

information collection requirement. If the Commission adopts any new or revised information collection requirement, the Commission will publish a separate notice in the **Federal Register** inviting the public to comment on the requirement, as mandated by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. See Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). In addition, pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, the Commission will seek specific comment from the public on how it might “further reduce the information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees, see Public Law 107–198; 47 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4).”

Synopsis

1. In document FCC 11–62, the Commission seeks further comment on VRS market structure and compensation method proposals related to the structure and practices of the VRS program initially raised in *Structure and Practices of the Video Relay Service Program*, CG Docket No. 10–51, Notice of Inquiry, published at 75 FR 41863, July 19, 2010 (*2010 VRS NOI*). For example, the Commission seeks comment on specific proposals for VRS accounting. The Commission also seeks comment on how to treat certain costs and expenses. Commenters should address whether the Commission should limit or exclude the expenses of raising capital from VRS rates in general, or whether individual providers should not receive some or all compensation for the costs incurred in various methods of raising capital. Similarly, the Commission invites comment on the proper regulatory treatment of various methods used by providers to raise capital, including appropriate disclosure and approval requirements that may be implemented.

2. In addition, in the event that the Commission is unable to finalize the compensation structure for VRS in time to calculate a new rate for the Fund year beginning July 1, 2011, the Commission tentatively concludes that extending the current interim rates and compensation structure for VRS to the 2011–12 Fund year would be appropriate. The current interim rates have resulted in significant savings for the Fund, demand for VRS has remained stable during the 2010–11 Fund year, and data submitted to the Fund administrator demonstrate that no VRS provider has failed to meet speed of answer requirements under the interim rates. The Commission also recognizes the certainty and stability that the current compensation structure can offer until final rules in the *2010 VRS NOI* proceeding are implemented.

The Commission seeks comment on this tentative conclusion.

Initial Regulatory Flexibility Certification

3. The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, as amended (RFA), requires that an initial regulatory flexibility analysis be prepared for notice-and-comment rule making proceedings, unless the agency certifies that “the rule will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.” 5 U.S.C. 605(b). The RFA generally defines the term “small entity” as having the same meaning as the terms “small business,” “small organization,” and “small governmental jurisdiction.” 5 U.S.C. 601(6). In addition, the term “small business” has the same meaning as the term “small business concern” under the Small Business Act. 5 U.S.C. 601(3). A “small business concern” is one which: (1) is independently owned and operated; (2) is not dominant in its field of operation; and (3) satisfies any additional criteria established by the Small Business Administration (SBA). 15 U.S.C. 632.

4. In document FCC 11–62, the Commission seeks comment on the rates and compensation for VRS for the 2011–12 Interstate Telecommunications Relay Services (TRS) Fund (Fund) year. Specifically, the Commission seeks further comment on VRS market structure and compensation method proposals initially raised in a *2010 VRS NOI* related to the structure and practices of the VRS program. In addition, in the event the Commission is unable to fully resolve the issues raised in the *2010 VRS NOI* prior to the beginning of the 2011–12 Fund year, the Commission seeks comment on its tentative conclusion that extending the current interim rates and compensation structure provides the best means to ensure stability and certainty for VRS while the Commission continues to evaluate the issues and the substantial record developed in response to this proceeding.

5. The Commission’s proposed action is to extend the current 2010–2011 rates for VRS for the upcoming 2011–2012 Fund year. The Commission concludes that this proposal will not impose a financial burden on entities, including small businesses, because these entities will continue to be promptly reimbursed from the Interstate TRS Fund at the same rate at which they are currently compensated.

6. Therefore, the Commission certifies that the proposal in document FCC 11–62 if adopted, would not have a

significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

The Commission will send a copy of document FCC 11–62, including a copy of this Initial Regulatory Flexibility Certification, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the SBA.

Ordering Clauses

7. Pursuant to sections 4(i)–(j), 225, and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i)–(j), 225, and 303(r), document FCC 11–62 *is adopted*.

8. The Commission’s Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, *shall send* a copy of document FCC 11–62, including the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

Federal Communications Commission

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–10613 Filed 4–29–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

48 CFR Parts 4, 8, 17, 37, and 52

[FAR Case 2010–010; Docket 2010–0010, Sequence 1]

RIN 9000–AM06

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Service Contracts Reporting Requirements; Correction

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects the preamble to a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** of April 20, 2011, regarding Service Contracts Reporting Requirements. This document adds text that was inadvertently omitted.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 20, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Clare McFadden, Procurement Analyst, at (202) 501–0044. Please cite FAR Case 2010–010.

Correction

In the proposed rule FR Doc. 2011–9515, beginning on page 22070 in the

issue of April 20, 2011, make the following correction, in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION, I.** Background section. On page 22071 in the second column, add after the first full paragraph the following:

“Specifically, the proposed FAR section 4.1603 establishes service contractor reporting requirements based on type of contract and dollar amount as stated below:

- Contract types (e.g., cost-reimbursement, time-and-materials, and labor-hour contracts) that already require contractors to track labor hours closely in order to invoice the Government will have lower dollar thresholds than fixed-price contracts, where this information has not been required historically. Contractors will be required to report on all cost-reimbursement, time-and-materials, and labor-hour contracts at or above the simplified acquisition threshold (SAT).

- Contractors will be required to report on new fixed-price contracts at or above the President’s Fiscal Year 2011 Budget’s proposed phase-in thresholds—

- \$5 million in Fiscal Year 2011;
- \$2.5 million in Fiscal Year 2012;
- \$1 million in Fiscal Year 2013; and
- \$500,000 from Fiscal Year 2014 onwards.

- For indefinite-delivery contracts, including but not limited to, indefinite-delivery indefinite-quantity (IDIQ) contracts, Federal Supply Schedule (FSS) contracts, Governmentwide Acquisition contracts (GWACs), and multi-agency contracts, reporting requirements will be determined based on the expected dollar amount and type of the orders issued under the contracts.

- Existing indefinite-delivery contracts will be bilaterally modified within six months of the effective date of the final rule if sufficient time and value remain on the base contract, which is defined as—

1. A performance period that extends beyond October 1, 2011; and
2. \$5 million or more remaining to be obligated to the indefinite-delivery contract.

The threshold for existing indefinite-delivery contracts is consistent with the threshold for new fixed-price contracts.”

Dated: April 27, 2011.

Millisa Gary,

Acting Director, Office of Governmentwide Acquisition Policy.

[FR Doc. 2011–10590 Filed 4–29–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 648

[Docket No. 100526226–0229–01]

RIN 0648–AY95

Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Northeast Multispecies Fishery; Amendment 16 and Framework Adjustment 44

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed rule; correcting amendment; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This rule proposes to make corrections and clarifications to existing regulations to ensure consistency with measures adopted by the New England Fishery Management Council (Council) to regulate the Northeast (NE) multispecies fishery and to provide additional flexibility for some of the reporting regulatory requirements. The current regulations governing the NE multispecies fishery contain a number of inadvertent errors, omissions, and potential inconsistencies with measures adopted by the Council and approved by the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary) in recent actions regarding the NE Multispecies Fishery Management Plan (FMP). NMFS takes this action under the authority of section 305(d) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act) and solicits public comments on the proposed corrections and clarifications to these regulations.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before May 17, 2011.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by 0648–AY95, by any of the following methods:

- *Electronic submissions:* Submit all electronic public comments via the Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>.

- *Fax:* (978) 281–9135.

- *Mail:* Paper, disk, or CD–ROM comments should be sent to Patricia A. Kurkul, Regional Administrator, National Marine Fisheries Service, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930. Mark the outside of the envelope, “Comments on the Proposed Rule to Correct/Clarify the NE Multispecies Regulations.”

Instructions: All comments received are a part of the public record and will

generally be posted to <http://regulations.gov> without change. All personal identifying information (for example, name, address, etc.) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publicly accessible. Do not submit confidential business information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

NMFS will accept anonymous comments (enter N/A in the required fields, if you wish to remain anonymous). You may submit attachments to electronic comments in Microsoft Word, Excel, WordPerfect, or Adobe PDF file formats only.

Copies of the Regulatory Impact Review (RIR) prepared for this action are available from the Regional Administrator at the above address. Copies of previous management actions, including Amendment 16 and Framework Adjustment (FW 44) and the respective Final Environmental Impact Statements (FEISs) and Environmental Assessments (EAs) prepared for each action are available from Paul J. Howard, Executive Director, New England Fishery Management Council, 50 Water Street, Mill 2, Newburyport, MA 01950. These documents are also accessible via the Internet at <http://www.nefmc.org/nemulti/index.html>.

Written comments regarding the burden-hour estimates or other aspects of the collection-of-information requirements contained in this rule should be submitted to the Regional Administrator at the address above and to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) by e-mail at OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov, or fax to (202) 395–7285.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brett Alger, Fishery Management Specialist, phone: 978–675–2153, fax: 978–281–9135.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The most recent management actions in the NE multispecies fishery (Amendment 16 and FW 44) were both implemented by final rules that published in the **Federal Register** on April 9, 2010 (75 FR 18262 and 75 FR 18356, respectively), and became effective on May 1, 2010. Amendment 16 and FW 44 implemented measures necessary to end overfishing and rebuild overfished stocks based on new or existing rebuilding programs and to comply with annual catch limit (ACL) and accountability measure (AM) requirements of the Magnuson-Stevens Act. Amendment 16 also substantially revised existing sector management measures and established new sectors.