

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[A(32b)-1-2011]

Foreign-Trade Zone 203—Moses Lake, Washington, Export-Only Manufacturing Authority, SGL Automotive Carbon Fibers, LLC, (Carbon Fiber); Notice of Temporary Approval

On January 4, 2011, an application was submitted by the Port of Moses Lake Public Corporation, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 203, requesting authority on behalf of SGL Automotive Carbon Fibers, LLC (SGL) to manufacture carbon fiber under FTZ procedures solely for export within Site 3 of FTZ 203 in Moses Lake, Washington. The request was given notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (Docket 4-2011, 76 FR 1599, 1/11/2011).

Section 400.32(b)(1)(ii) of the FTZ Board's regulations (15 CFR part 400) allows the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration to act for the Board in making decisions on new manufacturing authority when the activity would be for export only. Pursuant to that regulatory provision, on May 13, 2011, the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration approved authority for SGL's export-only manufacturing activity for a two-year period (until May 13, 2013), subject to the FTZ Act (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u) and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

For any potential approval by the FTZ Board of authority beyond the initial two-year period, the SGL application is continuing to be processed under Docket 4-2011, including the conduct of an industry survey pursuant to 15 CFR 400.27(d)(3)(vi).

Dated: May 20, 2011.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-13271 Filed 5-26-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-843]

Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephanie Moore or George McMahon, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3692 or (202) 482-1167, respectively.

Background

On September 1, 2010, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of this order for the period September 1, 2009, through August 31, 2010. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 75 FR 53635, (September 1, 2010). On September 30, 2010, we received timely requests for an administrative review from the Association of American School Paper Suppliers (petitioner),¹ in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1). On October 28, 2010, we published the notice of initiation. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 75 FR 66349 (October 28, 2010). The preliminary results of review are currently due June 2, 2011.

Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires that the Department make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further states that, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period specified, the administering authority may extend the 245-day period to issue its preliminary results to up to 365 days.

¹ Petitioner requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the following 35 companies: Abhinav Paper Products Pvt. Ltd.; American Scholar, Inc. and/or I-Scholar; Ampoules & Vials Mfg. Co., Ltd.; AR Printing & Packaging (India) Pvt.; Bafna Exports; Cello International Pvt. Ltd. (M/S Cello Paper Products); Corporate Stationery Pvt. Ltd.; Creative Divya; D.D International; Exel India Pvt. Ltd.; Exmart International Pvt. Ltd.; Fatechand Mahendrakumar; FFI International; Freight India Logistics Pvt. Ltd.; International Greetings Pvt. Ltd.; Kejriwal Paper Ltd., and Kejriwal Exports; Lodha Offset Limited; Magic International Pvt. Ltd.; Marigold ExIm Pvt. Ltd.; Marisa International; Navneet Publications (India) Ltd.; Orient Press Ltd.; Paperwise Inc.; Pioneer Stationery Pvt. Ltd.; Premier Exports; Rajvansh International; Riddhi Enterprises; SAB International; Sar Transport Systems; Seet Kamal International; Sonal Printers Pvt. Ltd; Super Impex; Swati Growth Funds Ltd.; V & M; and Yash Laminates.

We determine that completion of the preliminary results of this review within the 245-day period is not practicable for the following reasons. This review requires the Department to gather and analyze a significant amount of information pertaining to each of the company's sales practices, manufacturing costs, and corporate relationships. Furthermore, on May 17, 2011, the Department initiated a sales-below-cost of production investigation of Riddhi Enterprises (Riddhi),² a *pro se* respondent, pursuant to an allegation submitted by petitioner on May 2, 2011. As a result, the Department will require additional time to receive and analyze Riddhi's Section D questionnaire response. Given the complexity of these issues, and in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this review by 120 days. Accordingly, the deadline for the completion of the preliminary results is now September 30, 2011. Unless extended, the final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 20, 2011.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011-13244 Filed 5-26-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-824]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 21, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) issued the preliminary results of the new shipper review of polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip (PET Film) from India for SRF Limited (SRF), covering the period July 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009 (POR). Based on the results of our analysis of the comments received, we continue to find that the U.S. sale of

² The other respondent in this administrative review is Navneet Publications (India) Ltd.

subject merchandise produced and exported by SRF was *bona fide* and not sold below normal value (NV). Therefore, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate entries subject to this review without regard to antidumping duties.

DATES: *Effective Date:* May 27, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Toni Page or Elfi Blum, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-1398 or (202) 482-0197.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Since the issuance of *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 75 FR 81570 (December 28, 2010) (*Preliminary Results*), the following events have occurred. On January 21, 2011, the Department issued a memorandum confirming the briefing schedule, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c), as stated in the *Preliminary Results*, 75 FR at 81573. See Memorandum To Interested Parties From Elfi Blum, International Trade Compliance Analyst, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6: New Shipper Reviews of the Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Orders on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India; Verification and Briefing Schedule for the Final Results of Review (January 21, 2011). SRF and the petitioners, Dupont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., SKC, Inc., and Toray Plastics (America), Inc. (collectively, Petitioners), timely filed case briefs on January 27, 2011. SRF timely filed a rebuttal brief on February 1, 2011.

The Department obtained, from CBP, import data for entries of PET Film from India into the United States during the period December 2009 through January 2011. On February 11, 2011, the Department placed this information on the record of this review. See Memorandum To All Interested Parties From Toni Page, International Trade Compliance Analyst: Antidumping and Countervailing Duty New Shipper Reviews of Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: U.S. Customs Entries from December 2009 to Present (January 11, 2011). The Department issued its third supplemental questionnaire to SRF on February 11, 2011, requesting information about the company's

shipments after the POR of PET film to the United States. On February 25, 2011, SRF filed its response to the Department's supplemental questionnaire.

On March 3, 2011, the Department extended the final results of new shipper review from March 21, 2011 to May 20, 2011. See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 76 FR 12937 (March 9, 2011).

On April 13, 2011, the Department placed additional CBP data concerning post-POR shipments of PET Film to the United States, sold by SRF, on the record of this review and requested comments from the parties. See Memorandum to All Interested Parties from Toni Page, International Trade Compliance Analyst: Antidumping and Countervailing Duty New Shipper Reviews of Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: U.S. Customs Entries from December 2009 to present (April 13, 2011). Petitioners and SRF filed comments on the CBP data on April 18, 2011.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip, whether extruded or coextruded. Excluded are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer more than 0.00001 inches thick. Imports of PET Film are classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3920.62.00.90. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Bona Fide Analysis of U.S. Sale

In the Preliminary Results, we determined that SRF's U.S. sale was a *bona fide* transaction. See Memorandum from Toni Page, International Trade Analyst Regarding: *Bona Fide* Nature of the Sale in the Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review of Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: SRF Limited (December 21, 2010). The Department also stated it would continue to examine, through the remainder of the review, all factors relating to the *bona fide* analysis of the sale. We have further examined the *bona fide* nature of SRF's U.S. sale and, for these final results, we continue to find the sale to be *bona fide*. For further

details, see Memorandum to File from Toni Page, International Trade Analyst, *Bona Fide* Analysis of SRF's Sale in the Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review of Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India, dated concurrently with this notice.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs and rebuttal brief by parties to this new shipper review are addressed in Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of New Shipper Review of Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET Film) from India (May 20, 2011) (Issues and Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the comments raised in the briefs and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is appended to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room 7046 of the main Department building, and can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on the comments received from SRF, we have made a change to the margin calculations used in the *Preliminary Results*. As discussed in Comment 1 of the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, the sum of the export subsidy rates calculated in the companion countervailing duty new shipper review have been added to export price for the purpose of calculating the antidumping margin for these final results.

Final Results of New Shipper Review

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5), we determine that the following weighted average dumping margin exists for the period July 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009.

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
SRF Limited	0.00

Disclosure

The Department will disclose to parties the calculations performed in connection with these final results within five days of the date of public announcement. See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment and Cash Deposit Instructions

The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise produced and exported by SRF and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after July 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009, without regard to antidumping duties.

The Department intends to also instruct CBP that the cash deposit rate for SRF is zero percent *ad valorem* of the entered value on shipments of the subject merchandise produced and exported by SRF, and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this new shipper review.

Further, effective upon publication of the final results of this new shipper review, we intend to instruct CBP that importers may no longer post a bond or other security in lieu of a cash deposit on imports of PET Film from India, manufactured and exported by SRF. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice. The cash deposit rates for all companies not covered by this review are not changed by the results of this new shipper review.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Return or Destruction of Proprietary Information

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested.

Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 20, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I

List of Issues Addressed In the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Comment 1: Whether the Department Should

Adjust the Export Price in the Antidumping Calculations by the Calculated Countervailing Duty Rate

Comment 2: Whether SRF's Single Sale and its U.S. Customer Are Indicative of a *Bona Fide Sale*

Comment 3: Whether the Price and Quantity of SRF's New Shipper Sale Are Indicative of a *Bona Fide Sale*

Comment 4: Whether SRF's PET Film Entry Was Re-sold for a Profit

[FR Doc. 2011-13264 Filed 5-26-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-533-825]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Final Results of Countervailing Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 21, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) issued the preliminary results of the new shipper review of polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip (PET Film) from India for SRF Limited (SRF), covering the period January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009 (POR). Based on the results of our analysis of the comments received, we continue to find that the U.S. sale of subject merchandise produced and exported by SRF was *bona fide*. Also based on our analysis of SRF's comments, we made certain revisions to the calculations of several subsidy programs. The final subsidy rate for the reviewed company is listed below in the section titled "Final Results of New Shipper Review." The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess countervailing duties at the final subsidy rate.

DATES: *Effective Date:* May 27, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elfi Blum or Toni Page, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0197 or (202) 482-1398.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Since the issuance of *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty New Shipper Review*, 75 FR 81574 (December 28, 2010) (*Preliminary Results*), the following events have occurred. SRF filed its response to the Department's second supplemental questionnaire on December 27, 2010. On January 21, 2011, the Department issued a memorandum confirming the briefing schedule, which was in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c) and the *Preliminary Results*, 75 FR at 81583. See Memorandum To Interested Parties From Elfi Blum, International Trade Compliance Analyst, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6: New Shipper Reviews of the Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Orders on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India; Verification and Briefing Schedule for the Final Results of Review (January 21, 2011). SRF and the petitioners, Dupont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film of America, and Toray Plastics (America), Inc., timely filed case briefs on January 27, 2011. On January 31, 2011, the Department rejected SRF's case brief because it contained untimely new factual information. SRF timely re-filed its case brief on February 1, 2011. Both SRF and the petitioners timely filed their rebuttal briefs on February 1, 2011. On March 9, 2011, the Department published an extension of the final results of the new shipper review from March 21, 2011 to May 20, 2011. See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Countervailing Duty New Shipper Review*, 76 FR 12938 (March 9, 2011).

The Department obtained, from CBP, import data for entries of PET Film from India into the United States during the period December 2009 through January 2011. On February 11, 2011, the Department placed this information on the record of this review. See Memorandum To All Interested Parties From Toni Page, International Trade Compliance Analyst: Antidumping and Countervailing Duty New Shipper