

Form	Time to complete	Number of responses	Hours burden
CA-7	13 min	500	108
CA-16	5 min	33,699	2,808
CA-17	5 min	143,965	11,997
CA-20	5 min	43,097	3,591
CA-1090	10 min	225	38
CA-1305	20 min	130	43
CA-1331/CA-1087 *	5 min	1,108	92
CA-1332	30 min	10	5
OWCP-5's	15 min	10,119	2,530
Totals	232,853	21,212

* Responses and hours associated with Form CA-1087 are included in the estimates for the Form CA-1331. The Form CA-1087 is attached to the Form CA-1331.

Total Annual Responses: 232,853.
Average Time per Response: 5 minutes-30 minutes.
Estimated Total Burden Hours: 21,212.
Frequency: As Needed.
Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0.
Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): \$109,441.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 13, 2011.

Vincent Alvarez,

Agency Clearance Officer, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, US Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 2011-12215 Filed 5-17-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-CH-P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (11-048)]

Notice of Intent To Grant Partially Exclusive License

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Grant Exclusive License.

SUMMARY: This notice is issued in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209(e) and 37 CFR 404.7(a)(1)(i). NASA hereby gives notice of its intent to grant a partially exclusive license in the United States to practice the inventions described and claimed in USPN 6,133,036, Preservation of Liquid Biological Samples, NASA Case No. MSC- 22616-2, and USPN 6,716,392, Preservation of Liquid Biological Samples, NASA Case No. MSC-22616-3 to ApoCell, Inc., having its principal place of business in Houston, Texas. The patent rights in

these inventions have been assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The prospective partially exclusive license will comply with the terms and conditions of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7.

DATES: The prospective partially exclusive license may be granted unless within fifteen (15) days from the date of this published notice, NASA receives written objections including evidence and argument that establish that the grant of the license would not be consistent with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7. Competing applications completed and received by NASA within fifteen (15) days of the date of this published notice will also be treated as objections to the grant of the contemplated partially exclusive license.

Objections submitted in response to this notice will not be made available to the public for inspection and, to the extent permitted by law, will not be released under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

ADDRESSES: Objections relating to the prospective license may be submitted to Patent Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel, 2101 NASA Parkway, Houston, Texas 77058, Mail Code AL; Phone (281) 483-3021; Fax (281) 483-6936.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kurt G. Hammerle, Intellectual Property Attorney, Office of Chief Counsel, 2101 NASA Parkway. Phone (281) 483-1001; Fax (281) 483-6936. Information about other NASA inventions available for licensing can be found online at <http://technology.nasa.gov/>.

Dated: May 12, 2011.

Richard W. Sherman,
Deputy General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2011-12105 Filed 5-17-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2011-0109]

NUREG/CR-XXXX, Development of Quantitative Software Reliability Models for Digital Protection Systems of Nuclear Power Plants Draft Report for Comment

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Announcement of issuance for public comment, availability.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued for public comment a document entitled: NUREG/CR-XXXX, "Development of Quantitative Software Reliability Models for Digital Protection Systems of Nuclear Power Plants, Draft Report for Comment."

DATES: Please submit comments by July 18, 2011. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the NRC staff is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: Please include Docket ID NRC-2011-0109 in the subject line of your comments. Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be posted on the NRC Web site and on the Federal rulemaking Web site, <http://www.regulations.gov>. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed.

The NRC requests that any party soliciting or aggregating comments received from other persons for submission to the NRC inform those persons that the NRC will not edit their comments to remove any identifying or contact information, and therefore, they should not include any information in their comments that they do not want publicly disclosed. You may submit

comments by any one of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web Site*: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for documents filed under Docket ID NRC–2011–0109. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher, telephone: 301–492–3668; *e-mail*: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov.

- *Mail comments to*: Cindy Bladey, Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch (RADB), Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TWB–05–B01M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

- *Fax comments to*: RADB at 301–492–3446.

You can access publicly available documents related to this notice using the following methods:

- *NRC's Public Document Room (PDR)*: The public may examine and have copied, for a fee, publicly available documents at the NRC's PDR, O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS)*: Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC are available online in the NRC Library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this page, the public can gain entry into ADAMS, which provides text and image files of the NRC's public documents. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC's PDR reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. NUREG/CR–XXXX is available electronically under ADAMS Accession Number ML111020087.

- *Federal Rulemaking Web Site*: Public comments and supporting materials related to this notice can be found at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching on Docket ID NRC–2011–0109.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alan Kuritzky, Division of Risk Analysis, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001. Telephone: 301–251–7587, *e-mail*: Alan.Kuritzky@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NRC is conducting research to support development of regulatory guidance for using risk information related to digital systems in the licensing actions of nuclear power plants (NPPs). The objective of this research is to identify and develop methods, analytical tools, and regulatory guidance for (1)

including models of digital systems into NPP probabilistic risk assessments (PRAs), and (2) incorporating digital systems in the NRC's risk-informed licensing and oversight activities.

A previous Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL) technical report, entitled "Review of Quantitative Software Reliability Methods," BNL–94047–2010 (ADAMS Accession No. ML102240566), documented a review of currently available quantitative software reliability methods (QSRMs) that can be used to quantify software failure rates and probabilities of digital systems at NPPs for use in PRAs and identified a set of desirable characteristics for QSRMs. The current draft report documents a comparison of the previously-identified QSRMs against the set of desirable characteristics. Three candidate QSRMs were identified for further literature review to assess their suitability for estimating demand-failure probabilities of safety-critical protection systems and to formulate an approach for applying each of them to an example system in a case study. The example digital protection system to be used in the case studies is also identified. The actual case studies will be documented in separate reports. Completion of the case studies is expected to provide a much better understanding of the existing capabilities and limitations in treating software failure in digital system reliability models for use in NPP PRAs.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of May, 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
Kevin A. Coyne,
*Chief, Probabilistic Risk Assessment Branch,
Division of Risk Analysis, Office of Nuclear
Regulatory Research.*

[FR Doc. 2011–12200 Filed 5–17–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: US Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549–0213.

Extension:

Rule 611; SEC File No. 270–540; OMB Control No. 3235–0600.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget

("OMB") a request for approval of extension of the existing collection of information provided for in the following rule: Rule 611 (17 CFR 242.611).

On June 9, 2005, effective August 29, 2005 (*see* 70 FR 37496, June 29, 2005), the Commission adopted Rule 611 of Regulation NMS under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*) to require any national securities exchange, national securities association, alternative trading system, exchange market maker, over-the-counter market maker and any other broker-dealer that executes orders internally by trading as principal or crossing orders as agent, to establish, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to prevent the execution of a transaction in its market at a price that is inferior to a bid or offer displayed in another market at the time of execution (a "trade-through"), absent an applicable exception and, if relying on an exception, that are reasonably designed to assure compliance with the terms of the exception. Without this collection of information, respondents would not have a means to enforce compliance with the Commission's intention to prevent trade-throughs pursuant to the rule.

There are approximately 658 respondents¹ per year that will require an aggregate total of 39,480 hours to comply with this rule.² It is anticipated that each respondent will continue to expend approximately 60 hours annually; two hours per month of internal legal time and three hours per month of internal compliance time to ensure that its written policies and procedures are up-to-date and remain in compliance with Rule 611. The estimated cost for an in-house attorney is \$354 per hour and the estimated cost for an assistant compliance director in the securities industry is \$320 per hour. Therefore the estimated total cost of compliance for the annual hour burden is as follows: [(2 legal hours × 12 months × \$354) × 658] + [(3 compliance hours × 12 months × \$320) × 658] = \$13,170,528.³ There are no longer any start-up costs associated with Rule 611.

¹ This estimate includes thirteen national securities exchanges and one national securities association that trade NMS stocks. The estimate also includes the approximately 601 firms that were registered equity market makers or specialists at year-end 2009, as well as 43 alternative trading systems that operate trading systems that trade NMS stocks.

² The one-time hour burden associated with developing the required policies and procedures is no longer applicable.

³ The total cost of compliance for the annual hour burden has been revised to reflect updated