

*Title:* Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery.

*Abstract:* This information collection activity will gather qualitative customer and stakeholder feedback in an efficient, timely manner, in accordance with the Administration's commitment to improving service delivery. By qualitative feedback we mean information that provides useful insights on perceptions and opinions, but are not statistical surveys that yield quantitative results that can be generalized to the population of study. This feedback will provide insights into customer or stakeholder perceptions, experiences and expectations, provide an early warning of issues with service, or focus attention on areas where communication, training, or changes in operations might improve delivery of products or services. These collections will allow for ongoing, collaborative, and actionable communications between the agency and its customers and stakeholders. It will also allow feedback to contribute directly to the improvement of program management.

Feedback collected under this generic clearance will provide useful information, but it will not yield data that can be generalized to the overall population. This type of generic clearance for qualitative information will not be used for quantitative information collections that are designed to yield reliably actionable results, such as monitoring trends over time or documenting program performance. Such data uses require more rigorous designs that address: The target population to which generalizations will be made, the sampling frame, the sample design (including stratification and clustering), the precision requirements or power calculations that justify the proposed sample size, the expected response rate, methods for assessing potential non-response bias, the protocols for data collection, and any testing procedures that were or will be undertaken prior fielding the study. Depending on the degree of influence the results are likely to have, such collections may still be eligible for submission for other generic mechanisms that are designed to yield quantitative results.

The Access Board received no comments in response to the 60-day notice published in the **Federal Register** of December 22, 2010 (75 FR 80542).

Below we provide the Access Board's projected average estimates for the next three years:<sup>1</sup>

*Current Actions:* New collection of information.

*Type of Review:* New collection.

*Affected Public:* Individuals and households, businesses and organizations, State, Local or Tribal Government.

*Average Expected Annual Number of Activities:* 7.

*Respondents:* 1,100.

*Annual responses:* 1,100.

*Frequency of Response:* Once per request.

*Average minutes per response:* 6 minutes.

*Burden hours:* 103 hours.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget control number.

**David M. Capozzi,**

*Executive Director.*

[FR Doc. 2011-16510 Filed 6-29-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 44-2011]

#### Foreign-Trade Zone 124—Gramercy, LA; Application for Reorganization Under Alternative Site Framework

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Port of South Louisiana, grantee of FTZ 124, requesting authority to reorganize the zone under the alternative site framework (ASF) adopted by the Board (74 FR 1170-1173, 01/12/09 (correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/09); 75 FR 71069-71070, 11/22/10). The ASF is an option for grantees for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones and can permit significantly greater flexibility in the designation of new "usage-driven" FTZ sites for operators/users located within a grantee's "service area" in the context of the Board's

<sup>1</sup> The 60-day notice included the following estimate of the aggregate burden hours for this generic clearance federal-wide:

*Average Expected Annual Number of Activities:* 25,000.

*Average Number of Respondents per Activity:* 200.

*Annual responses:* 5,000,000.

*Frequency of Response:* Once per request.

*Average minutes per response:* 30.

*Burden hours:* 2,500,000.

standard 2,000-acre activation limit for a general-purpose zone project. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on June 24, 2011.

FTZ 124 was approved by the Board on December 20, 1985 (Board Order 319, 50 FR 53351, 12/31/85), and expanded on July 5, 1988 (Board Order 387, 53 FR 27184, 7/19/88). The current zone project includes the following sites: *Site 1* (600 acres)—located at River Mile 121.4, Luling; *Site 2* (335 acres)—within the Globalplex Intermodal Terminal, River Mile 138.5, Reserve; *Site 3* (200 acres)—within Place Riviere, River Mile 150, Vacherie; and, *Site 4* (213 acres)—within the Plantation Business Campus, River Mile 121, Destrehan.

The grantee's proposed service area under the ASF would be St. Charles, St. John the Baptist, St. James, La Fourche and St. Mary Parishes, Louisiana. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies' needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is within and adjacent to the Gramercy Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone project to include existing Sites 2, 3 and 4 as "magnet" sites. The ASF allows for the possible exemption of one magnet site from the "sunset" time limits that generally apply to sites under the ASF, and the applicant proposes that Site 2 be so exempted. The applicant is also requesting that Site 1 be removed from the zone project. Because the ASF only pertains to establishing or reorganizing a general-purpose zone, the application would have no impact on FTZ 124's authorized subzones.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, Camille Evans of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is August 29, 2011. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to September 13, 2011.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary,

Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the Board's Web site, which is accessible via <http://www.trade.gov/ftz>. For further information, contact Camille Evans at [Camille.Evans@trade.gov](mailto:Camille.Evans@trade.gov) or (202) 482-2350.

Dated: June 24, 2011.

**Andrew McGilvray,**  
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-16486 Filed 6-29-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1772]

#### Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 102, Under Alternative Site Framework; St. Louis, MO

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

*Whereas*, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) in December 2008 (74 FR 1170-1173, 01/12/2009; correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/2009; 75 FR 71069-71070, 11/22/2010) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones;

*Whereas*, the St. Louis County Port Authority, grantee of FTZ 102, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket 61-2010, filed 10/19/2010) for authority to reorganize under the ASF with a service area that includes the City of St. Louis and St. Louis County, Missouri, within and adjacent to the St. Louis Customs and Border Protection port of entry; FTZ 102's existing Sites 3A, 3B and 3C would be renumbered as Sites 3, 4 and 5, respectively; Sites 2, 3, 4 and 5 would be categorized as magnet sites; and, Site 1 would be categorized as a usage-driven site;

*Whereas*, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (75 FR 65612-65613, 10/26/2010) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

*Whereas*, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

*Now, Therefore*, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize and expand FTZ 102 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, to a five-year ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Sites 3, 4 and 5 if not activated by June 30, 2016, and to a three-year ASF sunset provision for usage-driven sites that would terminate authority for Site 1 if no foreign-status merchandise is admitted for a *bona fide* customs purpose by June 30, 2014.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 22nd day of June 2011.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_

**Andrew McGilvray,**

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-16484 Filed 6-29-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-900]

#### Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Preliminary Intent To Terminate, in Part, Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review and Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("Department") is conducting a changed circumstances review ("CCR") of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"), and 19 CFR 351.216(d). We preliminarily determine that Hebei Husqvarna-Jikai Diamond Tools Co., Ltd. ("Hebei Husqvarna") is not the successor-in-interest to Hebei Jikai Industrial Group Co., Ltd. ("Hebei Jikai"), but is instead a new entity.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* June 30, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Alan Ray, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5403.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Case History

On August 13, 2010, the Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition ("DSMC") filed a submission to the Department requesting that it conduct a CCR of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") to determine whether Hebei Husqvarna is the successor-in-interest to Electrolux Construction Products (Xiamen) Co. Ltd. ("Electrolux"), Husqvarna Holding AB, or is an altogether new entity that would therefore be subject the PRC-wide rate. On August 20, 2010, the DSMC submitted further information supporting its claim that Hebei Husqvarna should be found to be the successor-in-interest to Electrolux, Husqvarna Holding AB, or found to be a new entity. On September 13, 2010, Respondent<sup>1</sup> submitted to the Department a request for a CCR, contending that Hebei Husqvarna should be considered the successor-in-interest to Hebei Jikai. On September 30, 2010, the Department initiated a CCR based on these two requests but did not expedite the review, as requested by Respondent, because the Department required additional information to perform the successor-in-interest analysis.<sup>2</sup>

Between October 13, 2010, and April 12, 2011, Hebei Husqvarna and the DSMC submitted questionnaire responses and comments regarding the successor-in-interest factors that the Department considers in making a determination. In its April 12, 2011, submission, the DSMC argued that the Department should apply adverse facts available ("AFA") to Hebei Husqvarna and terminate the review because Hebei Husqvarna failed to provide complete information for two of the four criteria (described below) that the Department typically examines in a successor-in-interest analysis.

### Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are all finished circular sawblades, whether slotted or not, with a working part that

<sup>1</sup> The second request for initiation of a changed circumstances review was submitted on behalf of Husqvarna Construction Products North America, Inc., Hebei Jikai, and Hebei Husqvarna, collectively ("Respondent"). However, because the Department requested and received information from individual companies that compose Respondent, in certain instances the Department will refer to specific companies.

<sup>2</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 75 FR 60409 (September 30, 2010) ("Initiation").