

notice creates, expands or reduces any rights otherwise available to any employee, former employee or applicant under the laws of the United States, including the provisions of law specified in 5 U.S.C. 2302(d).

**Frank A. Manies,**

*Director, Human Resources and Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2011-10984 Filed 5-4-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

### Sunshine Act Notice

**AGENCY:** United States Commission on Civil Rights.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**DATE AND TIME:** Friday, May 13, 2011; 9 a.m. EDT.

**PLACE:** The Washington Marriott at Metro Center, Junior Ballroom Salons 1 and 2, 775 12th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005.

### Briefing Agenda

This briefing is open to the public.

### Topic: Peer-to-Peer Violence and Bullying: Examining the Federal Response

- I. Introductory Remarks by Chairman
- II. Speakers' Presentations
- III. Questions by Commissioners and Staff Director
- IV. Adjourn Briefing

### CONTACT PERSON FOR FURTHER

**INFORMATION:** Lenore Ostrowsky, Acting Chief, Public Affairs Unit (202) 376-8591. Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact Pamela Dunston at (202) 376-8105 or at [signlanguage@usccr.gov](mailto:signlanguage@usccr.gov) at least three business days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

Dated: May 3, 2011.

**Kimberly A. Tolhurst,**

*Senior Attorney-Advisor.*

[FR Doc. 2011-11116 Filed 5-3-11; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 6335-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

*Agency:* National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

*Title:* National Ocean Recreational Expenditure (NORE) Survey.

*OMB Control Number:* 0648-xxxx.

*Form Number(s):* NA.

*Type of Request:* Regular submission (request for a new information collection).

*Number of Respondents:* 12,701.

*Average Hours per Response:* Non-participants in ocean activities, 2 minutes; Participants in ocean activities, 10 minutes.

*Burden Hours:* 2,449.

*Needs and Uses:* This is a request for a new information collection.

Consistent with Executive Order 13547: Stewardship of our Oceans, our Coasts and our Great Lakes, and NOAA's Next Generation Strategic Plan, the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) plans to collect data to estimate expenditures on recreational activities in the U.S. that interact with marine resources falling within the scope of NMFS' public trust responsibilities. These activities may include but are not limited to: Wildlife watching (for example, whales or dolphins) from a boat or from shore; kayaking or canoeing in fish habitat areas such as estuaries and sloughs; and snorkeling or scuba diving on fish aggregating devices such as ship wrecks. The survey will help enhance NMFS' understanding of the economic implications of its public trust responsibilities as they relate to non-fishing recreational activities. The data collected may also provide information useful for the purposes of marine spatial planning. Measures of economic performance that may be supported by this data collection include the following: (1) Contribution to net national benefit; and (2) contribution to regional economic impacts (income and employment).

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households.

*Frequency:* One time or every two months for up to one year.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary.

*OMB Desk Officer:*

*OIRA\_Submission@omb.eop.gov.*

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [dHynek@doc.gov](mailto:dHynek@doc.gov)).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this

notice to

*OIRA\_Submission@omb.eop.gov.*

Dated: May 2, 2011.

**Gwellnar Banks,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2011-10954 Filed 5-4-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-22-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-423-809]

### Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium: Final Results of Full Sunset Review and Revocation of the Countervailing Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On June 2, 2010, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated the second sunset review of the countervailing duty ("CVD") order on certain stainless steel plate in coils from Belgium ("SSPC" or "subject merchandise") pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties and adequate substantive responses from ArcelorMittal Stainless Belgium N.V. ("AMS") and the Government of Belgium ("GOB"), the Department determined to conduct a full sunset review of the CVD order pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(2). As a result of our analysis, the Department finds that revocation of the CVD order would not likely lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy. Therefore, the Department is revoking this CVD order.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* May 5, 2011.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia Tran or Jennifer Meek, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1503 or (202) 482-2778.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On June 2, 2010, the Department initiated the second sunset review of the CVD order on SSPC from Belgium in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 75 FR 30777 (June 2, 2010).

Within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i), the Department received notices of intent to participate on behalf of Allegheny Ludlum Corporation and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union (collectively, "Petitioners"). The submitters claimed interested party status under sections 771(9)(C) and (D) of the Act, as a manufacturer of a domestic like product and as a certified union representing workers in the domestic industry producing certain SSPC, respectively. The Department received a substantive response from Petitioners within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department also received substantive responses in a timely manner from the following respondent interested parties: AMS and the GOB. Timely rebuttal comments were received from Petitioners, AMS and the GOB on July 9, 2010. On July 22, 2010, after analyzing the submissions and rebuttals from interested parties and finding the substantive responses adequate, the Department determined to conduct a full sunset review. See Memorandum from Yasmin Nair, International Trade Compliance Analyst, to Susan H. Kuhbach, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, entitled "Adequacy Determination in Countervailing Duty Sunset Review of Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium," dated July 22, 2010.

On December 27, 2010, the Department issued the preliminary results of the full sunset review, finding a likelihood of continuation or recurrence of subsidization with a net countervailable subsidy likely to prevail of zero percent for AMS and all other companies. See *Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium: Preliminary Results of Full Sunset Review*, 75 FR 81217, 81218 (December 27, 2010) ("Preliminary Results").

Interested parties were invited to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. The Department received case briefs from Petitioners, the GOB, and AMS within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i). On February 16, 2011, the Department returned the case briefs submitted by the GOB and AMS, requesting the briefs to be resubmitted with the removal of certain references to information not on the record of this sunset review. Although both objected to the Department's decision, the GOB and AMS submitted revised versions of their case briefs on February 18, 2011. Timely rebuttal briefs were submitted by Petitioners, the GOB, and AMS.

A public hearing was requested by AMS and was held on Tuesday, March 8, 2011, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(c).

On April 7, 2011, the European Union submitted a letter in support of the arguments made by the GOB and AMS.

#### Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are imports of certain stainless steel plate in coils. Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject plate products are flat-rolled products, 254 mm or over in width and 4.75 mm<sup>1</sup> or more in thickness, in coils, and annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled. The subject plate may also be further processed (e.g., cold-rolled, polished, etc.) provided that it maintains the specified dimensions of plate following such processing. Excluded from the scope of the order are the following: (1) Plate not in coils, (2) plate that is not annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled, (3) sheet and strip, and (4) flat bars.

The merchandise subject to the order is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") at subheadings: 7219.11.00.30, 7219.11.00.60, 7219.12.00.05, 7219.12.00.06, 7219.12.00.20, 7219.12.00.21, 7219.12.00.25, 7219.12.00.26, 7219.12.00.50, 7219.12.00.51, 7219.12.00.55, 7219.12.00.56, 7219.12.00.65, 7219.12.00.66, 7219.12.00.70, 7219.12.00.71, 7219.12.00.80, 7219.12.00.81, 7219.31.00.10, 7219.90.00.10, 7219.90.00.20, 7219.90.00.25, 7219.90.00.60, 7219.90.00.80, 7220.11.00.00, 7220.20.10.10, 7220.20.10.15, 7220.20.10.60, 7220.20.10.80, 7220.20.60.05, 7220.20.60.10,

<sup>1</sup> On May 11, 2007, the Department received a scope inquiry request from U&A Belgium regarding whether the scope of the antidumping ("AD") and CVD orders on SSPC from Belgium excludes stainless steel products with an actual thickness less than 4.75mm, regardless of its nominal thickness. The Department conducted a scope inquiry applicable to all countries subject to the SSPC AD and CVD orders. In the Department's scope ruling, dated December 3, 2008, the Department determined that SSPC with a nominal thickness of 4.75mm, but with an actual thickness less than 4.75mm, and within the dimensional tolerances for this thickness of plate, is included in the scope of the AD orders on SSPC from Belgium, Italy, South Africa, the Republic of Korea, and Taiwan and CVD orders on SSPC from Belgium and South Africa. See Memorandum from Melissa G. Skinner to Stephen J. Claeys, entitled "Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium: Final Scope Ruling," dated December 3, 2008.

7220.20.60.15, 7220.20.60.60, 7220.20.60.80, 7220.90.00.10, 7220.90.00.15, 7220.90.00.60, and 7220.90.00.80. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the scope of the order remains dispositive.

#### Analysis of the Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum ("Decision Memorandum") from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated concurrently with this notice, which is hereby adopted by this notice. Parties can find this public memorandum in the Central Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

#### Changes From the Preliminary Results

As a result of the Department's analysis of received comments, we have made certain changes from the *Preliminary Results*. The Department finds that three programs which gave rise to net countervailable subsidies and which were determined not to be terminated in the *Preliminary Results*—Société Nationale de Crediteà L'Industrie Loans, 1985 Conversion of Sidmar N.V.'s Debt to Equity, and SidInvest—are in fact terminated and that benefit streams from those programs are fully allocated. See Decision Memorandum at Comment 1. Because the Department concludes that all programs previously found countervailable have been terminated and that benefit streams from those programs are fully allocated, we determine that revocation of the CVD order on SSPC from Belgium will not likely lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy. See *id.*

#### Final Results of Review

The Department determines that revocation of the CVD order will not likely lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy. As a result, and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2), we are revoking this order effective July 18, 2010, the fifth anniversary of the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the most recent notice of continuation of this order. See *Continuation of Antidumping Duty*

*Orders on Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Italy, South Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan, and the Countervailing Duty Orders on Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Italy, and South Africa*, 70 FR 41202 (July 18, 2005). We will notify the International Trade Commission of these results.

#### Effective Date of Revocation

Pursuant to section 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2), the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to this order entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after July 18, 2010. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and CVD deposit requirements. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of this order and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 28, 2011.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2011-11002 Filed 5-4-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-201-822]

#### Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Mexico: Final Results of the Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department of Commerce ("Department") finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip ("SSSS") in coils from Mexico would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping.

**DATES:** Effective Date: May 5, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Cordell or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0408, or (202) 482-3019, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On December 27, 2010, the Department published in the **Federal Register**, a notice of the *Preliminary Results* of the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on SSSS in coils from Mexico. *See Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Mexico: Preliminary Results of the Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of Antidumping Duty Order*, 75 FR 81221 (December 27, 2010) ("*Preliminary Results*"). In those *Preliminary Results*, we determined that revocation of the order would likely result in continuation or recurrence of dumping. The Department received a case brief from the respondent, ThyssenKrupp Mexinox S.A. de C.V., and its affiliated U.S. importer, Mexinox USA, Inc. (collectively "Mexinox") on February 15, 2011. On February 18, 2011, the Department published the amended final results of the 2008-2009 administrative review, in which it calculated a weighted-average dumping margin of 12.13 percent for Mexinox. *See Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Mexico: Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 9542 (February 18, 2011). On February 22, 2011, the Department received a rebuttal brief from the domestic interested parties.<sup>1</sup> On February 22, 2011, the Department invited parties to submit comments addressing the issue of whether dumping is likely to continue or recur, if the antidumping duty order is revoked, in light of the amended final results of the 2008-2009 administrative

<sup>1</sup> The domestic SSSS in coils industry includes AK Steel Corporation; Allegheny Ludlum Corporation; North American Stainless; the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial Service Workers International Union; United Auto Workers ("UAW") Local 3303; and UAW Local 4104 (collectively, "domestic interested parties").

review. On February 23, 2011, Mexinox withdrew its January 23, 2011 request for a hearing. On February 28, 2011, both Mexinox and the domestic interested parties filed comments and both Mexinox and the domestic interested parties filed rebuttal comments on March 4, 2011.

#### Scope of the Order

For purposes of the order, the products covered are certain stainless steel sheet and strip in coils. Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject sheet and strip is a flat-rolled product in coils that is greater than 9.5 mm in width and less than 4.75 mm in thickness, and that is annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled. The subject sheet and strip may also be further processed (e.g., cold-rolled, polished, aluminized, coated, etc.) provided that it maintains the specific dimensions of sheet and strip following such processing. The merchandise subject to the order is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTS") at subheadings: 7219.13.00.31, 7219.13.00.51, 7219.13.00.71, 7219.13.00.81, 7219.14.00.30, 7219.14.00.65, 7219.14.00.90, 7219.32.00.05, 7219.32.00.20, 7219.32.00.25, 7219.32.00.35, 7219.32.00.36, 7219.32.00.38, 7219.32.00.42, 7219.32.00.44, 7219.33.00.05, 7219.33.00.20, 7219.33.00.25, 7219.33.00.35, 7219.33.00.36, 7219.33.00.38, 7219.33.00.42, 7219.33.00.44, 7219.34.00.05, 7219.34.00.20, 7219.34.00.25, 7219.34.00.30, 7219.34.00.35, 7219.35.00.05, 7219.35.00.15, 7219.35.00.30, 7219.35.00.35, 7219.90.00.10, 7219.90.00.20, 7219.90.00.25, 7219.90.00.60, 7219.90.00.80, 7220.12.10.00, 7220.12.50.00, 7220.20.10.10, 7220.20.10.15, 7220.20.10.60, 7220.20.10.80, 7220.20.60.05, 7220.20.60.10, 7220.20.60.15, 7220.20.60.60, 7220.20.60.80, 7220.20.70.05, 7220.20.70.10, 7220.20.70.15, 7220.20.70.60, 7220.20.70.80, 7220.20.80.00, 7220.20.90.30, 7220.20.90.60, 7220.90.00.10, 7220.90.00.15, 7220.90.00.60, 7220.90.00.80.

Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive. Excluded from the scope of the order is the following: (1) Sheet and strip that is not