

within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. *Id.* Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. The Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

The Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, within 120 days of publication of the preliminary results, and will publish these results in the **Federal Register**.

Assessment Rates

The Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review.

Notification of Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 351.402(f) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: June 2, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-14573 Filed 6-10-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural

Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before July 5, 2011. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 3720.

Docket Number: 10-073. *Applicant:* University of Chicago Argonne, LLC, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439. *Instrument:* Chemical Mechanical Polishing (CMP) Tool. *Manufacturer:* Logitech Ltd., UK. *Intended Use:* The CMP will be installed in the Center for Nanoscale Materials for performing lithography and fabricating atomically smooth surfaces on various materials, such as ultrananocrystalline diamond and gold films. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* Instruments of the same general category being manufactured in the United States do not meet the technical requirements within the available budget. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* May 13, 2011.

Docket Number: 11-013. *Applicant:* Wichita State University, 1845 Fairmont Street, Wichita, KS 67260. *Instrument:* Field emission scanning electron microscope. *Manufacturer:* Carl Zeiss SMT, Germany. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to examine the morphology and composition of metals, composites and nanocomposites, and for training undergraduate and graduate students in optical microscopy. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category being manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* May 26, 2011.

Docket Number: 11-029. *Applicant:* University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106. *Instrument:* Josephson Junction Deposition System (Electron Beam Evaporation Unit with Load Lock Model MEB 550S). *Manufacturer:* Plassys Bestek SAS, France. *Intended Use:* The system will be incorporated into a superconducting quantum bit device, and will be used to deposit and grow Josephson junctions as part of students' research requirements in the physics Ph.D program. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no

instruments of the same general category being manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* May 26, 2011.

Dated: June 7, 2011.

Gregory W. Campbell,

Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Office of Policy, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-14576 Filed 6-10-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-928]

Uncovered Innerspring Units From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the "Department") is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the new shipper review of uncovered innerspring units ("innersprings") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). The period of review for this review is February 1, 2010 through August 4, 2010.

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 13, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Walker, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-0413.

Background

On October 7, 2010 the Department published a notice of initiation of the new shipper review in the antidumping duty order on innersprings from the PRC for Foshan Nanhai Jiujiang Quan Li Spring Hardware Factory ("Quan Li") and Foshan Yongnuo Import & Export Co., Ltd. ("Yongnuo").¹ On March 28, 2011, the Department extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this review to June 1, 2011.²

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), and

¹ See *Uncovered Innerspring Units from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 75 FR 62107 (October 7, 2010).

² See *Uncovered Innerspring Units from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 76 FR 17107 (March 28, 2011).

section 351.214(i)(1) of the Department's regulations require the Department to issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review within 180 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated and final results within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results are issued. However, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of a new shipper review to 300 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated.³

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

The Department has determined that the review is extraordinarily complicated as the Department must issue, and analyze the responses to, additional supplemental questionnaires concerning Quan Li's and Yongnuo's sales practices and factors of production. Moreover, the Department needs additional time to analyze the *bona fide* nature of Quan Li's and Yongnuo's sales, which includes gathering data from U.S. Customs and Border Protection. Based on the timing of the case and the additional information that must be gathered, the preliminary results of this new shipper review cannot be completed within 180 days.

Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of this new shipper review by an additional 44 days from the June 1, 2011, deadline. As a result, the preliminary results will now be due no later than July 15, 2011. The final results continue to be due 90 days after the issuance of the preliminary results.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: June 1, 2011.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011-14575 Filed 6-10-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

NIST Designation of Cannon Instrument Company as the Responsible Organization for U.S. National Standards for Certified Liquid Viscosity Reference Standards for Purposes of the CIPM MRA

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), as the National Metrology Institute (NMI) of the U.S., is signatory to the Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA) of the Comité International des Poids et Mesures (CIPM). Section 6.1 of the MRA provides for cases in which an NMI may designate a laboratory other than itself to participate in CIPM key comparisons on behalf of its nation and to be responsible for disseminating the national measurement standards relevant to that particular measurand. This notice announces that NIST has designated the Cannon Instrument Company (State College, PA) pursuant to the MRA for the measurements of viscosity until January 1, 2013.

DATES: NIST's designation of the Cannon Instrument Company for the measurements of viscosity will expire on January 1, 2013. NIST will consider comments received by that date.

ADDRESSES: Comments regarding NIST's designation of Cannon may be sent to Dr. James Olthoff, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Deputy Director for Measurement Services, Physical Measurement Laboratory, 100 Bureau Drive, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8100, or by telephone (301) 975-2220, or by e-mail at james.olthoff@nist.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. James Olthoff, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Deputy Director for Measurement Services, Physical Measurement Laboratory, 100 Bureau Drive, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8100, or by telephone (301) 975-2220, or by e-mail at james.olthoff@nist.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The MRA established by the CIPM under the authority of the Metre Convention has the following objectives:

- To establish the degree of equivalence of national measurement standards maintained by NMIs;
- To provide for the mutual recognition of Calibration and Measurement Capability (CMCs) issued by NMIs;

- Thereby to provide governments and other parties with a secure technical foundation for wider agreements related to international trade, commerce, and regulatory affairs.

The process established to support these objectives includes:

- Comparisons of measurement capabilities of participating NMIs and Designated Institutes (DIs), either through CIPM or Regional Metrology Organizations (RMOs) Key Comparisons (KCs); and

- Quality systems supporting the continued validity and recognition of CMCs in order to establish mutual confidence in measurements performed by NMIs or their DIs.

Signatories to the MRA, which include the U.S., provide information on their nation's calibration and measurement capabilities to the International Bureau of Weights and Measures (BIPM), which publishes these in a database for use by the international community. The results of key or supplementary comparisons provide quantitative demonstration of the degree of equivalence among participating NMIs and DIs. Such demonstrations provide quantitative evidence of the claims constituted by CMCs.

NIST may designate for recognition by the CIPM another U.S. institution that is willing and has the capability to discharge the responsibilities for a specific measurement parameter or parameter range under the terms of the MRA. In designating an institution, an NMI should consider:

- Whether the institution is impartial;
- Whether the institution offers calibration or other appropriate services in the field to all customers that may request such services;
- Whether the institution is prepared to share results of comparisons and internal processes that relate for example to equipment used, environmental conditions, laboratory staff, technical procedures, etc. to appropriate experts in Consultative Committees and/or RMOs technical committees; and
- Whether the institution is prepared to provide information on the processes that have been set up for review of Quality Systems and on-site peer review visits.

An institution designated by NIST to serve as a U.S. DI will:

- Participate, in partnership with NIST, in activities of the CIPM MRA and be bound by the requirements of the MRA under the same conditions under which NIST itself participates in such efforts;

³ See section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act; see also section 351.214(i)(2) of the Department's regulations.