

Administrative Judge, or if he or she is unavailable, another administrative judge, or an administrative law judge with jurisdiction pursuant to 10 CFR 2.318(a); or (c) if another officer has been designated to rule on information access issues, with that officer.

H. *Review of Grants of Access.* A party other than the requester may challenge an NRC staff determination granting access to SUNSI whose release would harm that party's interest independent of the proceeding. Such a challenge must be filed with the Chief Administrative Judge within 5 days of

the notification by the NRC staff of its grant of access.

If challenges to the NRC staff determinations are filed, these procedures give way to the normal process for litigating disputes concerning access to information. The availability of interlocutory review by the Commission of orders ruling on such NRC staff determinations (whether granting or denying access) is governed by 10 CFR 2.311.³

I. The Commission expects that the NRC staff and presiding officers (and any other reviewing officers) will consider and resolve requests for access to SUNSI, and motions for protective

orders, in a timely fashion in order to minimize any unnecessary delays in identifying those petitioners who have standing and who have propounded contentions meeting the specificity and basis requirements in 10 CFR part 2. Attachment 1 to this Order summarizes the general target schedule for processing and resolving requests under these procedures.

It is so ordered.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 15th day of July, 2011.

For the Commission.

Annette L. Vietti-Cook,
Secretary of the Commission.

ATTACHMENT 1—GENERAL TARGET SCHEDULE FOR PROCESSING AND RESOLVING REQUESTS FOR ACCESS TO SENSITIVE UNCLASSIFIED NON-SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION IN THIS PROCEEDING

Day	Event/activity
0	Publication of Federal Register notice of hearing and opportunity to petition for leave to intervene, including order with instructions for access requests.
10	Deadline for submitting requests for access to Sensitive Unclassified Non-Safeguards Information (SUNSI) with information: supporting the standing of a potential party identified by name and address; describing the need for the information in order for the potential party to participate meaningfully in an adjudicatory proceeding.
60	Deadline for submitting petition for intervention containing: (i) Demonstration of standing; (ii) all contentions whose formulation does not require access to SUNSI (+25 Answers to petition for intervention; +7 petitioner/requestor reply).
20	Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff informs the requester of the staff's determination whether the request for access provides a reasonable basis to believe standing can be established and shows need for SUNSI. (NRC staff also informs any party to the proceeding whose interest independent of the proceeding would be harmed by the release of the information.) If NRC staff makes the finding of need for SUNSI and likelihood of standing, NRC staff begins document processing (preparation of redactions or review of redacted documents).
25	If NRC staff finds no "need" or no likelihood of standing, the deadline for petitioner/requester to file a motion seeking a ruling to reverse the NRC staff's denial of access; NRC staff files copy of access determination with the presiding officer (or Chief Administrative Judge or other designated officer, as appropriate). If NRC staff finds "need" for SUNSI, the deadline for any party to the proceeding whose interest independent of the proceeding would be harmed by the release of the information to file a motion seeking a ruling to reverse the NRC staff's grant of access.
30	Deadline for NRC staff reply to motions to reverse NRC staff determination(s).
40	(Receipt +30) If NRC staff finds standing and need for SUNSI, deadline for NRC staff to complete information processing and file motion for Protective Order and draft Non-Disclosure Affidavit. Deadline for applicant/licensee to file Non-Disclosure Agreement for SUNSI.
A	If access granted: Issuance of presiding officer or other designated officer decision on motion for protective order for access to sensitive information (including schedule for providing access and submission of contentions) or decision reversing a final adverse determination by the NRC staff.
A + 3	Deadline for filing executed Non-Disclosure Affidavits. Access provided to SUNSI consistent with decision issuing the protective order.
A + 28	Deadline for submission of contentions whose development depends upon access to SUNSI. However, if more than 25 days remain between the petitioner's receipt of (or access to) the information and the deadline for filing all other contentions (as established in the notice of hearing or opportunity for hearing), the petitioner may file its SUNSI contentions by that later deadline.
A + 53	(Contention receipt +25) Answers to contentions whose development depends upon access to SUNSI.
A + 60	(Answer receipt +7) Petitioner/Intervenor reply to answers.
>A + 60	Decision on contention admission.

[FR Doc. 2011-18386 Filed 7-20-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL SERVICE

Board of Governors; Sunshine Act Meeting

DATES AND TIMES: Thursday, August 4, 2011, at 10 a.m.; and Friday, August 5, at 8:30 a.m. and 10:30 a.m.

PLACE: Washington, DC, at U.S. Postal Service Headquarters, 475 L'Enfant

Plaza, S.W., in the Benjamin Franklin Room.

STATUS: Thursday, August 4 at 10 a.m.—Closed; Friday, August 5, at 8:30 a.m.—Open; and at 10:30 a.m.—Closed

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Thursday, August 4 at 10 a.m. (Closed)

1. Strategic Issues.
2. Financial Matters.

³Requesters should note that the filing requirements of the NRC's E-Filing Rule (72 FR 49139; August 28, 2007) apply to appeals of NRC

staff determinations (because they must be served on a presiding officer or the Commission, as

applicable), but not to the initial SUNSI request submitted to the NRC staff under these procedures.

3. Pricing.
4. Personnel Matters and Compensation Issues.
5. Governors' Executive Session—Discussion of prior agenda items and Board Governance.

Friday, August 5 at 8:30 a.m. (Open)

1. Approval of Minutes of Previous Meetings.
2. Remarks of the Chairman of the Board.
3. Remarks of the Postmaster General and CEO.
4. Committee Reports.
5. Quarterly Report on Service Performance.
6. Quarterly Report on Financial Performance
7. Tentative Agenda for the September 12–13, 2011, meeting in Washington, D.C.

Friday, August 5 at 10:30 a.m. (Closed—If Needed)

1. Continuation of Thursday's closed session agenda.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Julie S. Moore, Secretary of the Board, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20260–1000. Telephone (202) 268–4800.

Julie S. Moore,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–18640 Filed 7–19–11; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–64891; File No. SR–Phlx–2011–98]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Correct a Typographical Error in Rule 1020

July 14, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on July 6, 2011, NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing with the Commission a proposal to amend Exchange Rule 1020 (Registration and Function of Options Specialists) to correct a typographical error.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at <http://nasdaqomxphlx.cchwallstreet.com/NASDAQOMXPHLX/Filings/>, at the principal office of the Exchange, on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.sec.gov>, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of this proposal is to correct a typographical error in subsection (a)(v)(B) of Rule 1020. On June 1, 2011, the Exchange filed an immediately effective proposal regarding Remote Specialists (the “Remote Specialist filing”) that expanded the Remote Specialist concept.³ By the Remote Specialist filing, the Exchange enhanced the existing Remote Specialist⁴ model so that all eligible ROTs⁵ on the Exchange

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 64591 (June 2, 2011), 76 FR 33383 (June 8, 2011) (SR–Phlx–2011–79) (notice of filing and immediate effectiveness). The rule text proposed in this filing reflects language changes made in SR–Phlx–2011–79, which became operative on or about July 2, 2011.

⁴ A Remote Specialist is an options specialist in one or more classes that does not have a physical presence on an Exchange floor and is approved by the Exchange pursuant to Rule 501. A Remote Specialist has all the rights and obligations of an options specialist, unless Exchange rules provide otherwise. See Rule 1020.

⁵ An ROT is a regular member or a foreign currency options participant of the Exchange located on the trading floor who has received permission from the Exchange to trade in options for his own account. See Rule 1014(b)(i).

could function as Remote Specialists; and eliminated the requirement that an option may only be allocated to an RSQT⁶ acting as a Remote Specialist if an option cannot be allocated to (or retained by) an on-floor specialist. The Exchange confirmed that all of the key principles would remain applicable to Remote Specialists: (a) Remote Specialists would be subject to all of the obligations and privileges of floor-based specialists unless otherwise noted in Exchange rules; (b) Remote Specialists and on-floor specialists would have equivalent quoting requirements; and (c) RSQTs approved to act as Remote Specialists would have heightened quoting obligations when acting as Remote Specialists in contrast to when acting as RSQTs. The Exchange also established in subsection (a)(v) of Rule 1020 that Remote Specialists may have a representative known as a “Designee” on the trading floor of the Exchange during trading hours that may represent the specialist in open outcry trades in a trading crowd.

There is a typographical error in new subsection (a)(v)(B) of Rule 1020 as established in the Remote Specialist filing whereby a Registered Options Trader is inadvertently referred to as a “registered Remote Options Trader.” The Exchange is hereby correcting the error by deleting the word “Remote” so that the reference in subsection (a)(v)(B) would be to Registered Options Trader. The Exchange notes that the proposed change is wholly in conformity with the types of traders (market makers) on the Exchange. That is, unlike Registered Options Traders, which are defined in Exchange Rules and the Remote Specialist filing, there is no defined category of traders on the Exchange known as registered Remote Options Traders. Moreover, the term Registered Options Trader is used elsewhere in Rule 1020 as well as throughout Exchange rules, whereas the term registered Remote Options Trader is used only once in error.

The Exchange believes that it is clear from the context of Rule 1020, Exchange Rules in general, and the Remote Specialist filing that the Exchange intended to refer to Registered Options Trader in Rule 1020. The Exchange therefore now corrects the noted typographical error by referring in

⁶ An RSQT is an ROT that is a member or member organization with no physical trading floor presence who has received permission from the Exchange to generate and submit option quotations electronically in options to which such RSQT has been assigned. An RSQT may only submit such quotations electronically from off the floor of the Exchange. See Rule 1014(b)(ii)(B).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.