Applicant Contact: Mr. Raymond J. Wahle, P.E., Missouri River Energy Services, 3724 W. Avera Drive, Sioux Falls, SD 57109; (605) 330–6963.

FERC Contact: Tyrone A. Williams, (202) 502–6331.

Deadline for filing comments, motions to intervene, competing applications (without notices of intent), or notices of intent to file competing applications: 60 days from the issuance of this notice. Competing applications and notices of intent must meet the requirements of 18 CFR 4.36. Comments, motions to intervene, notices of intent, and competing applications may be filed electronically via the Internet. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ efiling.asp. Commenters can submit brief comments up to 6,000 characters, without prior registration, using the eComment system at http:// www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ ecomment.asp. You must include your name and contact information at the end of your comments. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support. Although the Commission strongly encourages electronic filing, documents may also be paper-filed. To paper-file, mail an original and seven copies to: Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

More information about this project, including a copy of the application, can be viewed or printed on the "eLibrary" link of Commission's Web site at 7fnl;*http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ elibrary.asp.* Enter the docket number (P–14108–000) in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support.

Dated: April 26, 2011.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary. [FR Doc. 2011–10539 Filed 4–29–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

#### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

### [Project No. 13997-000]

### Richard A. Glover, Jr.; Notice of Preliminary Permit Application Accepted for Filing and Soliciting Comments, Motions To Intervene, and Competing Applications

On January 10, 2011, Richard A. Glover, Jr. filed an application for a preliminary permit, pursuant to section 4(f) of the Federal Power Act (FPA), proposing to study the feasibility of the East Branch Dam Hydroelectric Project to be located on the East Branch of the Clarion River in Jones Township, Elk County, Pennsylvania. The sole purpose of a preliminary permit, if issued, is to grant the permit holder priority to file a license application during the permit term. A preliminary permit does not authorize the permit holder to perform any land-disturbing activities or otherwise enter upon lands or waters owned by others without the owners' express permission.

The proposed project would utilize the existing U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' East Branch Dam and would consist of the following: (1) One turbine and generator unit rated at 500 kilowatts; (2) a new powerhouse; (3) a 100-foot-long pipe between the outlet works and the powerhouse; (4) a 50foot-long discharge pipe; (5) a 50-footlong transmission line; and (6) appurtenant facilities. The estimated annual generation of the East Branch Dam Hydroelectric Project would be 377 megawatt-hours.

*Applicant Contact:* Richard A. Glover, Jr., 6834 Grant Road, Ridgeway, PA 15853; phone: (814) 772–4721.

*FERĈ Contact:* Brandi Sangunett (202) 502–8393.

Deadline for filing comments, motions to intervene, competing applications (without notices of intent), or notices of intent to file competing applications: 60 days from the issuance of this notice. Competing applications and notices of intent must meet the requirements of 18 CFR 4.36. Comments, motions to intervene, notices of intent, and competing applications may be filed electronically via the Internet. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ efiling.asp. Commenters can submit brief comments up to 6,000 characters, without prior registration, using the eComment system at *http://* www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ ecomment.asp. You must include your name and contact information at the end of your comments. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support. Although the Commission strongly encourages electronic filing, documents may also be paper-filed. To paper-file, mail an original and seven copies to: Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

More information about this project, including a copy of the application, can be viewed or printed on the "eLibrary" link of the Commission's Web site at http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ *elibrary.asp.* Enter the docket number (P–13997–000) in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support.

Dated: April 25, 2011.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–10507 Filed 4–29–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

#### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP11-191-000]

#### Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

Take notice that on April 14, 2011 Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC (Transwestern), 711 Louisiana Street, Suite 900, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP11-191-000, a Prior Notice request pursuant to sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act for authorization to abandon certain facilities located in Apache County, Arizona. Specifically, Transwestern proposes to abandon by removal the existing three 4,000 HP reciprocating gas engines, compressors, and ancillary facilities (Project Facilities) at its Compressor Station 4. The Project Facilities will be either offered for sale or removed for scrap. This proposed abandonment will not have any adverse effect on services provided and will not impact Transwestern's customers, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The filing may also be viewed on the Web at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or call toll-free, (866) 208-3676 or TTY, (202) 502-8659.

Any questions regarding this Application should be directed to Kelly Allen, Manager, Certificates and Reporting, Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC, 711 Louisiana Street, Suite 900, Houston, Texas, 77002, or call (281) 714–2056, or fax (281) 714– 2181, or by e-mail:

Kelly.allen@energytransfer.com. Any person may, within 60 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention. Any person filing to intervene or the Commission's staff may, pursuant to section 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.205) file a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to section 7 of the NGA.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenter's will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenter's will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commentary, will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests, and interventions via the internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a) (1) (iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site (*http:// www.ferc.gov*) under the "e-Filing" link.

Dated: April 26, 2011.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary. [FR Doc. 2011–10535 Filed 4–29–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-R04-OAR-2009-1011; FRL-9301-3]

Adequacy Status of the Greensboro/ Winston-Salem/Highpoint North Carolina 1997 Annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> Maintenance Plan Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets for Transportation Conformity Purposes

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

### **ACTION:** Notice of Adequacy.

**SUMMARY:** In this notice, EPA is notifying the public of its finding that the direct fine particulate  $(PM_{2.5})$  and nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>X</sub>) motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEBs) in the Greensboro/Winston-Salem/Highpoint, North Carolina area (hereafter referred to as "the Triad Area") maintenance plan for the 1997 annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard, submitted on December 18, 2009, and supplemented on December 22, 2010, by the North Carolina Department of **Environment and Natural Resources** (NCDENR) are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. The Triad Area is comprised of Guilford and Davidson Counties in their entirety. On March 2, 1999, the District of Columbia Circuit Court ruled that submitted state implementation plans (SIPs) cannot be used for transportation conformity determinations until EPA has affirmatively found them adequate. As a result of EPA's finding, the Triad Area must use the PM<sub>2.5</sub> and NO<sub>X</sub> MVEBs from the submitted maintenance plan for the Area for future conformity determinations.

**DATES:** The adequacy finding for the  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $NO_X$  MVEBs are effective May 17, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dianna B. Smith, Environmental Scientist, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air Planning Branch, Air Quality Modeling and Transportation Section, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303. Ms. Smith can also be reached by telephone at (404) 562–9207, or via electronic mail at *smith.dianna@epa.gov*. The finding is available at EPA's conformity Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/transp.htm (once there, click on the "Transportation Conformity" text icon, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions").

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Today's notice is simply an announcement of findings that EPA has already made. EPA Region 4 sent a letter to NCDENR on February 2, 2011, stating that the 2011 and 2021 sub-area PM<sub>2.5</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> MVEBs in the 1997  $PM_{2.5}$  maintenance plan for the Triad Area, dated December 18, 2009, and supplemented on December 22, 2010, are adequate. EPA posted the availability of the Triad MVEBs on EPA's Web site on November 23, 2010, as part of the adequacy process, for the purpose of soliciting comments. The comment period ran from November 23 through December 23, 2010. EPA's findings have also been announced on EPA's conformity Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/

stateresources/index.htm, (once there, click "Transportation Conformity" text icon, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions"). The adequate  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $NO_X$  MVEBs are provided in the following table:

# TRIAD, NORTH CAROLINA ANNUAL PM<sub>2.5</sub> MVEBS

[Kilograms/year]

	2011	2021	
Cuilford County Sub area MV/EP			

Guilford County Sub-area MVEB		
NO <sub>X</sub>	11,133,605	6,309,650
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	421,841	421,841

#### Davidson County Sub-area MVEB

NO <sub>X</sub>	4,086,413	2,148,938
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	153,313	153,313

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990. EPA's conformity rule, 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 93, requires that transportation plans, programs and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS).

The criteria by which EPA determines whether a SIP's MVEBs are adequate for transportation conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). EPA has described the process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in a May 14, 1999, memorandum entitled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision." EPA has followed this guidance in making this adequacy determination. This guidance is incorporated into EPA's July 1, 2004, final rulemaking entitled "Transportation Conformity Rule Amendments for the New 8-hour Ozone and PM<sub>2.5</sub> National Ambient Air Quality Standards and Miscellaneous Revisions for Existing Areas; **Transportation Conformity Rule** Amendments: Response to Court Decision and Additional Rule Changes" (69 FR 40004). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if EPA finds the MVEB adequate, the Agency may later disapprove the SIP.

Within 24 months from the effective date of this notice, the transportation