1 to 3, inclusive, lots 8 to 16, inclusive, and S½SE¼: Secs. 8, 10, 14, and 16; Sec. 18, lots 5 to 12, inclusive, and $E^{1/2}$: Secs. 20, 22, 24, 26, and 28; Sec. 30, lots 5 to 10, inclusive, E1/2, and E1/2SW1/4: Secs. 32 and 34. T. 20 N., R. 86 W., Sec. 2, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, and S¹/₂; Sec. 4, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, and $S^{1/2}$; Sec. 6, lots 1 to 6, inclusive, $E^{1/2}SW^{1/4}$, and Secs. 8, 10, 12, 14, and 16; Sec. 18, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E1/2, and $E^{1/2}W^{1/2}$; Secs. 20, 22, 24, 26, and 28; Sec. 30, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E1/2, and E1/2W1/2: Secs. 32, 34, and 36. T. 20 N., R. 87 W., Sec. 2, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, and SW1/4; Sec. 4, lots 5 to 11, inclusive; Sec. 6, lots 1 to 6, inclusive, E1/2SW1/4, and SE1/4; Secs. 8 and 10: Sec. 12, lots 1 to 16, inclusive; Sec. 14; Sec. 18 lots 1 to 4, inclusive, and $E^{1/2}E^{1/2}W^{1/2}$; Secs. 20 and 22: Sec. 24 lots 1 to 16, inclusive; Sec. 26, E¹/₂, E¹/₂W¹/₂, NW¹/₄NW¹/₄, and SW1/4SW1/4: Sec. 28: Sec. 30, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, E1/2, and $E^{1/2}W^{1/2}$; Secs. 32 and 34. T. 20 N., R. 88 W., Secs. 12 and 24. T. 21 N., R. 86 W., Sec. 24, S¹/₂ and S¹/₂N¹/₂; Sec. 26: Sec. 30, lots 1 to 4, inclusive, $E^{1/2}$, and $E^{1/2}W^{1/2}$; Secs. 32 and 34;

The BLM Rawlins Field Office has been designated as the lead Federal agency for the CCSM Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS. Cooperating agencies include the U.S. Forest Service, the State of Wyoming, the Saratoga-Encampment-Rawlins Conservation District, the Little Snake River Conservation District, Medicine Bow Conservation District, Carbon County, and the City of Rawlins.

The areas described aggregate

County, Wyoming

approximately 107,175 acres in Carbon

Scoping took place from July 18, 2008, to September 23, 2008, and involved 4 public meetings held in Saratoga, Rawlins (2), and Baggs, Wyoming. Public and cooperating agency concerns include potential impacts to sensitive species and their habitats, cultural resources, visual

resources, public access, project phasing, and reclamation. Through internal and external scoping, the BLM identified the following resources as issues of concern that are analyzed in detail: Avian species; fish and wildlife, including special status and threatened or endangered species; cultural resources; visual resources; grazing and rangelands; reclamation; water resources; air quality; public access; and recreation. For analysis purposes, the document has been arranged into 2 volumes dealing with the RMP Amendment decision and the CCSM project decision.

Volume I of the Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS describes and analyzes VRM planning alternatives for the public lands administered by the BLM Rawlins Field Office within the planning area. The proposed project is located in an area currently managed as VRM classes II and III. With the exception of VRM classes, wind energy development within and adjacent to the CCSM project area conforms to the Rawlins RMP. The Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS planning area includes and extends 30 miles beyond the CCSM project area boundary, comprising approximately 3.6 million acres in Carbon County in south central Wyoming. Within this area, the BLM administers approximately 1.3 million acres of public land surface and Federal mineral estate. The BLM administers an additional 100,000 acres of mineral estate under State and privately owned surface. The BLM decisions would apply only to public lands and to the Federal mineral estate administered by the BLM.

The BLM invites public comment on the proposed planning amendment. Comments may be sent to the BLM Rawlins Field Office at the address above. The RMP Amendment will concentrate on 8 specific issues identified through public scoping for the 2008 Rawlins RMP.

Issue 1: Energy Development—The planning amendment addresses energy resource decision (i.e., oil and gas, coal, solar, wind energy and transportation network) conflicts with the proposed VRM planning amendments.

Issue 2: Special Management
Designations—The planning
amendment addresses Special
Designations/Management Areas
decision conflicts with the proposed
VRM planning amendment decisions.
No Rawlins RMP decisions for the Sand
Hills/JO Ranch Area of Critical
Environmental Concern would change
as a result of the proposed VRM
planning amendment.

Issue 3: Resource Accessibility—The planning amendment addresses public accessibility and constraints of VRM Class designations in the checkerboard or other intermixed landownership patterns.

Issue 4: Fire Management Wildland-Urban Interface—New demands are being placed on public lands because of growth in and around some cities, towns, rural developments and subdivisions in the planning area. Wildland-urban interface decisions may be affected by VRM amendments.

Issue 5: Special Status Species Management—VRM class designations may influence maintenance or sustainability of special status species.

Issue 6: Water Quality—VRM class designations may influence maintenance or attainment of water quality standards.

Issue 7: Vegetation Management—Due to conflicting demands for consumptive and non-consumptive uses of the vegetation resources in the planning area, the amendment will address the influence of VRM class designations on non-consumptive and consumptive uses.

Issue 8: Recreation, Cultural
Resources and Paleontological Resource
Management—The planning area
contains cultural and paleontological
resources and their associated setting, as
well as a variety of recreation
opportunities. VRM amendments may
influence these resources and
opportunities.

Four planning alternatives were analyzed in detail:

- 1. Alternative 1: Continue existing management direction (the No Action Alternative);
- 2. Alternative 2: Provide for development and use opportunities while minimizing adverse impacts to visual resources;
- 3. Alternative 3: Provide for compatible development and use while maintaining focus on greater conservation of visual resources; and
- 4. Alternative 4: Provide for development opportunities while protecting visual resources (the BLM Preferred Alternative).

Volume II of the Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS addresses the direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental impacts of constructing and operating the CCSM wind generation facility (proposed action). Alternatives to the proposed action were developed in response to issues and concerns raised during the NEPA scoping period. All alternatives conform with the preferred planning alternative identified in Volume I. Volume II of the EIS analyzes the direct, indirect and cumulative project impacts to determine whether the application area is suitable for development of the proposed project or for an alternative development strategy. The impact analysis is based on resource-specific assumptions, estimated project disturbance, and appropriate project-specific stipulations. The decision the BLM will make as a result of the analysis is whether to authorize, and under what terms and conditions, the development, operation, maintenance, and reclamation of a wind farm on public lands.

The No Action Alternative would deny PCW's request to develop wind energy on public lands and deny any request to provide access to private lands for wind development within the

application area.

Alternative 1R (the BLM preferred alternative) considers authorizing wind development in PCW's application area to accommodate 1,000 turbines. This alternative, a revision of PCW's original proposed action, was submitted by the applicant in response to issues raised during scoping. This alternative was developed in consideration of a comprehensive review of information pertaining to wildlife issues in the project area and would require amending the VRM decisions in the 2008 Rawlins RMP.

Alternative 2 considers authorizing wind development to accommodate 1,000 turbines in PCW's application area only north of T. 18 N. to keep development primarily within the checkerboard land ownership pattern. This alternative was developed in response to concerns regarding visual impacts to areas with high recreational values. More restrictive Greater Sagegrouse stipulations would apply to public lands than in the other alternatives. This alternative would require amending the VRM decisions in the 2008 Rawlins RMP.

Alternative 3 considers authorizing wind development to accommodate 1,000 turbines in the Chokecherry portion and only the area from the eastern half of T. 18 N., R. 88. W. to the east of the Sierra Madre portion of PCW's application area. All lands would be excluded south of T. 18. N. and the western half of T. 18. N., R. 88 W. This alternative was developed in response to concerns regarding existing VRM Class II areas as well as areas with greater wildlife concerns. This alternative would require amending the VRM decisions in the 2008 Rawlins RMP.

Alternative 4 considers no placement of WTGs on public lands within either the Chokecherry site or Sierra Madre site. This alternative, however,

considers that the BLM would provide ROW grants to PCW for the public lands that would allow PCW to develop wind energy facilities on the privately-held lands. The BLM would apply required restrictions and timing stipulations to public lands for requested access points. This alternative was developed in response to the overall concerns raised with developing a wind farm on public lands and the associated impacts. This alternative would not require amending the VRM decisions in the 2008 Rawlins RMP. Volume II considered 12 additional alternatives but eliminated them from detailed study. These alternatives did not meet the purpose and need of the proposed action, or were incorporated into alternatives analyzed in detail.

The purpose of this EIS is to provide the public and decision-makers with sufficient information to understand the environmental consequences of implementing the project. A recent inventory of wilderness characteristics determined that wilderness characteristics are not present. If the analysis results in the decision to approve wind energy development, PCW may submit up to four Plans of Development (POD) for separate aspects of the project including: Turbine siting in the Chokeberry development area, turbine siting in the Sierra Madre development area, haul road development throughout the project area, and transmission lines. The sitespecific PODs would be tiered to the analysis and decisions in the EIS and ROD for the CCSM wind farm project. Site-specific impacts associated with the siting/location of individual project components not analyzed in the EIS would be evaluated in subsequent NEPA analyses based on site-specific proposals within any selected alternative boundary. ROW grants for these PODs, if issued, will include sitespecific terms and conditions analyzed either in the POD NEPA documents or in the CCSM project EIS. Following the public comment period, the BLM will prepare a proposed RMP Amendment/ CCSM Final EIS. The BLM will respond to each substantive comment by making appropriate revisions to the document or by explaining why a comment did not warrant a change.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you may ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we

cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority: 40 CFR 1506.6 and 1506.10.

Donald A. Simpson,

State Director.

[FR Doc. 2011–18274 Filed 7–21–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[LLNVC0100000 L91310000.EJ0000.LXSIGEOT0000 241A; NVN 087795; 11–08807; MO# 4500021655; TAS: 14X5575]

Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Salt Wells Energy Projects, Churchill County, NV

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Availability.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has prepared a Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Salt Wells Energy Projects and by this notice is announcing its availability.

DATES: The BLM will not issue a final decision on the proposal for at least 30 days after the date that the Environmental Protection Agency publishes its Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Salt Wells Energy Projects Final EIS are available in the BLM Carson City District, Stillwater Field Office at 5665 Morgan Mill Road, Carson City, Nevada 89701. The Final EIS is also available online at:http://www.blm.gov/nv/st/en/fo/carson city field.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Colleen Sievers, (775) 885–6000, or *e-mail: saltwells_eis@blm.gov*. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 to contact the above individual during business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The BLM Stillwater Field Office received separate proposed geothermal utilization plans and applications for facilities construction permits from Vulcan Power Company (Vulcan) and Ormat