

maintained within a normal operating range, thereby assuring the performance of scrubber water pumps. The approval is contingent upon the ability of the facility to continuously maintain the scrubber flow rates for the radial-flow scrubber (RFS) and the gas-liquid contactor (GLC).

Abstract for [M100026]

Q: Does EPA approve the request of the Tooele Chemical Agent Disposal Facility (TOCDF) in Stockton, Utah, to modify conditions of the alternative monitoring request (AMR) approved by EPA on June 29, 2009, pursuant to 40 CFR part 63, subpart EEE, with regards to the use of a thermal desorption mercury analyzer and mercury sampling timeframes?

A: Yes, EPA approves revisions to applicable conditions of the June 26, 2009 AMR approval. All conditions of approval are restated in the current AMR approval.

Abstract for [M100027]

Q: Does EPA approve the request of the Tooele Chemical Agent Disposal Facility (TOCDF) in Stockton, Utah, to comply with the mercury emission standard (130 micrograms/dscm, corrected to 7 percent oxygen) at the Metal Parts Furnace (MPF) by: 1) continuously collecting exhaust gas samples and sampling for mercury (Hg) and taking the rolling average of the results obtained from three consecutive 4-hour sampling events, resulting in a 12-hour averaging period for compliance determination purposes, rather than relying on an operating parameter limit (OPL) for a maximum Hg feedrate as required by 40 CFR 63.1209(l)(1)(i); and (2) continuously sampling exhaust gas samples using a modified EPA method approved for use by coal-fired power plants found at 40 CFR Part 75, Appendix K rather than using Method 29 for Hg emissions, as required by 40 CFR 63.1208(b)(2)?

A: Yes. EPA conditionally approves the request provided that the facility meets all of the conditions set out in the EPA response letter.

Abstract for [M100028]

Q: Does EPA approve the request of the Tooele Chemical Agent Disposal Facility (TOCDF) in Stockton, Utah, to modify some of the Conditions of Approval contained in determination letters issued by EPA on April 27, 2006 and September 24, 2007 approving previously submitted alternative monitoring requests (AMRs) pertaining to the Manual Mercury (Hg) Emission Measurement method used during the Mustard Agent Processing in TOCDF's

Metal Parts Furnace (MPF), and to add the Manual Hg Emission Measurement method on the Liquid Incinerators (LICs)?

A: Yes. EPA conditionally approves the request, provided that the facility meets all of the conditions set out in the EPA response letter.

Abstract for [A110001]

Q1: If a city, county, municipality undertakes an "urban renewal" project that demolishes or renovates multiple single family homes, is it subject to the asbestos NESHAP regulation, NESHAP subpart M?

A1: It may be subject to the asbestos NESHAP. The city, county or municipality may be the owner or operator, depending upon the situation. As the owner or operator, the government entity must conduct a thorough inspection of each home that is identified to be demolished or renovated for the project. If the combined amount of friable asbestos or asbestos that will be made friable during the demolition or renovation operation exceeds the regulated threshold, then the demolition or renovation operation must comply with the air emission, the waste management, and the disposal requirement of the asbestos NESHAP.

Q2: Are single family homes not subject to the asbestos NESHAP based on the 1995 Clarification of Intent which described how isolated single family homes were exempt from the asbestos NESHAP?

A2: As stated in the question, the Clarification of Intent describes how to determine an isolated single family home. The "urban renewal" projects are not about isolated homes but a group of homes as part of a project that will be demolished or renovated over a period of time. In the preamble to the 1990 asbestos NESHAP amendments, EPA did not consider residential structures that are demolished as part of a commercial or public project to be exempt from this rule.

Q3: What is or please define "planning period?"

A3: Planning period is not defined in the asbestos NESHAP regulation. Planning period was identified in the 1995 Clarification of Intent to provide guidance when considering single family homes being demolished, and whether the home was considered a facility under the demolition operation. Demolition or renovation operations planned at the same time or as part of the same planning period or scheduling period are considered to be part of the same project, and that in the case of municipalities, a planning or scheduling period is often a fiscal or calendar year

or the term of a contract. The fact that demolitions might be spread out over multiple fiscal or calendar years or even multiple contracts, however, does not necessarily mean they are not occurring as part of the same planning period.

Dated: March 10, 2011.

David Hindin,

Acting Director, Office of Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2011-15416 Filed 6-20-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9321-3]

Underground Injection Control Program; Hazardous Waste Injection Restrictions; Petition for Exemption—Class I Hazardous Waste Injection; ExxonMobil Environmental Services Company, Pasadena TX

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of a final decision on a no migration petition.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that an exemption to the land disposal Restrictions, under the 1984 Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, has been granted to ExxonMobil Environmental Services Company for two Class I injection wells located at Pasadena, Texas. The company has adequately demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Environmental Protection Agency by the petition and supporting documentation that, to a reasonable degree of certainty, there will be no migration of hazardous constituents from the injection zone for as long as the waste remains hazardous. This final decision allows the underground injection by ExxonMobil, of the specific restricted hazardous wastes identified in this exemption, into Class I hazardous waste injection wells No. WDW-397 and WDW-398 at the Agrifos Pasadena Texas Fertilizer facility, Pasadena, Texas, until December 31, 2020, unless EPA moves to terminate this exemption. Additional conditions included in this final decision may be reviewed by contacting the Region 6 Ground Water/UIC Section. A public notice was issued April 19, 2011. The public comment period closed on June 6, 2011. No comments were received. This decision constitutes final Agency action and there is no Administrative appeal. This decision may be reviewed/appealed in compliance with the Administrative Procedure Act.

DATES: This action is effective as of June 13, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the petition and all pertinent information relating thereto are on file at the following location: Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Water Quality Protection Division, Source Water Protection Branch (6WQ-S), 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Philip Dellinger, Chief Ground Water/ UIC Section, EPA—Region 6, telephone (214) 665-7150.

Dated: June 13, 2011.

W. K. Honker,

Acting Division Director, Water Quality Protection Division.

[FR Doc. 2011-15388 Filed 6-20-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ADVISORY BOARD

Call for Candidates

AGENCY: Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board.

ACTION: Request for nominations.

This Notice reopens the application period announced in the **Federal Register** on January 27, 2011 (January Notice) in order to identify additional candidates. Any applicant who provided the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB or the Board) with the requested materials in response to the January Notice will be considered for appointment and need not resubmit materials, although they are permitted to supplement their applications with new or additional information.

DATES: Applications must be postmarked or electronically transmitted on or before July 15, 2011. All applications will be acknowledged by e-mail or phone within 48 hours of receipt. Applicants not receiving an acknowledgement should contact the FASAB offices at (202) 512-7350 no later than July 19, 2011 to ensure that the materials were received.

ADDRESSES: Persons wishing to submit applications should send the information described below to: Wendy Payne, Designated Federal Officer, by e-mail to fasab@fasab.gov; by U.S. mail or commercial delivery service to: Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board, Attn: Wendy Payne, Mailstop 6K17V, 441 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20548; or by facsimile transmission to (202) 512-7366.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wendy Payne at (202) 512-7350, or paynew@fasab.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3511(d), the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), as amended, and the FASAB Rules of Procedure, as amended in October 2010, notice is hereby given that the FASAB is currently seeking candidates (current federal employees are not eligible for appointment) to serve on the Board. Appointments to the Board are made jointly by the Secretary of the Treasury, the United States Comptroller General, and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

FASAB is the body designated to establish generally accepted accounting principles for federal government entities. Generally, non-federal Board members are selected from the general financial community, the accounting and auditing community, or academics. Specifically, FASAB is particularly interested in candidates who have experience as: Analysts of financial information, Economists or forecasters, Academics, Auditors, Preparers of financial information, or those otherwise knowledgeable regarding the use of financial information in decision-making.

The Board meets in Washington, DC, for two days every other month. Members are compensated for 24 days service per year based on current federal executive salaries. Travel expenses related to meeting attendance are reimbursed in accordance with federal travel regulations.

All parties wishing to be considered should submit their full name, address, telephone number and e-mail address and a brief summary identifying how their education, training, experience, or other factors would support the FASAB's work. They should also include a detailed résumé or curriculum vitae (CV).

Additional information about the FASAB can be obtained from its Web site at <http://www.fasab.gov>.

Authority: Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463.

Dated: June 16, 2011.

Charles Jackson,

Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2011-15387 Filed 6-20-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1610-02-P

FEDERAL RETIREMENT THRIFT INVESTMENT BOARD

Sunshine Act; Notice of Meeting

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m. (EST) June 23, 2011.

PLACE: 4th Floor Conference Room, 1250 H Street, NW., Washington, DC.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Approval of the minutes of the May 16, 2011 Board member meeting.
2. Thrift Savings Plan activity report by the Executive Director.
 - a. Monthly Performance Report.
 - b. Monthly Investment Report.
 - c. Legislative Report.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Thomas J. Trabucco, Director, Office of External Affairs, (202) 942-1640.

Dated: June 17, 2011.

Megan G. Grumbine,

Assistant General Counsel, Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board.

[FR Doc. 2011-15649 Filed 6-17-11; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6760-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

[Document Identifier OS-0990-New; 60-Day Notice]

Agency Information Collection Request. 60-Day Public Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, HHS.

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the Secretary (OS), Department of Health and Human Services, is publishing the following summary of a proposed information collection request for public comment. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including any of the following subjects: (1) The necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information collection technology to minimize the information collection burden.

To obtain copies of the supporting statement and any related forms for the proposed paperwork collections referenced above, e-mail your request, including your address, phone number,