

Nature of Decision To Be Made

Decision making will be limited to specific activities relating to the proposed actions. The primary decision to be made will be whether or not to implement the proposed action, no action, another action alternative, or parts of alternatives that respond to the project's purpose and need. This decision would be documented in a record of decision.

Scoping Process

This notice of intent initiates the scoping process, which guides the development of the EIS. The 45-day comment period will start after the publication in the **Federal Register** of the Notice of Availability for the Lakewood Southeast Project Draft EIS. It is important that reviewers provide their comments at such times and in such manner that they are useful to the Agency's preparation of the EIS. Therefore, comments should be provided prior to the close of the comment period and should clearly articulate the reviewer's concerns and contentions. The submission of timely and specific comments can affect a reviewer's ability to participate in subsequent administrative appeal or judicial review.

March 21, 2011.

Paul I.V. Strong,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 2011-8270 Filed 4-6-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Hood/Willamette Resource Advisory Committee; Meeting

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Hood/Willamette Resource Advisory Committee will meet in Salem, Oregon. The committee is meeting as authorized under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act (Pub. L. 110-343) and in compliance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The purpose of the meeting is to make recommendations for the 2012 projects.

DATES: The meeting will be held on May 16, 2011, and begin at 9:30 a.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Salem Office of the Bureau of Land Management Office; 1717 Fabry Road SE; Salem, Oregon; (503) 375-5646. Written comments should be sent to Connie Athman, Mt. Hood National

Forest, 16400 Champion Way, Sandy, Oregon 97055. Comments may also be sent via e-mail to cathman@fs.fed.us, or via facsimile to 503-668-1413.

All comments, including names and addresses when provided, are placed in the record and are available for public inspection and copying. The public may inspect comments received at Mt. Hood National Forest, 16400 Champion Way, Sandy, Oregon 97055.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Connie Athman, Designated Federal Official, Mt. Hood National Forest, 16400 Champion Way, Sandy, Oregon 97055; (503) 668-1672; E-mail: cathman@fs.fed.us.

Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. The following business will be conducted: (1) Recommendations on 2012 Projects; and (2) Public Forum.

The Public Forum is tentatively scheduled to begin at 9:45 a.m. Time allotted for individual presentations will be limited to 4-5 minutes. Written comments are encouraged, particularly if the material cannot be presented within the time limits for the Public Forum. Written comments may be submitted prior to the May 16th meeting by sending them to the Designated Federal Official, Connie Athman at the address given above.

Dated: March 21, 2011.

Kathryn J. Silverman,

Acting Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 2011-8269 Filed 4-6-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 25-2011]

Foreign-Trade Zone 205—Port Hueneme, California; Application for Reorganization Under Alternative Site Framework

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Board of Harbor Commissioners of the Oxnard Harbor District, grantee of FTZ 205, requesting authority to reorganize the zone under the alternative site framework (ASF) adopted by the Board (74 FR 1170, 1/12/09 (correction 74 FR 3987, 1/22/09); 75 FR 71069-71070, 11/22/10). The ASF is an option for grantees for the

establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones and can permit significantly greater flexibility in the designation of new "usage-driven" FTZ sites for operators/users located within a grantee's "service area" in the context of the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for a general-purpose zone project. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on March 31, 2011.

FTZ 205 was approved by the Board on October 28, 1994 (Board Order 714, 59 FR 55420, 11/07/1994) and expanded on April 28, 1998 (Board Order 978, 63 FR 25819, 05/11/1998).

The current zone project includes the following sites: *Site 1* (771 acres)—Port Hueneme commercial terminal complex (including the adjacent commercial area within the U.S. Naval Construction Battalion Center designated for FTZ use), 333 Ponomo Street; Port Hueneme; *Site 2* (79 acres)—three parcels within the South Oxnard Industrial Park, 5650 Arcturus Avenue and 5601 Edison Road, Oxnard; *Site 3* (22 acres)—908 East 3rd Street, Oxnard; and, *Site 4* (10 acres)—5851 Arcturus Avenue, Oxnard.

The grantee's proposed service area under the ASF would be Ventura County, California, as described in the application. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies' needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is within and adjacent to the Port Hueneme Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone project to include all of the existing sites as "magnet" sites. No usage-driven sites are being requested at this time. Because the ASF only pertains to establishing or reorganizing a general-purpose zone, the application would have no impact on FTZ 205's authorized subzone.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, Christopher Kemp of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is June 6, 2011. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to June 21, 2011.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the Board's Web site, which is accessible via <http://www.trade.gov/ftz>. For further information, contact Christopher Kemp at Christopher.Kemp@trade.gov or (202) 482-0862.

Dated: March 31, 2011.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-8349 Filed 4-6-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-840]

Certain Orange Juice From Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Intent Not To Revoke Antidumping Duty Order in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a request by the petitioners and two producers/exporters of the subject merchandise, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain orange juice (OJ) from Brazil with respect to four producers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States. This is the fourth period of review (POR), covering March 1, 2009, through February 28, 2010.

We have preliminarily determined that sales to the United States have been made below normal value (NV), and, therefore, are subject to antidumping duties. If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of this review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 7, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hector Rodriguez or Blaine Wiltse, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-0629 or (202) 482-6345, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In March 2006, the Department published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on certain orange juice from Brazil. See *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Orange Juice from Brazil*, 71 FR 12183 (Mar. 9, 2006) (*OJ Order*). Subsequently, on March 1, 2010, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order of certain orange juice from Brazil for the period March 1, 2009, through February 28, 2010. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 75 FR 9162 (Mar. 1, 2010).

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2), in March 2010, the Department received requests to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on OJ from Brazil from two producers/exporters of the subject merchandise, Fischer S.A. Comercio, Industria, and Agricultura (Fischer) and Sucocitrico Cutrale, S.A. (Cutrale). In Cutrale's request for an administrative review, it also requested revocation of the antidumping duty order with respect to its sales of subject merchandise, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(b).

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), also in March 2010, the petitioners (Florida Citrus Mutual, A. Duda & Sons, Citrus World Inc., and Southern Gardens Citrus Processing Corporation), also requested that the Department conduct an administrative review for Cutrale and Fischer, as well as for two additional producers/exporters: Montecitrus Trading S.A. (Montecitrus) and Coinbra-Frutesp (SA) (Coinbra-Frutesp). In April 2010, the Department initiated an administrative review for all four companies. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 75 FR 22107 (Apr. 27, 2010). Also in April 2010, we issued questionnaires to Coinbra-Frutesp, Cutrale, Fischer, and Montecitrus.

In May 2010, we received statements from Coinbra-Frutesp and Montecitrus that they had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.

From May through July 2010, we received responses to section A of the questionnaire (*i.e.*, the section covering general information) from Cutrale and Fischer, as well as responses to sections B and C of the questionnaire (*i.e.*, the sections covering sales in the home

market and United States) and section D (*i.e.*, the section covering cost of production (COP)/constructed value (CV)).

From August through November 2010, we issued supplemental sales and cost questionnaires to Cutrale and Fischer. We received responses to these supplemental questionnaires from September through November 2010.

On November 16, 2010, the Department extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this review until no later than March 31, 2010. See *Certain Orange Juice from Brazil: Notice of Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 69917 (Nov. 16, 2010).

From December 2010 through March 2011, we issued Cutrale and Fischer additional supplemental sales and cost questionnaires. We received responses to these supplemental questionnaires from January through March 2011.

Finally, in March 2011, we requested that Cutrale provide additional information regarding its indirect selling expenses. Because this information was not received in time for use in the preliminary results, we expect to consider this information in the final results.

Scope of the Order

The scope of this order includes certain orange juice for transport and/or further manufacturing, produced in two different forms: (1) Frozen orange juice in a highly concentrated form, sometimes referred to as frozen concentrated orange juice for manufacture (FCOJM); and (2) pasteurized single-strength orange juice which has not been concentrated, referred to as not-from-concentrate (NFC). At the time of the filing of the petition, there was an existing antidumping duty order on frozen concentrated orange juice (FCOJ) from Brazil. See *Antidumping Duty Order; Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice from Brazil*, 52 FR 16426 (May 5, 1987). Therefore, the scope of this order with regard to FCOJM covers only FCOJM produced and/or exported by those companies which were excluded or revoked from the pre-existing antidumping order on FCOJ from Brazil as of December 27, 2004. Those companies are Cargill Citrus Limitada, Coinbra-Frutesp, Cutrale, Fischer, and Montecitrus.

Excluded from the scope of the order are reconstituted orange juice and frozen concentrated orange juice for retail (FCOJR). Reconstituted orange juice is produced through further manufacture of FCOJM, by adding