(c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, technological or other forms of information technology collection methods.

All responses to this notice will become a matter of public record and be summarized in the request for OMB approval.

Signed at Washington, DC, April 20, 2011. **Joseph T. Reilly**,

 $Associate \, Administrator.$

[FR Doc. 2011-12100 Filed 5-16-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-20-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1757]

Approval for Manufacturing Authority, Foreign-Trade Zone 104; Mitsubishi Power Systems Americas, Inc., (Power Generation Turbine Components), Pooler, GA

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order

Whereas, the Savannah Airport Commission, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 104, has requested manufacturing authority on behalf of Mitsubishi Power Systems Americas, Inc., within FTZ 104 in Pooler, Georgia (FTZ Docket 53–2010, filed 9–13–2010);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment has been given in the **Federal Register** (75 FR 56985, 9–17–2010) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, Therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application for manufacturing authority under zone procedures within FTZ 104 on behalf of Mitsubishi Power Systems Americas, Inc., as described in the application and **Federal Register** notice, is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 6th day of May 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–12096 Filed 5–16–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1759]

Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 64 Under Alternative Site Framework; Jacksonville, FL

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) in December 2008 (74 FR 1170, 01/12/09; correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/09; 75 FR 71069–71070, 11/22/10) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones;

Whereas, the Jacksonville Port Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 64, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket 63-2010, filed 11/ 04/10) for authority to reorganize under the ASF with a service area of the Florida counties of Baker, Clay, Columbia, Duval and Nassau, in and adjacent to the Jacksonville Customs and Border Protection port of entry; FTZ 64's existing sites 2 and 5 would be removed; the non-contiguous parcel of Site 3 would be renumbered as Site 9; Sites 1, 3, 9, and 10 would be categorized as magnet sites; and, Sites 4, 7, and 8 would be categorized as usagedriven sites;

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the Federal Register (75 FR 69048, 11/10/10) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendation of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 64 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including

Section 400.28, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, to a five-year ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Sites 1, 9, and 10 if not activated by May 31, 2016, and to a three-year ASF sunset provision for usage-driven sites that would terminate authority for Sites 4, 7, and 8 if no foreign-status merchandise is admitted for a *bona fide* customs purpose by May 31, 2014.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 6th day of May, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–12091 Filed 5–16–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1760]

Voluntary Termination of Foreign-Trade Subzone 33C; Sony Corporation of America, Mt. Pleasant, PA

Pursuant to the authority granted in the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the Foreign-Trade Zones Board Regulations (15 CFR part 400), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board has adopted the following order:

Whereas, on September 27, 2001, the Foreign-Trade Zones Board issued a grant of authority to the Regional Industrial Development Corporation of Southwestern Pennsylvania, (grantee of FTZ 33) authorizing the establishment of Foreign-Trade Subzone 33C at the Sony Corporation of America plant in Mt. Pleasant, Pennsylvania (Board Order 1196, 66 FR 52741, 10/17/01);

Whereas, the Regional Industrial Development Corporation of Southwestern Pennsylvania has advised that zone procedures are no longer needed at the facility and requested voluntary termination of Subzone 33C (FTZ Docket 26–2011);

Whereas, the request has been reviewed by the FTZ Staff and Customs and Border Protection officials, and approval has been recommended;

Now, therefore, the Foreign-Trade Zones Board terminates the subzone status of Subzone 33C, effective this date.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 6th day of May 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–12090 Filed 5–16–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-820]

Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From India: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christopher Hargett, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–4161.

Background

On January 29, 2010, the Department published a notice of initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review of certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from India for the period December 1, 2008, through November 30, 2009. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Request for Revocation in Part, and Deferral of Initiation of Administrative Review, 75 FR 4770 (January 29, 2010). On September 14, 2010, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department extended the deadline for the preliminary results by 120 days. See Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 75 FR 55742 (September 14, 2010). On January 13, 2011, the Department published in the Federal **Register**, the *Preliminary Results* of this review. See Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From India: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 76 FR 2344 (January 13, 2011) (Preliminary Results). The final results of this review are currently due no later than May 13, 2011.

Extension of Time Limit of the Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, requires the Department to issue the final results of a review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within that time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the final results to a maximum of 180 days. See 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limit because the Department needs additional time to evaluate information on the record and arguments raised by parties with respect to Tata Steel Limited's single entry of subject merchandise. Therefore, the Department is fully extending the time limit for the final results to July 12, 2011, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 10, 2011.

Christian Marsh,

 $\label{lem:continuous} Deputy\ Assistant\ Secretary\ for\ Antidumping\ and\ Countervailing\ Duty\ Operations.$

[FR Doc. 2011–12069 Filed 5–16–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-878]

Persulfates From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the 2009–2010 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 11, 2011, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published its *Preliminary Results* for the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") covering the period July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2010.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. FMC Corporation ("FMC"), a domestic producer of persulfates and an interested party in this review, commented that it fully

supports our *Preliminary Results*. No other party submitted comments. Therefore, the *Preliminary Results* are hereby adopted as the final results. **DATES:** *Effective Date:* May 17, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brandon Petelin or Charles Riggle, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–8173 and (202) 482–0650, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 11, 2011, the Department published its Preliminary Results for the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from the PRC covering the July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2010, period of review ("POR"). For the Preliminary Results, because United Initiators (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. ("United Initiators") did not respond to the Department's questionnaire, we were unable to determine if United Initiators was eligible for a separate rate.2 United Initiators did not rebut the Department's presumption of government control and was, therefore, presumed to be part of the PRC-wide entity. Further, in accordance with sections 776(a)(2)(A) and (B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"), because the PRC-wide entity (including United Initiators) failed to cooperate to the best of its ability by not responding to our questionnaire, we found it appropriate to use adverse facts available.3 On March 21, 2011, FMC submitted comments stating that it fully supports the Department's Preliminary Results. No other party submitted comments.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this review are persulfates, including ammonium, potassium, and sodium persulfates. The chemical formula for these persulfates are, respectively, $(NH_4)_2S_2O_8$, $K_2S_2O_8$, and $Na_2S_2O_8$. Potassium persulfates are currently classifiable under subheading 2833.40.10 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States

¹ See Persulfates From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the 2009–2010 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 76 FR 13358 (March 11, 2011) ("Preliminary Results").

² On October 8, 2010, the Department confirmed that United Initiators signed for and received our mailing of the antidumping duty questionnaire. United Initiators did not respond to the Department's antidumping duty questionnaire. On January 3, 2011, the Department placed on the record of this administrative review the UPS International Air Waybill receipt and delivery confirmation for the questionnaire issued to United Initiators to confirm that we mailed, and United Initiators received and signed for, the questionnaire.

³ See Preliminary Results.