staff finds that the proposed action would not have disproportionately high and adverse human health and environmental effects on minority and low-income populations residing in the vicinity of NRCR.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to license renewal, the NRC staff considered denying the proposed action. If the NRC denied the application for license renewal, reactor operations would cease and decommissioning would be required. The NRC notes that, even with a renewed license, the NRCR will eventually be decommissioned, at which time the environmental effects of decommissioning would occur. Decommissioning would be conducted in accordance with an NRC-approved decommissioning plan which would require a separate environmental review under 10 CFR 51.21. Cessation of reactor operations would reduce or eliminate radioactive effluents and emissions. However, as previously discussed in this environmental assessment, radioactive effluents and emissions from reactor operations constitute a small fraction of the applicable regulatory limits. Therefore, the environmental impacts of license renewal and the denial of the application for license renewal would be similar. In addition, denying the application for license renewal would eliminate the benefits of teaching opportunities, research, and services provided by the NRCR.

## Alternative Use of Resources

The proposed action does not involve the use of any different resources or significant quantities of resources beyond those previously considered in the issuance of Amendment No. 10 to Facility Operating License No. R–76 for the Washington State University Nuclear Research Center Reactor dated August 11, 1982, which renewed the Facility Operating License for a period of 20 years.

#### **Agencies and Persons Consulted**

In accordance with the agency's stated policy, the staff consulted with the State Historic Preservation Officer between May 13 and October 21, 2010, and the State Liaison Officer between May 13 and December 2, 2010, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The consultation involved a thorough explanation of the environmental review, the details of this environmental assessment, and the NRC's findings. The State officials stated that they understood the NRC

review and had no comments regarding the proposed action.

## III. Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC staff concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC staff has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's application dated June 24, 2002 (ML092390202), as supplemented by letters dated August 15, 2007 (ML072410493), June 13, 2008 (ML082380266), and April 7, 2010 (ML101031097). Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the NRC Web site http://www.nrc.gov/readingrm/adams.html. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, or 301-415-4737, or send an e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 8th day of April, 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Jessie F. Quichocho**,

Chief, Research and Test Reactors Licensing Branch, Division of Policy and Rulemaking, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2011–9436 Filed 4–18–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

#### **Sunshine Act; Notice of Public Meeting**

Notice is hereby given that the Railroad Retirement Board will hold a meeting on April 27, 2011, 10 a.m. at the Board's meeting room on the 8th floor of its headquarters building, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611. The agenda for this meeting follows:

### **Executive Committee Reports**

The entire meeting will be open to the public. The person to contact for more information is Beatrice Ezerski, Secretary to the Board, Phone No. 312–751–4920.

Dated: April 14, 2011.

#### Beatrice Ezerski,

Secretary to the Board.

[FR Doc. 2011-9537 Filed 4-15-11; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7905-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

## Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549.

Requested Change:

Form 10–K, OMB Control No. 3235–0063; SEC File No. 270–48.

Form 20–F, OMB Control No. 3235–0288; SEC File No. 270–156.

Section 989G of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 1 (the "Act") provides that Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act 2 does not apply to any audit report prepared for an issuer that is neither an accelerated filer nor a large accelerated filer as defined in Rule 12b-23 under the Securities Exchange Act.<sup>4</sup> Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget the request for approval of extension of the previously approved collection of information discussed below.

In a separate release,<sup>5</sup> the Commission amended its rules in light of the Act, which amends Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The Commission had previously estimated the burden of complying with Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act assuming that all filers of Forms 10–K and 20–F would file an auditor's attestation report. The filers that were included in the estimate but are no longer subject to the 404 requirement are sometimes referred to as "non-accelerated filers."

Form 10–K sets forth the disclosure requirements for annual reports filed by issuers under the Securities Exchange Act. Form 20–F sets forth the disclosure requirements for annual reports and registration statements filed by foreign private issuers under the Securities Exchange Act, as well as many of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Public Law 111–203 (July 21, 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 7262.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 240.12b-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78a et seq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Release No. 33–9142 (Sept. 15, 2010) [75 FR 57385].

disclosure requirements for registration statements filed by foreign private issuers under the Securities Act.

Based on the number of nonaccelerated filers that filed an annual report in 2009, we estimate that approximately 4,400 annual reports on Form 10-K and approximately 285 annual reports on Form 20-F are filed annually by non-accelerated filers. The current burden estimates for Form 10-K and Form 20–F attribute 0.5 burden hours per issuer for filing the auditor attestation report, including the burden attributed to the related disclosure in the annual report, and do not include any burden attributed to the audit work.6 Consistent with the burden estimates for these forms, that estimate is then split 75% and 25% between internal staff and external professionals for Form 10-K, and 25% and 75% between internal staff and external professionals for Form 20-F. Both estimates assume an hourly rate of \$400 for external professionals. Accordingly, we are reducing the aggregate burden estimate by 1,650 hours of internal staff time and \$220,000 for external professional services for Form 10-K, and 36 hours of internal staff time and \$42,750 for external professional services for Form 20-F.

The information collections requirements related to Forms 10–K and 20–F are mandatory. There is no mandatory retention period for the information disclosed, and the information disclosed is made publicly available on the EDGAR filing system. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The public may view the background documentation for this information collection at the following Web site, http: www.reginfo.gov. Comments should be directed to: (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10102, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, or by sending an e-mail to:

Shagufta\_Ahmed@omb.eop.gov; and (ii) Thomas Bayer, Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, VA 22312 or send an e-mail to: PRA Mailbox@sec.gov. Comments must

be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Please direct your written comments to Thomas Bayer, Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, VA 22312; or send an e-mail to: *PRA-Mailbox@sec.gov*.

April 11, 2011.

## Elizabeth M. Murphy,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–9414 Filed 4–18–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-64226A; File No. SR-FINRA-2011-005]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc.; Order Granting Approval of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Promissory Note Proceedings; Correction

April 13, 2011.

#### **Need for Correction**

In FR Document No. 2011-8897 beginning on page 20741 as published on Wednesday, April 13, 2011, the Commission issued Release No. 34-64226, an order approving the proposed rule change by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") to amend Rule 13806 of the Code of Arbitration Procedure for Industry Disputes ("Industry Code"). Commission staff discovered that a statement in the introduction section of that order mischaracterized the nature of the rule change which was described accurately in the remainder of the order. The staff believes this mischaracterization was the result of an editing error.

This correction does not substantively amend the Commission's approval order. The sole purpose of this correction is to rectify the error in the introduction section and alleviate any potential confusion. The introduction section of this approval order is being republished with the correction.

#### **Correction of Publication**

Accordingly, the Introduction of the approval order is republished to correct a statement therein, as follows:

## I. Introduction

On February 4, 2011, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

("Act"),1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,2 a proposed rule change to amend Rule 13806 of the Code of Arbitration Procedure for Industry Disputes ("Industry Code") to provide that FINRA will appoint a chair-qualified public arbitrator to a panel resolving a promissory note dispute instead of a chair-qualified public arbitrator also qualified to resolve a statutory discrimination claim. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on February 22, 2011.3 The Commission did not receive any comments on the proposal. This order approves the proposed change.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>4</sup>

#### Cathy H. Ahn,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-9413 Filed 4-18-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-64299; File No. SR-NYSE-2011-14]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Alter Listing Fees Applicable to Debt Securities and Structured Products

April 14, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") <sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, <sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that, on April 11, 2011, the New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

## I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Section 902.08 of the Listed Company Manual (the "Manual") to alter its listing fees applicable to debt securities and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For further information on the determination of our estimates, *see* Release No. 33–8238 (June 5, 2003) [68 FR 36636].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Securities and Exchange Act Release No. 63909 (February 15, 2011), 76 FR 9838 (February 22, 2011) ("Notice").

<sup>4 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.